Communications in Mathematics **32** (2024), no. 1, 281–304 DOI: https://doi.org/10.46298/cm.11749 ©2024 Manoel dos Santos and Renato Lobato This is an open access article licensed under the CC BY-SA 4.0

Upper semicontinuity of the attractor for a nonlinear hyperbolicparabolic coupled system with fractional Laplacian

Manoel dos Santos and Renato Lobato

Abstract. In this paper we establish the existence and uniqueness of global solutions (in time), as well as the existence, regularity and stability (upper semicontinuity) of the attractors for the semigroup generated by the solutions of a two-dimensional nonlinear hyperbolic-parabolic coupled system with fractional Laplacian. In addition, we also obtain the existence of an exponential attractor and show that this attractor has a finite fractal dimension in a space containing the phase space of the dynamical system.

1 Introduction

In this work, we study the long-time behavior of the solutions of the following twodimensional nonlinear hyperbolic-parabolic coupled system with fractional Laplacian

$$u_{tt} + Au + A^{\nu}u_t - \delta A^{\sigma}\theta + f(u) = h, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \infty], \\ \theta_t + A\theta + \delta A^{\sigma}u_t = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \infty],$$
(1)

where δ is a positive constant, $\nu, \sigma \in [0, 1]$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. We consider Dirichlet boundary conditions, that is

$$u = \theta = 0, \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty],$$
(2)

Contact information:

Manoel Dos Santos: *Affiliation:* Federal University of Pará, Brazil. *Email:* jeremias@ufpa.br Renato Lobato: *Affiliation:* Federal University of Pará, Brazil. *Email:* renatolobato@ufpa.br

MSC 2020: 35B40, 35B41, 37L30.

Keywords: Hyperbolic-parabolic coupled system, Global and Exponential Attractors, Upper Semicontinuity of the attractors, Fractional Laplacian.

and initial conditions

$$u(\cdot,0) = u_0(\cdot), \quad u_t(\cdot,0) = u_1(\cdot), \quad \theta(\cdot,0) = \theta_0(\cdot), \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,$$
(3)

where u_0 , u_1 and θ are known functions belonging to appropriate spaces. The operator $A^{\gamma} : D(A^{\gamma}) \subset L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)$ is the fractional power of order $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ associated with Laplacian operator

$$A = -\Delta : D(A) \subset L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega), \quad \text{with domain} \quad D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega).$$
(4)

Physically, u represents the transverse displacement with respect to Ω and θ is the temperature. The system (1) possesses an important characteristic: the first equation is nonlinear hyperbolic in unknown u and the second equation is linear parabolic with respect to unknown θ , that is, (1) is a nonlinear hyperbolic-parabolic coupled system. Systems of this nature, besides modeling the kinetics of a viscoelastic body with heat conduction (thermoviscoelastic systems), can also model the kinetics of gases and liquids [22]. There are many studies considering the asymptotic behavior of thermoelastic system solutions, among them [11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22].

The solutions of the system (1)-(3) give rise to a family of nonlinear semigroups $S_{\beta}(t)$, indexed in $\beta = (\nu, \sigma) \in \Lambda := [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ defined in a phase space \mathcal{H} , therefore resulting in a family of dynamical systems ($\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t)$). Then, there are issues of considerable interest such as:

- a) Existence of global and exponential attractor, \mathfrak{A}_{β} and $\mathfrak{A}_{\beta}^{exp}$, respectively for $(\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t))$, $\beta \in \Lambda$;
- b) The finite dimensionality of the global attractor;
- c) Regularity of trajectories from the attractor \mathfrak{A}_{β} ;
- d) non-explosion property (upper semicontinuity) of attractors family $\{\mathfrak{A}_{\beta}\}_{\beta\in\Lambda}$ at (0,0).

The structure of the dynamical system (phase space and evolution operator), obtained by solutions of (1)-(3), allows us to apply the concept of quasi-stability, introduced by I. Chueshov and I. Lasicka in [3] (see also [2,5,6]) to the study of the existence of the global attractor, as well as to the study of some properties of this global attractor. In this work, we will show that the dynamical system ($\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t)$) is quasi-stable on bounded positively invariant sets, for this, we must prove a inequality known as stabilizability estimate (57).

