

# Transcendental Continued Fractions

*Sarra Ahallal, Ali Kacha*

**Abstract.** In the present paper, we give sufficient conditions on the elements of the continued fractions  $A$  and  $B$  that will assure us that the continued fraction  $A^B$  is a transcendental number. With the same condition, we establish a transcendental measure of  $A^B$ .

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

The theory of transcendental numbers has a long history. We know since J. Liouville in 1844 that the very rapidly converging sequences of rational numbers provide examples of transcendental numbers. So, in his famous paper [7] Liouville showed that a real number admitting very good rational approximation could not be algebraic, and then he explicitly constructed the first examples of transcendental numbers.

From this sense, the transcendence of the continued fractions having partial quotients that increase rapidly have been studied by several authors such as P. Bundschuh [1], A. Durand [2], W. Lianxiang [6], G. Nettle [8], T. Okano [10]. We also note that the transcendence of some power series with rational coefficients is given by some authors, see [5], [9].

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two continued fractions which are defined by

$$A = a_0 + \frac{1}{|a_1|} + \frac{1}{|a_2|} + \cdots$$

and

$$B = b_0 + \frac{1}{|b_1|} + \frac{1}{|b_2|} + \cdots,$$

where  $(a_i > 0, b_i > 0$  are integers for any  $i \geq 1)$ .

---

*MSC 2020:* 11A55, 11J81, 11J82

*Keywords:* Continued fraction, transcendental number, measure of transcendence

*Affiliation:*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ibn Tofail University, 14 000 Kenitra,  
 Morocco

*E-mail:* sarraahallal92@gmail.com, ali.kacha@uit.ac.ma

In [3] we have proved the transcendence of the six numbers  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $A \pm B$ , and  $AB^{\pm 1}$  if  $a_n > b_n > a_{n-1}^\alpha$  where  $\alpha$  is a real constant  $> 7$  by using Roth's approximation theorem. The algebraic independence of  $A$  and  $B$  was also proved under a similar method in [4].

We recall that in [1], P. Bundschuh has noted that obviously no transcendence proof of  $A^B$  could be established by using Roth's approximation theorem. Then he gave a theorem which yields the transcendence of  $A^B$  by using another method.

In the present paper, the first aim is to improve Bundschuh's result concerning the transcendence of  $A^B$  with a slight hypothesis.

The second main result of this article is to establish a transcendental measure of a continued fraction  $A^B$ .

In order to prove the transcendence of continued fraction  $A^B$ , we will use the result of Bundschuh which expresses a necessary condition if  $A^B$  is an algebraic number.

**Theorem 1.1** ([11]). *Let  $\xi$  be a real number,  $\delta$  a real number  $> 2$ , if there exists an infinity rational numbers  $\frac{p}{q}$  with  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$  such that*

$$\left| \xi - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^\delta},$$

*then  $\xi$  is a transcendental number.*

## 2 Main results

### 2.1 Transcendence

With the same notations as above for  $A$  and  $B$ , we put

$$A_n = a_0 + \frac{1}{|a_1|} + \frac{1}{|a_2|} + \cdots + \frac{1}{|a_n|} = \frac{{}^a p_n}{{}^a q_n},$$

$$B_n = b_0 + \frac{1}{|b_1|} + \frac{1}{|b_2|} + \cdots + \frac{1}{|b_n|} = \frac{{}^b p_n}{{}^b q_n}.$$

The principal result of the transcendence of  $A^B$  is given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $(a_n)$ ,  $(b_n)$  and  $A$ ,  $B$  be as before and let  $1 < \alpha \leq \alpha'$  be real numbers. If  $a_{n+1} \geq b_{n+1} \geq a_n^\alpha$ ,  $a_n^{\alpha'} \geq a_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $\ln(a_n) \ln(b_n) = O(\ln(b_{n+1} {}^b q_n^2))$  then  $A^B$  is a transcendental number.*

**Remark 2.2.** 1. We note that under the hypothesis  $a_{n+1} \geq b_{n+1} \geq a_n^\alpha$  with  $\alpha > 1$ , the real numbers  $A$  and  $B$  are transcendental, see [3].

