

On a theorem of J. Shallit concerning Fibonacci partitions

F. V. Weinstein

Abstract. In this note, I prove a claim on determinants of some special tridiagonal matrices. Together with my result about Fibonacci partitions (<https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0307150.pdf>), this claim allows one to prove one (slightly strengthened) Shallit's result (<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2007.14930.pdf>) about such partitions.

1 Introduction

Let $f_1 = 1$, $f_2 = 2$ and $f_i = f_{i-1} + f_{i-2}$ for $i > 2$ be the sequence of Fibonacci numbers. Observe that the “conventional” definition of Fibonacci numbers is different, see http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fibonacci_number.

A *Fibonacci partition* of a positive integer n is a representation of n as an unordered sum of distinct Fibonacci numbers, which are referred to as the *parts* of the Fibonacci partition.

Let $\Phi_h(n)$ be the quantity (the cardinality of the set) of Fibonacci partitions of n with h parts. J. Shallit has established the following interesting property of the function $\Phi_h(n)$: for integers $n > 0$, $d \geq 2$ and i , let $r_{d,i}(n)$ be the quantity of all Fibonacci partitions of n with number of parts $\equiv i \pmod{d}$. Then, (see [3, Th. 2])

$$|r_{3,i}(n) - r_{3,i+1}(n)| \leq 1.$$

To prove this inequality, J. Shallit used a technique of automata theory.

Set

$$\Phi(n; t) := \sum_{h>0} \Phi_h(n) t^h.$$

In [4], I obtained a formula which expresses $\Phi(n; t)$ as determinant of a tridiagonal matrix depending on n . In §2 of this note, I establish Theorem 2.6 on a property of such determinants.

MSC 2020: Primary 05A15; Secondary 11B39

Keywords: Fibonacci partition

Affiliation: N/A

E-mail: felix.weinstein46@gmail.com

In §3, I explain (Theorem 3.1) how the mentioned (see [4]) formula for $\Phi(n; t)$ together with Theorem 2.6 imply not only Shallit's result, but also the formula

$$(r_{3,0}(n) - r_{3,1}(n)) \cdot (r_{3,0}(n) - r_{3,2}(n)) \cdot (r_{3,1}(n) - r_{3,2}(n)) = 0.$$

2 3-special polynomials

Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer number. For any $g(t) = \sum_{h \geq 0} a_h t^h \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$, define

$$\|g(t)\| := \sum_{h \geq 0} a_h, \quad R_i(g(t)) := \sum_{h \equiv i \pmod{d}} a_h, \quad \text{where } i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}.$$

Let $K_d[T] := \mathbb{Z}[T]/(T^d - 1)$. Define a map $R^{(d)} : \mathbb{Z}[t] \rightarrow K_d[T]$ by the formula

$$R^{(d)}(g(t)) := R_0(g(t)) + R_1(g(t))T + \dots + R_{d-1}(g(t))T^{d-1}.$$

The following Lemma is subject to easy direct verification.

2.1. Lemma. *The map $R^{(d)} : \mathbb{Z}[t] \rightarrow K_d[T]$ is a homomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -algebras.*

In this Section, I consider only the case $d = 3$. For brevity, set $K := K_3[T]$ and $R := R^{(3)}$.

For any $g(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$, we obviously have

$$R((1 + t + t^2) \cdot g(t)) = \|g(t)\| \cdot \varphi(T), \quad \text{where } \varphi(T) := 1 + T + T^2. \quad (1)$$

2.2. Definition. We say that $a + bT + cT^2 \in K$ is a *special element* if either $a = b = c$, or $|a - b| + |a - c| + |b - c| = 2$.

Formula (1) easily implies

2.3. Lemma. *An element $A[T] \in K$ is special if and only if*

$$A[T] \cdot (T - 1) \in M[T] := \{0, \pm(T - 1), \pm T(T - 1), \pm T^2(T - 1)\}.$$

2.4. Corollary. *Any product of special elements is a special element.*

2.5. Definition. We say that $g(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is a *3-special polynomial* if $R(g(t))$ is a special element.