The finite fractal dimensionality of global attractor is a very interesting property, there are several approaches for obtaining it, the fractal dimension is a concept of dimension measurement widely used in the theory of infinite dimensional dynamical systems. A compact set with a finite fractal dimension can be considered as the image of a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n , for some n, by a continuous Hölder application (see [2,3,5,6,7]).

To investigate the upper semicontinuity, note that the parameters $\beta \in \Lambda$ gives rise to a family of semigroups $S_{\beta}(t)$ generated by the solutions of (1)-(3) and let us prove that the dynamical system $(\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t))$ possesses a compact global attractor \mathfrak{A}_{β} for any $\beta \in \Lambda$. In addition, the dynamical system $(\mathcal{H}, S_0(t))$, where $S_{\beta_0}(t)$ is the semigroup generated by the limiting solutions $\beta_0 = (0, 0)$, that is

$$u_{tt} + Au + u_t - \delta\theta + f(u) = h, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \infty], \theta_t + A\theta + \delta u_t = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \infty],$$
(5)

also possesses a compact global attractor \mathfrak{A}_{β_0} . We must prove that

$$\lim_{\beta \to 0} d_{\mathcal{H}}\{\mathfrak{A}_{\beta}|\mathfrak{A}_{\beta_0}\} = 0, \tag{6}$$

where $d_{\mathcal{H}}\{\mathfrak{A}_{\beta}|\mathfrak{A}_{\beta_0}\}$ is the Hausdorff semidistance between \mathfrak{A}_{β} and \mathfrak{A}_{β_0} defined in Section A.

2 Notations and Assumptions

In this paper, we represent by $\|\cdot\|_q$ the norm in the Lebesgue space $L^q(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. When q = 2, we user $(\cdot, \cdot)_2$ to represent the inner product in $L^2(\Omega)$ that is given by. In addition, we use standard notations for Sobolev spaces $H^i(\Omega)$, for i = 1, 2, 3, and $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is the dual of $H^1_0(\Omega)$. For $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the Hilbert spaces $D(A^{\gamma/2})$ endowed with the inner product and the norm given, respectively, by

$$(u,v)_{D(A^{\gamma/2})} = (A^{\gamma/2}u, A^{\gamma/2}v)_2 \text{ and } \|u\|_{D(A^{\gamma/2})} = \|A^{\gamma/2}u\|_2.$$
 (7)

Moreover, for $\gamma_2 > \gamma_1$ with $0 \le \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \le 1$ the embeddings $D(A^{\gamma_2/2}) \hookrightarrow D(A^{\gamma_1/2})$ are compact and

$$||u||_{D(A^{\gamma_1/2})} \le \kappa ||u||_{D(A^{\gamma_2/2})}, \quad \forall u \in D(A^{\gamma_2/2}), \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa = \max\{\lambda_1^{-1/2}, 1\},$$
(8)

where λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of Laplacian (in this case, embedding constants κ do not depend on γ_1 or γ_2). We adopt the following notations for the spaces

$$V_0 = L^2(\Omega), \quad V_1 = D(A^{1/2}) = H_0^1(\Omega), \quad V_2 = D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega).$$
 (9)

Throughout this paper, we consider the following assumptions:

Assumption 1. For external force, let us consider $h \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Assumption 2. Concerning the nonlinear term $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, we assume that f is $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ function and there exist $p \ge 0$, $C_f > 0$ and $m_F > 0$ such that

$$|f'(s)| \le C_f (1+|s|^p), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$$
(10)

and

$$f(s)s \ge 0 \quad and \quad F(s) \ge -m_F, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R},$$
(11)

where $F(s) = \int_0^s f(\tau) d\tau$.

Remark 2.1. Note that integrating (10) over [0, s], we obtain

$$|f(s) - f(0)| \le C_f \left(|s| + \frac{|s|^{p+1}}{p+1} \right) \le C_f (|s| + |s|^{p+1}) \le C_f (|s|^{p+1} + 1 + |s|^{p+1}) \le C_f (1+2|s|^{p+1}).$$
(12)

From (11) $(f(s)s \ge 0)$ we have f(0) = 0. Therefore,

$$|f(s)| \le C_f (1+2|s|^{p+1}) \le 2C_f (1+|s|^{p+1}).$$
(13)