2. In [8], Nettle presented certain explicit formulae for the algebraic numbers  $A_n^{B_n}$  which converges to  $A^B$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , depending on the  $m^{\text{th}}$  convergents ( $m = 1, \dots, n$ ) of  $A$  and  $B$ .

The proof of the Theorem 2.1 is based on some lemmas that express the link between partial quotients of the mentioned reals continued fractions and the denominators of their convergents.

The main tool of this Theorem is the following necessary condition for algebraicity of  $A^B$  due to Bundschuh.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $A, B$  as before, but such that  $A^B$  is an algebraic number and that the sequence  $({}^bq_n)_n$  satisfies*

$$\ln({}^bq_{n+1}) = O({}^bq_n \ln({}^bq_n)) \tag{1}$$

as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then there exists an effectively computable number  $\gamma_0 > 0$ , depending only on  $A$  and  $B$  such that the inequality

$$\max(|A - \beta|, |B - \delta|) \leq \exp(-\gamma_0 \ln(H_1) \ln(H_2)) \tag{2}$$

has at most finitely many solutions  $(\beta, \delta, H_1, H_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \times \mathbb{N}^2$  with  $h(\beta) \leq H_1, h(\delta) \leq H_2$ , and  $H_1, H_2 \geq 4$ ,  $h(\theta)$  denotes the height of an algebraic number  $\theta$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** 1. *Let  $\alpha > 1$  be a real number. If  $a_n \geq a_{n-1}^\alpha$  for all  $n \geq 2$ , then we have*

$${}^a q_n < 2a_n^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}.$$

2. *Let  $\alpha > 1$  be a real number. If  $a_n \geq b_n \geq a_{n-1}^\alpha$  for all  $n \geq 2$ , then there exists a real constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that*

$${}^a q_n > {}^b q_n > C_1 {}^a q_{n-1}^\alpha. \tag{3}$$

**Remark 2.5.** The same result as (1) of Lemma 2.4 is also obtained for  ${}^b q_n$  and  $b_n$ .

*Proof of Lemma 2.4.* 1. See [3].

2. We can easily show that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^b q_n &= b_n {}^b q_{n-1} + {}^b q_{n-2} \\ &> b_n {}^b q_{n-1} \geq a_{n-1}^\alpha {}^b q_{n-1} \\ &> \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

On the other hand, one has

$${}^a q_{n-1} < (a_{n-1} + 1)^a q_{n-2} < \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{a_i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^n a_i,$$

which becomes

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^\alpha > {}^a q_{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{a_i}\right)^{-\alpha}. \tag{5}$$

Combining (4) and (5) gives

$${}^b q_n > {}^a q_{n-1}^\alpha \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{a_i}\right)^{-\alpha} > C_2 {}^a q_{n-1}^\alpha$$

where  $C_2 = C_2(A, \alpha) > 0$ . We notice that the left side of the inequality (3) can be proved in a similar way.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* First, let us justify that the hypothesis (1) of Lemma 2.3 is verified. According to Lemma 2.4, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$${}^b q_{n+1} < b_{n+1}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} + \varepsilon} < b_n^{\frac{\alpha \cdot \alpha'}{\alpha-1} + \varepsilon \alpha'}$$

for any sufficiently large  $n$ . Which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \ln({}^b q_{n+1}) &< \left(\frac{\alpha \cdot \alpha'}{\alpha-1} + \varepsilon \alpha'\right) \ln(b_n) \\ &< \left(\frac{\alpha \cdot \alpha'}{\alpha-1} + \varepsilon \alpha'\right) \ln({}^b q_n) \\ &< \left(\frac{\alpha \cdot \alpha'}{\alpha-1} + \varepsilon \alpha'\right) \ln({}^b q_n)^b q_n. \end{aligned}$$

So, the relationship (1) is verified, it suffices to make  $\varepsilon$  tend to 0.