In what follows, $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ is either a vector with integer non-negative coordinates if $m > 0$, or the empty set if $m = 0$. Let us define a polynomial

$$\Delta(A; t) := \Delta(a_1, \dots, a_m; t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$$

by the formulas

$$\Delta(\emptyset; t) := 1, \quad \Delta(0; t) := 0, \quad \Delta(a; t) := t + t^2 + \dots + t^a \quad \text{for } a > 0,$$

$$\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_m; t) := \Delta(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}; t) \cdot \Delta(a_m; t) - \Delta(a_1, \dots, a_{m-2}; t) \cdot t^{a_m+1} \quad \text{if } m \geq 2. \quad (2)$$

Obviously, for $m > 0$,

$$\Delta(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; t) = \begin{vmatrix} \Delta(a_1; t) & t^{a_2+1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & \Delta(a_2; t) & t^{a_3+1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & \Delta(a_{m-1}; t) & t^{a_m+1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \Delta(a_m; t) \end{vmatrix}.$$

The main result of this note is

2.6. Theorem. *For any $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$, the polynomial $\Delta(A; t)$ is a 3-special one.*

The proof uses the following auxiliary claim.

2.7. Lemma. *Let $\varepsilon(A) := (\varepsilon(a_1), \dots, \varepsilon(a_m))$, where $\varepsilon(a) := a - 3 \lfloor \frac{a}{3} \rfloor$. Then,*

$$R(\Delta(A; t)) = R(\Delta(\varepsilon(A); t)) + k \cdot \varphi(T), \quad \text{where } k = k(A) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. Let us prove by induction on m . For $m = 1$ and $a \geq 1$, we have

$$\Delta(a; t) = t \left(1 + t^3 + \dots + t^{3 \lfloor \frac{a}{3} \rfloor} \right) (1 + t + t^2) + t^{3 \lfloor \frac{a}{3} \rfloor} \cdot \Delta(\varepsilon(a); t).$$

Applying R to both sides of this equality we obtain

$$R(\Delta(a; t)) = R(\Delta(\varepsilon(a); t)) + k \cdot \varphi(T), \quad \text{where } k = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{a}{3} \right\rfloor. \quad (3)$$

For $m \geq 2$, let us apply R to expression (2). The induction hypothesis, Lemma 2.1, formulas (1) and (3), the obvious formula $R(t^a) = T^{\varepsilon(a)}$, and a short computation yield the required result. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.6. In view of Lemma 2.7, it suffices to assume that $a_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Keeping Lemma 2.3 in mind, define

$$S(a_1, \dots, a_m) := R(\Delta(a_1, \dots, a_m; t)) \cdot (T - 1) \in K.$$

The expression (2) and formula $\varphi(T) \cdot (T - 1) = 0$ easily imply the recurrent formula

$$S(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \begin{cases} -S(a_1, \dots, a_{m-2}) \cdot T & \text{if } a_m = 0, \\ S(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}) \cdot T + S(a_1, \dots, a_{m-2}) \cdot (T + 1) & \text{if } a_m = 1, \\ -S(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}) - S(a_1, \dots, a_{m-2}) & \text{if } a_m = 2. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

By Lemma 2.3 it remains to show that $S(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in M[T]$.

Let us prove this by induction on m . For $m = 1, 2$, the claim is directly checked. In particular, $S(0) = 0$ and $S(a, 0) = -T(T - 1)$.