Based on (13) and on definition of F(s), we have

$$|F(s)| \leq \int_0^s |f(\tau)| d\tau \leq 2C_f \int_0^s (1+|\tau|^{p+1}) d\tau \leq 2C_f \left(|s| + \frac{|s|^{p+2}}{p+2}\right) \leq 2C_f \left(|s|^{p+2} + 1 + |s|^{p+2}\right).$$
(14)

Considering $C_F = 4C_f > 0$, we arrive at

$$|F(s)| \le C_F(1+|s|^{p+2}), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(15)

In this section, we establish the existence and uniqueness of a global solution (in time) for (1)-(3). The main result of this section is Theorem 2.9. First, let us consider the following Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} := V_1 \times V_0 \times V_0, \tag{16}$$

endowed with the inner product

$$\langle (u,\varphi,\theta), (\widetilde{u},\widetilde{\varphi},\widetilde{\theta}) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} := (A^{1/2}u, A^{1/2}\widetilde{u})_2 + (\varphi,\widetilde{\varphi})_2 + (\theta,\widetilde{\theta})_2$$
(17)

and the norm induced by (17)

$$\|(u,\varphi,\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|A^{1/2}u\|_2^2 + \|\varphi\|_2^2 + \|\theta\|_2^2.$$
(18)

Considering $U(t) = (u(t), u_t(t), \theta(t)), U_0 = (u_0, u_1, \theta_0)$ and according to the previous settings, we can rewrite (1)-(3) in the form of following initial value problem in \mathcal{H}

$$\begin{cases} U_t(t) + \mathcal{A}U(t) &= \mathcal{F}(U(t)), \quad t > 0, \\ U(0) &= U_0 \in \mathcal{H}, \end{cases}$$
(19)

where $\mathcal{A}: D(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{A}\begin{pmatrix} u\\\varphi\\\theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\varphi\\Au + A^{\nu}\varphi - \delta A^{\sigma}\theta\\A\theta + \delta A^{\sigma}\varphi \end{pmatrix}$$
(20)

with

$$D(\mathcal{A}) := \Big\{ (u, \varphi, \theta) \in \mathcal{H}; \ u, \theta \in H^2(\Omega), \ \varphi \in V_1 \Big\},$$
(21)

and

$$\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} u\\\varphi\\\theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\h-f(u)\\0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(22)

Definition 2.2. A strong solution to (19) on [0, T) is a continuous function $U : [0, T) \to \mathcal{H}$, continuously differentiable on (0, T), $U(t) \in D(\mathcal{A})$ for any $t \in (0, T)$ and (19) holds on [0, T).

Definition 2.3. A mild solution to (19) on [0, T] is a continuous function $U : [0, T] \to \mathcal{H}$ satisfying the following integral equation

$$U(t) = T(t)U_0 + \int_0^t T(t-s)\mathcal{F}(U(s))ds, \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$
(23)

where T(t) is the semigroup generated by \mathcal{A} . As can be seen in [13][Chapter 6], any strong solution of (19) is also a mild solution.

Definition 2.4. A weak solution to (1)-(3) is a function $U = (u, u_t, \theta) \in C([0, \infty); \mathcal{H})$ with $U(0) = (u_0, u_1, \theta_0)$ such that the following identity is satisfied in the distributional sense

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u_t,\varphi)_2 + (A^{1/2}u, A^{1/2}\varphi)_2 + (A^{\nu}u_t,\varphi)_2 - \delta(A^{\sigma}\theta,\varphi)_2 + (f(u),\varphi)_2 = (h,\varphi)_2, \\
\frac{d}{dt}(\theta,\phi)_2 + (A^{1/2}\theta, A^{1/2}\phi)_2 + \delta(A^{\sigma}u_t,\phi)_2 = 0,$$
(24)

for all $\varphi, \phi \in V_1$.

Remark 2.5. By adapting an argument found in [13][Chapter 4] it is possible to show that every mild solution on [0, T] is the uniform limit on [0, T'], for every 0 < T' < T, of strong solutions to (19).