We will show that under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1 the relationship (2) is satisfied by the infinite numbers:

$$\left(\frac{{}^a p_n}{{}^a q_n}, \frac{{}^b p_n}{{}^b q_n}, H_1 = {}^a q_n = h(A_n), H_2 = {}^b q_n = h(B_n)\right) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \times \mathbb{N}^2.$$

By part 2 of Lemma 2.4 we have  ${}^b q_n < {}^a q_n$ , so

$$\max(|A - A_n|, |B - B_n|) < \frac{1}{{}^b q_{n+1} {}^b q_n} < \frac{1}{b_{n+1} {}^b q_n^2} \quad (6)$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ .

On the other hand, the result 1 of Lemma 2.3 implies that

$$\ln({}^a q_n) \cdot \ln({}^b q_n) < \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)^2 \ln(a_n) \cdot \ln(b_n). \quad (7)$$

Namely, the hypothesis of Theorem 2.7

$$\ln(a_n) \cdot \ln(b_n) = O(\ln(b_{n+1} {}^b q_n^2)),$$

shows that there exists a real constant  $C_2 > 0$ , such that

$$\ln(a_n) \cdot \ln(b_n) < C_2 \ln(b_{n+1} {}^b q_n^2) \quad (8)$$

for all sufficiently large  $n$ . Combining (7) and (8), we get

$$\ln({}^a q_n) \cdot \ln({}^b q_n) < C_3 \ln(b_{n+1} {}^b q_n^2), \quad C_3 = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right)^2 C_2 \tag{9}$$

for any  $n$  sufficiently large.

Due to inequality (9), the relationship (6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \max(|A - A_n|, |B - B_n|) &< \exp\left(-\frac{1}{C_3} \ln({}^a q_n) \ln({}^b q_n)\right) \\ &< \exp\left(-\frac{1}{C_3} \ln(h(A_n)) \ln(h(B_n))\right) \end{aligned}$$

for infinitely many  $n$ . This shows that the conclusion of Lemma 2.3 fails, hence  $A^B$  is a transcendental number. □

**Example 2.6.** Let  $\begin{cases} a_0 = b_0 = 0, a_1 = b_1 = 3, \delta \text{ a real number } > 0, \\ a_{n+1} = b_{n+1} = a_n^{1+\delta}, n \geq 1. \\ \alpha = \alpha' = 1 + \delta. \end{cases}$

We verify that the hypothesis  $\ln(a_n) \ln(b_n) = O(\ln(b_{n+1} {}^b q_n^2))$  of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied. We have

$$\ln(a_n) \ln(b_n) = (1 + \delta)^{2n-2} \times (\ln a_1)^2,$$

and for all  $n \geq 2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n+1} {}^b q_n^2 &\geq b_{n+1} b_n^2 \\ &\geq a_1^{(1+\delta)^n} \times (a_1^{(1+\delta)^{n-1}})^2 \\ &\geq (a_1)^{(1+\delta)^n + (1+\delta)^{2n-2}} \\ &\geq (a_1)^{(1+\delta)^{2n-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\ln(a_n) \cdot \ln(b_n)}{\ln(b_{n+1} {}^b q_n^2)} \leq \ln(a_1) = \ln 3.$$

By applying Theorem 2.1, we deduce that  $A^A$  is a transcendental number.

### 2.2 The transcendental measure of a continued fraction $A^B$

In this subsection, we give the second main result of this article. We keep the same notations as in the first subsection.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X] \setminus \{0\}$  be a polynomial of degree  $d \geq 2$ , and height  $H \geq a_2^{d+1/2}$ . Let  $k$  be a real number  $\geq 1$  and  $\alpha = 2d + 1$  such that*

$$a_n^\alpha \leq b_{n+1} \leq a_{n+1} \leq a_n^{k\alpha} \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Then, we have

$$|P(A^B)| > \frac{1}{2} (Hd(d+1))^{-2kd(d+1)}.$$

**Remark 2.8.** We note that the continued fraction  $A^B$  defined in Theorem 2.7 is a transcendental number.

For the proof of Theorem 2.7 further Lemma is needed.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $k$  be a real number  $> 1$ . The hypothesis  $a_n \leq a_{n-1}^{k\alpha}$  for all  $n \geq 2$  implies that*

$${}^a q_n < 2 {}^a q_{n-1}^{\frac{k\alpha^2}{\alpha-1}}.$$

*Proof.* By the same method as in Lemma 2.4 we prove Lemma 2.9. □

*Proof of Theorem 2.7.* We have assumed that the two numbers  $A$  and  $B$  are larger than 1, so  $A_n < 1$  and  $B_n < 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Put

$$P(X) = \sum_{k=0}^d e_k X^k, \quad e_k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In order to obtain a transcendental measure of  $A^B$ , we must minus  $|P(A^B)|$  by a positive function of  $H$  and  $d$ .