For $a_m = 0$, the last expressions and formula (4) imply the theorem by induction for any $m \geq 1$. Therefore, assume that $a_m = 1$ or $a_m = 2$. From expressions (4) it is not difficult to obtain the expressions

$$S(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, 1) = \begin{cases} S(a_1, \dots, a_{m-2}, 2) \cdot T^2 & \text{if } a_{m-1} = 0, \\ -S(a_1, \dots, a_{m-2}, 2) \cdot (T + 1) & \text{if } a_{m-1} = 1, \\ S(a_1, \dots, a_{m-3}, a_{m-2} + 2) \cdot T & \text{if } a_{m-1} = 2, \end{cases}$$

$$S(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, 2) = \begin{cases} -S(a_1, \dots, a_{m-3}, a_{m-2} + 2) \cdot T & \text{if } a_{m-1} = 0, \\ S(a_1, \dots, a_{m-2}, 2) \cdot (T + 1) & \text{if } a_{m-1} = 1, \\ S(a_1, \dots, a_{m-3}) & \text{if } a_{m-1} = 2. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$(T - 1)(T + 1) = -T^2(T - 1),$$

these expressions and the induction hypothesis complete the proof. □

3 An application to Fibonacci partitions

In §2 of the article [4], for any positive integer n , a certain sequence is uniquely defined

$$\alpha(n) = \{\alpha_1(n), \alpha_2(n), \dots, \alpha_{k(n)}(n)\} \tag{5}$$

where $\alpha_k(n)$ is a vector with positive integer coordinates for any $k = 1, 2, \dots, k(n)$, and it is shown ([4, Th.2.11]) that

$$\Phi(n; t) = \Delta(\alpha_1(n); t) \cdot \Delta(\alpha_2(n); t) \cdot \dots \cdot \Delta(\alpha_{k(n)}(n); t).$$

By Theorem 2.6 the polynomial $\Delta(\alpha_k(n); t)$ is a 3-special one for any k . Thus, Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.4 imply

3.1. Theorem. *For any integer $n > 0$, the polynomial $\Phi(n; t)$ is a 3-special one.*

3.2. Remark. Using arguments similar to those in §2 (where $d = 3$ is replaced with $d = 2$) and the formula for $\Phi(n; t)$ one can easily show that $|r_{2,0}(n) - r_{2,1}(n)| \leq 1$ for any positive integer n . It is obvious that this inequality is equivalent to the analytic identity

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{f_i}) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n)x^n, \quad \text{where } |\chi(n)| \leq 1. \tag{6}$$

For other proofs of this identity, see [1],[2] and [4].

In addition to that, an interesting result of Y. Zhao should be mentioned. Namely, Proposition 2 of the article [5] implies the polynomial identity

$$\prod_{a \leq i \leq b} (1 - x^{f_i}) = 1 + \sum_n \chi_{a,b}(n)x^n, \quad \text{where } |\chi_{a,b}(n)| \leq 1,$$

which is valid for any positive integers $a \leq b$.

3.3. Conjecture. For positive integers $a \leq b$, let

$$M(a, b) := \{f_a, f_{a+1}, \dots, f_b\}.$$

For integers $n > 0$ and i , let $r_{3,i}^{(a,b)}(n)$ be the quantity of Fibonacci partitions of n with parts from the set $M(a, b)$ and with number of parts $\equiv i \pmod{3}$.

Then, $\left| r_{3,i}^{(a,b)}(n) - r_{3,j}^{(a,b)}(n) \right| \leq 1$ for any $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Moreover,

$$\left(r_{3,0}^{(a,b)}(n) - r_{3,1}^{(a,b)}(n) \right) \cdot \left(r_{3,0}^{(a,b)}(n) - r_{3,2}^{(a,b)}(n) \right) \cdot \left(r_{3,1}^{(a,b)}(n) - r_{3,2}^{(a,b)}(n) \right) = 0.$$

References

- [1] F. Ardila. The coefficients of a Fibonacci power series. *Fibonacci Quart.* **42**(3) (2004), 202–204.
- [2] N. Robbins. Fibonacci Partitions. *Fibonacci Quart.* **34**(4) (1996), 306–313.
- [3] Jeffrey Shallit. Robbins and Ardila meet Berstel. *Information Processing Letters*, **167** (2021) 106081.
- [4] F. V. Weinstein. Notes on Fibonacci Partitions. *Experimental Math.* **25**(4) (2016) 482–499.
- [5] Y. Zhao. The coefficients of a truncated Fibonacci series. *Fibonacci Quart.* **46/47** (2008/2009), 53–55.