2.1 Energy of Solutions

Let $U(t) = (u(t), u_t(t), \theta(t))$ be a strong solution of (19) on [0, T). The energy E = E(t) associated to U(t) is defined by

$$E(t) := \frac{1}{2} \Big[\|A^{1/2}u(t)\|_2^2 + \|u_t(t)\|_2^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_2^2 \Big] = \frac{1}{2} \|U(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2,$$
(25)

and the modified energy $\mathcal{E}(t)$ given by

$$\mathcal{E}(t) := E(t) + \int_{\Omega} F(u)dx - \int_{\Omega} hudx.$$
(26)

Lemma 2.6. The modified energy (26) associated to solution $U(t) = (u(t), u_t(t), \theta(t))$ to (19) on [0, T) is nonincreasing and satisfies

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \ge \frac{1}{4} \|U(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - K, \quad t \in [0, T),$$
(27)

and

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \le M(\|U(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{p+2} + 1), \quad t \in [0, T),$$
(28)

for some positive constants K and M independent of U, ν and σ . As a consequence of (27), one obtains

$$\lim_{t \to T^-} \|U(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \infty.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

Proof. Suppose U(t) is a strong solution. Taking the inner products (in V_0) of $(1)_1$ with u_t , $(1)_2$ with θ and adding the results, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dt}(t) = -\|A^{1/2}\theta\|_2^2 - \|A^{\nu/2}u_t\|_2^2.$$
(30)

Therefore, $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is nonincreasing, in particular

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \le \mathcal{E}(0), \quad t \in [0, T). \tag{31}$$

To show (27), we start by integrating (11) over Ω with respect to x, to get

$$\int_{\Omega} F(u)dx \ge -|\Omega|m_F.$$
(32)

Now, applying Young's inequality and (8), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} hudx \le \kappa^2 \|h\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|A^{1/2}u\|_2^2.$$
(33)

Combining (26), (32) and (33), we arrive at

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \ge \frac{1}{4} \|A^{1/2}u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\theta\|_2^2 - \underbrace{\left[\kappa^2 \|h\|_2^2 + |\Omega|m_F\right]}_{K}.$$
(34)

From (34) we obtain (27), and from (27) and (31) we obtain (29). On the other hand, from (15), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} F(u)dx \le C_F(|\Omega| + ||u||_{p+2}^{p+2}).$$
(35)

From embedding $V_1 \hookrightarrow L^{p+2}(\Omega)$, there exists a positive constant $C_{|\Omega|}$, depending only on Ω and on p+2, such that

$$C_{F}(|\Omega| + ||u||_{p+2}^{p+2}) \le C_{F}(|\Omega| + C_{|\Omega|} ||A^{1/2}u||_{2}^{p+2}) \le C_{1}(1 + (||A^{1/2}||_{2}^{2})^{(p+2)/2}) \le C_{1}(1 + ||U(t)||_{\mathcal{H}}^{p+2}),$$
(36)

where $C_1 = \max\{C_F | \Omega |, C_F C_{|\Omega|}\}$. From (33), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} hudx \le \kappa^2 \|h\|_2^2 + \|A^{1/2}u\|_2^2 \le \kappa^2 \|h\|^2 + \|U(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \le \kappa^2 \|h\|^2 + \|U(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{p+2} + 1 \le C_2(1 + \|U(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{p+2})$$
(37)

where $C_2 = \kappa^2 ||h||^2 + 1$. Now, note that

$$||U(t)||_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \le ||U(t)||_{\mathcal{H}}^{p+2} + 1.$$
(38)

From (26) and (35)-(38) and considering $M = C_1 + C_2 + 1$ we obtain (28). Applying a density argument, we can prove that (27) and (28) are valid for every mild solution on [0, T'] for any 0 < T' < T.

2.2 Existence of Solution

Lemma 2.7. The operator \mathcal{A} is m-accretive.

Proof. From definition of \mathcal{A} in (20) and (17), we have

$$\langle \mathcal{A}U, U \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \|A^{\nu/2}\varphi\|_2^2 + \|A^{1/2}\theta\|_2^2, \quad \forall U = (u, \varphi, \theta) \in D(\mathcal{A}).$$
(39)

Moreover, we have that $R(I + \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{H}$. In order to prove this, given $F = (u^*, \varphi^*, \theta^*) \in \mathcal{H}$, we must obtain $U = (u, \varphi, \theta) \in D(\mathcal{A})$, such that

$$(I + \mathcal{A})U = U^*. \tag{40}$$

The previous inequality is equivalent to the following system

$$u - \varphi = u^*, \tag{41}$$

$$\varphi + Au + A^{\nu}\varphi - \delta A^{\sigma}\theta = \varphi^*, \tag{42}$$

$$\theta + A\theta + \delta A^{\sigma} \varphi = \theta^*, \tag{43}$$

By using (41) into (42) and into (43), we obtain

$$u + Au + A^{\nu}u - \delta A^{\sigma}\theta = \varphi^* + u^* + A^{\nu}u^*, \qquad (44)$$

$$\theta + A\theta + \delta A^{\sigma} u = \theta^* + \delta A^{\sigma} u^*, \tag{45}$$