From equality

$$P(A_n^{B_n}) = P(A^B) + P(A_n^{B_n}) - P(A^B),$$

we get

$$|P(A_n^{B_n})| \leq |P(A^B)| + |P(A_n^{B_n}) - P(A^B)|.$$

Therefore,

$$|P(A^B)| \geq |P(A_n^{B_n})| - |P(A_n^{B_n}) - P(A^B)|. \tag{10}$$

Firstly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_n^{B_n}) &= \sum_{k=0}^d e_k \frac{({}^a p_n)^{kB_n}}{({}^a q_n)^{kB_n}} \\ &= \frac{1}{{}^a q_n^{dB_n}} \sum_{k=0}^d e_k ({}^a p_n)^{kB_n} ({}^a q_n)^{(d-k)B_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{k=0}^d e_k ({}^a p_n)^{kB_n} ({}^a q_n)^{(d-k)B_n} \neq 0,$$

because, if we assume that

$$\sum_{k=0}^d e_k ({}^a p_n)^{kB_n} ({}^a q_n)^{(d-k)B_n} = ({}^a q_n)^{(d-k)B_n} \cdot P(A_n^{B_n}) = 0,$$

then we would have

$$P(A^B) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P(A_n^{B_n}) = 0$$

which contradicts the transcendence of  $A^B$ . It follows that

$$|P(A_n^{B_n})| \geq \frac{1}{a_n^{dB_n}} > \frac{1}{a_n^d}. \tag{11}$$

On the other hand, according to Roll's theorem applied to  $P$  in the interval  $[A^B, A_n^{B_n}]$  or  $[A_n^{B_n}, A^B]$ , there exists a real number  $E \in ]A^B, A_n^{B_n}[$  or  $]A_n^{B_n}, A^B[$  such that:

$$|P(A^B) - P(A_n^{B_n})| = |A^B - A_n^{B_n}| |P'(E)|, \quad \text{with } 0 < E < 1. \tag{12}$$

From this, we obtain

$$|P'(E)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^d k |e_k| \leq H \frac{d(d+1)}{2}, \tag{13}$$

and the relationship (12) becomes

$$|P(A^B) - P(A_n^{B_n})| \leq \frac{1}{2} H d(d+1) |A^B - A_n^{B_n}|. \tag{14}$$

We notice that the function  $f(x, y) = x^y$  is continuously differentiable on every compact subset  $K$  of  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . Then by the mean value theorem to  $f$ , it follows the existence of a real constant  $C_1 = C(A, B) > 0$  depending only on  $A$  and  $B$  such that

$$|A^B - A_n^{B_n}| \leq C_1 \max(|A - A_n|, |B - B_n|) < C_1 |B - B_n| < \frac{C_1}{b_{n+1}},$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . Therefore, one has

$$|P(A^B) - P(A_n^{B_n})| < C_1 H \frac{d(d+1)}{2} \frac{1}{b_{n+1}} < \frac{C_1 H d(d+1)}{2a_n^\alpha},$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ .

Using relationships (11) and (14), (10) becomes

$$|P(A^B)| > \frac{1}{a_n^d} - \frac{C_1 H d(d+1)}{2a_n^\alpha} > \frac{1}{a_n^d} - \frac{C_1 H d(d+1)}{2a_n^\alpha}. \tag{15}$$

Now, we look for an integer  $n$  from which the quantities  $\frac{1}{a_n^d}$  and  $\frac{C_1 H d(d+1)}{2a_n^\alpha}$  are of the same order. So, in order to satisfy  $|P(A^B)| > 1/(2a_n^d)$ , it is sufficient to have

$$\frac{1}{a_n^d} - \frac{C_1 H d(d+1)}{2a_n^\alpha} > \frac{1}{2a_n^d}.$$

Which is equivalent to the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{2a_n^d} > \frac{C_1 Hd(d+1)}{2a_n^\alpha}$$

that is

$$a_n^\alpha > C_1 Hd(d+1)a_n^d.$$

For this, it is sufficient that  $n$  verifies

$$a_n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} > C_1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} > Hd(d+1)a_n^d. \tag{16}$$