Note that the right sides of (44)-(45) belong to V_0 . To solve (44)-(45) we use a standard variational approach in order to obtain the bilinear functional $\mathcal{G}: (V_1 \times V_1)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathcal{G}\left((u,\theta),(\widetilde{u},\widetilde{\theta})\right) := (u,\widetilde{u})_2 + (A^{1/2}u,A^{1/2}\widetilde{u})_2 + (A^{\nu/2}u,A^{\nu/2}\widetilde{u})_2 - \delta(A^{\sigma/2}\theta,A^{\sigma/2}\widetilde{u})_2 + (\theta,\widetilde{\theta})_2 + (A^{1/2}\theta,A^{1/2}\widetilde{\theta})_2 + \delta(A^{\sigma/2}u,A^{\sigma/2}\widetilde{\theta})_2.$$
(46)

By using Holder's inequality and (8) it is not difficult to verify that \mathcal{G} is continuous. In addition

$$\mathcal{G}\Big((u,\theta),(u,\theta)\Big) := \|u\|_2^2 + \|A^{1/2}u\|_2^2 + \|A^{\nu/2}u\|_2^2 + \|\theta\|_2^2 + \|A^{1/2}\theta\|_2^2, \tag{47}$$

which shows that \mathcal{G} is coercive. Therefore, it follows from the Lax-Milgram's Theorem that the system (44)-(45) has a unique solution $(u, \theta) \in V_1 \times V_1$. From (41) we have $\varphi \in V_1$, from (45), we have $A\theta \in V_0$ and thus $\theta \in H^2(\Omega)$, from (44), we have $Au \in L^2(\Omega)$ and thus $u \in H^2(\Omega)$. Therefore $(u, \varphi, \theta) \in D(\mathcal{A})$ and the result follows from [21][Lemma 2.2.3]. \Box

Lemma 2.8. The operator \mathcal{F} is locally Lipschitz.

Proof. Let $U = (u, \varphi, \theta), \ \widetilde{U} = (\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{\theta})$ in \mathcal{H} and $\overline{K} > 0$ such that

$$\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \|\widetilde{U}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le \overline{K}.$$
(48)

Throughout the proof, C and $C(\overline{K})$ represent generic positive constants independent of K and depending on \overline{K} , respectively. From (22), we have

$$\|\mathcal{F}(U) - \mathcal{F}(\widetilde{U})\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |f(u) - f(\widetilde{u})|^2 dx.$$
(49)

By using Mean Value Theorem, Schwarz's inequality and (10), for any $x \in \Omega$ there exist $\vartheta = \vartheta(x) \in (0, 1)$, such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(u) - f(\widetilde{u})|^2 dx \le \int_{\Omega} |f'((1 - \vartheta)u + \vartheta \widetilde{u})|^2 |u - \widetilde{u}|^2 dx \le C \int_{\Omega} (1 + |(1 - \vartheta)u + \vartheta \widetilde{u})|^p)^2 |u - \widetilde{u}|^2 dx \le C \int_{\Omega} (1 + |u|^p + |\widetilde{u}|^p)^2 |u - \widetilde{u}|^2 dx.$$

$$(50)$$

It follows from Hölder's inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} (1+|u|^{p}+|\widetilde{u}|^{p})^{2}|u-\widetilde{u}|^{2}dx \leq C \bigg(\int_{\Omega} (1+|u|^{p}+|\widetilde{u}|^{p})^{3}\bigg)^{2/3} \bigg(\int_{\Omega} |u-\widetilde{u}|^{6}\bigg)^{1/3} \leq C(1+||u||_{3p}+||\widetilde{u}||_{3p})^{2p}||u-\widetilde{u}||_{6}^{2}.$$
(51)

From Sobolev embedding (in 2D) $V_1 \hookrightarrow L^s(\Omega)$ for $0 \le s < \infty$ and (48), we have

$$(1 + \|u\|_{3p} + \|\widetilde{u}\|_{3p})^{2p} \|u - \widetilde{u}\|_{6}^{2} \le C(1 + \|A^{1/2}u\|_{2} + \|A^{1/2}\widetilde{u}\|_{2})^{2p} \|A^{1/2}u - A^{1/2}\widetilde{u}\|_{2}^{2} \le C(\overline{K}) \|U - \widetilde{U}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2},$$
(52)

where $C(\overline{K})$ is a positive constant depending on K. Combining (49)-(52), we obtain the result.

Theorem 2.9 (Existence of Global Solution). Regarding the problem (19) we have:

- **a)** If $U_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ then (19) has a unique global (in time) mild solution;
- **b)** If $U_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$, then the mild solution obtained in (a) is strong solution;
- c) If $U^1(t)$ and $U^2(t)$ are two mild solutions to (19), then there exists a positive constant $C_0 = C_0(U^1(0), U^2(0))$ depending on $U^1(0)$ and on $U^2(0)$ such that, for every T > 0 we have

$$|U^{1}(t) - U^{2}(t)||_{\mathcal{H}} \le e^{C_{0}t} ||U^{1}(0) - U^{2}(0)||_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$
(53)

Proof. (a)-(b). Since \mathcal{A} is m-accretive and \mathcal{F} is local Lipschitz, It follows from [21][Theorem 2.5.4] that there exist $T_{\max} > 0$ such that if $U_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ then (19) has a unique mild solution on $[0, T_{\max})$ and if $U_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$ then (19) has a unique strong solution on $[0, T_{\max})$, in addition, (29) holds. Therefore, it follows from [21][Theorem 2.5.5] that $T_{\max} = \infty$.

(c). Using a standard procedure that consists of considering the norm of the difference between two mild solutions, the local Lipschitz continuity of \mathcal{F} and then applying the Grönwall's inequality (see [13][Section 6.1]), it is possible to obtain (53).

Remark 2.10. A (global) mild solution U(t) to (19) produces a nonlinear semigroup $S_{\beta}(t)$, $\beta = (\nu, \sigma) \in \Lambda$ on \mathcal{H} given by

$$S_{\beta}(t)U_{0} := U(t) = (u(t), u_{t}(t), \theta(t)), \quad U_{0} = (u_{0}, u_{1}, \theta_{0}) \in \mathcal{H}.$$
(54)

Thanks to the regularity of the solution U, we have $S_{\beta}(t)$ a C_0 -semigroup, therefore $(\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t))$ is a dynamical system.

3 Global Attractor and Finite Dimensionality

The goal of this section is to prove that the dynamical system $(\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t))$, given in Remark 2.10, possesses a compact global attractor (see Definition A.2). The main result of this section is

Theorem 3.1. The dynamical system $(\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t))$ possesses a compact global attractor $\mathfrak{A}_{\beta} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N}_{\beta})$, where $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N}_{\beta})$ is the unstable manifold emanating from set of stationary points \mathcal{N}_{β} of $(\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t))$ (see Definition A.5).

3.1 Quasi-Stability

The property of a dynamical system being quasi-stable on bounded positively invariant sets ensures it is asymptotically smooth (Theorem A.10).

If we consider (54), $X = Z = V_1$, $Y = V_0$, we obtain $\mathcal{H} = X \times Y \times Z$, with X compactly embedded in Y. In addition,

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; X) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_+; Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, Z).$$
 (55)

Therefore, the structures of the phase space \mathcal{H} and the evolution operator $S_{\beta}(t)$ satisfy the Assumption 3. The main result of this subsection is given by the following result: **Theorem 3.2.** The dynamical system $(\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t))$ is quasi-stable on every bounded positively invariant set $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and therefore is asymptotically smooth. More precisely, if $S_{\beta}(t)U_0^i =$ (u^i, u_t^i, θ^i) is mild solution of (1)-(3) with initial data $U_0^i \in \mathcal{O}$, i = 1, 2. Then, there exist nonnegative functions $a, b, c : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying Definition A.9(a)-(b) and a compact seminorm μ on X such that

$$\|S_{\beta}(t)U_{0}^{1} - S_{\beta}(t)U_{0}^{2}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le a(t)\|U_{0}^{1} - U_{0}^{2}\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$
(56)

and

$$\|S_{\beta}(t)U_{0}^{1} - S_{\beta}(t)U_{0}^{2}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \le b(t)\|U_{0}^{1} - U_{0}^{2}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} + c(t)\sup_{0\le s\le t}[\mu(u^{1}(s) - u^{2}(s))]^{2}.$$
(57)