The first part of Relationship (16) is easy to achieve. Therefore, the second inequality is equivalent to

$$a_n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-d} > Hd(d+1).$$

Let  $n_1$  be the smallest integer  $\geq 2$  such that

$$a_{n_1-1}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-d} \leq Hd(d+1) < a_{n_1}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-d}. \tag{17}$$

The integer  $n_1$  exists because we have assumed that  $Hd(d+1) > H \geq a_2^{\alpha/2}$  and the sequence  $(a_n^\alpha)_n$  is increasing and tends to  $+\infty$ . Then, we have

$$|P(A^B)| > \frac{1}{2a_{n_1}^d}.$$

Since,  $a_{n_1} \leq a_{n_1-1}^{k\alpha}$ , then we obtain

$$|P(A^B)| > \frac{1}{2a_{n_1-1}^{kd\alpha}}.$$

On the other hand, the left-hand side of (17) implies that

$$|P(A^B)| > \frac{1}{2(HD(d+1))^{\frac{kd\alpha}{\alpha/2-d}}}.$$

Finally, since  $\alpha = 2d + 1$ , we conclude that

$$|P(A^B)| > \frac{1}{2}(HD(d+1))^{-2kd(2d+1)}.$$

Which achieves the proof of Theorem 2.7. □

**Example 2.10.** Let

$$\begin{cases} a_0 = b_0 = 0, a_1 = b_1 = 2, \\ a_{n+1} = b_{n+1} = a_n^5, \quad n \geq 2, \\ \alpha = \alpha' = 5, k = 1. \end{cases}$$

In the same way, as in Example 2.6, we prove that  $A^A$  is a transcendental number. Let  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X] \setminus \{0\}$  be a quadratic polynomial of height  $H \geq a_2^{5/2} = 2^{25/2}$ . By applying Theorem 2.7, a transcendental measure of  $A^A$  is given by

$$|P(A^A)| > \frac{1}{2}(6H)^{-10}.$$



## References

- [1] Bundschuh P.: Transcendental Continued Fractions. *J. Numb. Th.* 18 (1984) 91–98.
- [2] Durand A.: Indépendance algébrique de nombres complexes et critère de transcendance. *Compos. Math.* 35 (1977) 259–267.
- [3] Kacha A.: Mesures de transcendance et d'indépendance algébrique de fractions continues. *Proy. Rev. de Mat.* 18 (2) (1999) 183–193.
- [4] Kacha A.: *Approximation algébrique de fractions continues*. Thèse de Doctorat d'Université de Caen, France, Spécialité Mathématiques (1993).
- [5] Karadeniz G. G., Pekin A., and Kiliçman A.: On the transcendence of some power series. *Adv. in Diff. eq.* 17 (2013) 1–8.
- [6] Lianxiang W.: p-adic continued fraction (II). *Scient. Sinica Ser. A* 28 (10) (1985) 1018–1028.
- [7] Liouville J.: Sur des classes très étendues de quantités dont la valeur n'est ni algébrique, ni même réductible à des irrationnelles algébriques. *C. R. Mat. Acad. Sci. Paris* 18 (1844) 883–855.
- [8] Nettler J.: Transcendental continued fractions. *J. Numb. Th.* 13 (1981) 456–462.
- [9] Nyblom M.A.: A Theorem on transcendence of infinite series II. *J. Numb. Th.* 91 (2001) 71–80.
- [10] Okano T.: A note on the transcendental continued fractions. *Tokyo J. Math.* 10 (1) (1987) 151–156.
- [11] Roth K.F.: Rational approximations to algebraic numbers. *Math.* 2 (1955) 1–20.

*Received:* October 19, 2021

*Accepted for publication:* February 21, 2022

*Communicated by:* Attila Bérczes