Proof. First, by taking $a(t) := e^{C_0 t}$ in (53), we get (56). Now, let us consider the following settings, for i = 1, 2, consider $U_0^i = (u_0^i, u_1^i, \theta_0^i) \in \mathcal{O}$, such that

$$U^{i}(t) := S_{\beta}(t)U_{0}^{i} = (u^{i}(t), u_{t}^{i}(t), \theta^{i}(t)),$$
(58)

is strong solution of (1), so

$$U = U^1 - U^2 = (u, u_t, \theta), \tag{59}$$

where $u = u^1 - u^2$ and $\theta = \theta^1 - \theta^2$, satisfies

$$u_{tt} + Au + A^{\nu}u_t - \delta A^{\sigma}\theta = -G(u),$$

$$\theta_t - A\theta + \delta A^{\sigma}u_t = 0,$$
(60)

with boundary conditions

$$u = \theta = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma \times (0, \infty),$$
(61)

and initial conditions

$$(u(0), u_t(0), \theta(0)) = U_0^1 - U_0^2,$$
(62)

where

$$G(u) = f(u^{1}) - f(u^{2}).$$
(63)

Step 1. We claim that there exists a constant $C_{\mathcal{O}} > 0$ dependent only on \mathcal{O} , such that

$$\frac{dE}{dt}(t) \le -\frac{1}{2} \|A^{\nu/2}u_t\|_2^2 - \|A^{1/2}\theta\|_2^2 + C_{\mathcal{O}}\|u\|_6^2.$$
(64)

Multiplying $(60)_1$ by u_t , $(60)_2$ by θ , integrating over Ω and by using (8), we obtain

$$\frac{dE}{dt}(t) = -\|A^{\nu/2}u_t\|_2^2 - \|A^{1/2}\theta\|_2^2 - \int_{\Omega} G(u)u_t dx.$$
(65)

Now, applying the Young's inequality and (8), we get

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} G(u)u_t dx \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} |f(u^1) - f(u^2)| |u_t| dx \leq C_{\kappa} \int_{\Omega} |f(u^1) - f(u^2)|^2 dx + \frac{\kappa^{-2}}{2} ||u_t||_2^2$$

$$\leq C_{\kappa} \int_{\Omega} |f(u^1) - f(u^2)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} ||A^{\nu} u_t||_2^2,$$
(66)

where C_{κ} is a positive constant (depending on κ). Performing a calculation analogous to (50)-(51), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(u^{1}) - f(u^{2})|^{2} dx \le C(1 + ||u^{1}||_{3p} + ||u^{2}||_{3p})^{2p} ||u||_{6}^{2}.$$
(67)

Again using the Sobolev embedding mentioned in Lemma 2.8, we arrived at

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(u^{1}) - f(u^{2})|^{2} dx \le C(1 + ||A^{1/2}u^{1}||_{2}^{2} + ||A^{1/2}u^{2}||_{2}^{2})^{p} ||u||_{6}^{2} \le C_{\mathcal{O}} ||u||_{6}^{2}, \tag{68}$$

where $C_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a positive constant dependent only on \mathcal{O} (because \mathcal{O} is positively invariant). Combining (65), (66) and (68), we get (64).

Step 2. For function $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}(t)$, given by

$$\mathcal{J}(t) = (u_t, u)_2. \tag{69}$$

The following inequality holds

$$\mathcal{J}'(t) \leq -\frac{1}{2} \|A^{1/2}u\|_2^2 + \frac{5\kappa^2}{2} \|A^{\nu/2}u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{3\kappa^4}{2} \|A^{1/2}\theta\|_2^2 + C_{\mathcal{O}}\|u\|_6^2,$$
(70)

where $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ are constants. In fact, taking the derivative of \mathcal{J} and using $(60)_1$, we get

$$\mathcal{J}'(t) = -\|A^{1/2}u\|_2^2 - (A^{\nu/2}u_t, A^{\nu/2}u)_2 + \delta(A^{\sigma/2}\theta, A^{\sigma/2}u)_2 - \int_{\Omega} G(u)udx + \|u_t\|_2^2.$$
(71)

Applying the Hölder's and Young's inequalities, we have

$$\mathcal{J}'(t) \leq -\|A^{1/2}u\|_{2}^{2} + \left(\frac{3\kappa^{2}}{2}\|A^{\nu/2}u_{t}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{6\kappa^{2}}\|A^{\nu/2}u\|_{2}^{2}\right) + \left(\frac{3\kappa^{2}}{2}\|A^{\sigma/2}\theta\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{6\kappa^{2}}\|A^{\sigma/2}u\|_{2}^{2}\right) - \int_{\Omega} G(u)udx + \|u_{t}\|_{2}^{2}.$$
(72)

By using (8) in (72), we obtain

$$\mathcal{J}'(t) \leq -\|A^{1/2}u\|_{2}^{2} + \left(\frac{3\kappa^{2}}{2}\|A^{\nu/2}u_{t}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{6}\|A^{1/2}u\|_{2}^{2}\right) + \left(\frac{3\kappa^{4}}{2}\|A^{1/2}\theta\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{6}\|A^{1/2}u\|_{2}^{2}\right) - \int_{\Omega} G(u)udx + \kappa^{2}\|A^{\nu/2}u_{t}\|_{2}^{2}.$$
(73)

Performing a procedure analogous to (66)-(68) and applying the Poincaré's inequality, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} G(u)udx \le C_{\mathcal{O}} \|u\|_{6}^{2} + \frac{1}{6} \|A^{1/2}u\|_{2}^{2}.$$
(74)

Combining (73) and (74), we arrive at (70).

Step 3. Consider the functional

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = N_1 E(t) + N_2 \mathcal{J}(t), \tag{75}$$

where N_1 and N_2 are positive constants to be established later. By using Young's and Poincaré's inequalities in (69), we can show that there exists a positive constant γ_0 such that

$$|\mathcal{L}(t) - N_1 E(t)| \le \gamma_0 E(t), \tag{76}$$

thus

$$(N_1 - \gamma_0)E(t) \le \mathcal{L}(t) \le (N_1 + \gamma_0)E(t).$$
(77)

From (64), (70) and (75), we have

$$\mathcal{L}'(t) = N_{1}E'(t) + N_{2}\mathcal{J}'(t)$$

$$\mathcal{L}'(t) \leq N_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\|A^{\nu/2}u_{t}\|_{2}^{2} - \|A^{1/2}\theta\|_{2}^{2} + C_{\mathcal{O}}\|u\|_{6}^{2}\right) + N_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\|A^{1/2}u\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{5\kappa^{2}}{2}\|A^{\nu/2}u_{t}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{3\kappa^{4}}{2}\|A^{1/2}\theta\|_{2}^{2} + C_{\mathcal{O}}\|u\|_{6}^{2}\right)$$

$$\leq -\left(\frac{N_{1}}{2} - \frac{5N_{2}\kappa^{2}}{2}\right)\|A^{\nu/2}u_{t}\|_{2}^{2} - \frac{N_{2}}{2}\|A^{1/2}u\|_{2}^{2} - \left(N_{1} - \frac{3N_{2}\kappa^{4}}{2}\right)\|A^{1/2}\theta\|_{2}^{2} + \left(N_{1} + N_{2}\right)C_{\mathcal{O}}\|u\|_{6}^{2}.$$
(78)

Now, taking N_1 sufficiently large and taking into account (8), we obtain positive constants γ_1 , γ_2 and γ_3 such that

$$\gamma_1 E(t) \le \mathcal{L}(t) \le \gamma_2 E(t) \tag{79}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}'(t) \le -\gamma_3 E(t) + C_{\mathcal{O}} \|u\|_6^2.$$
(80)

By using (79) and (80), we arrive at

$$\mathcal{L}'(t) \le -\frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_2} \mathcal{L}(t) + C_{\mathcal{O}} \|u\|_6^2.$$
(81)

From inequality above, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ e^{\frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_2} t} \mathcal{L}(t) \right\} \le C_{\mathcal{O}} e^{\frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_2} t} \|u\|_6^2.$$
(82)

Integrating the inequality above over [0, t], we get

$$\mathcal{L}(t) \le \mathcal{L}(0)e^{-\frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_2}t} + C_{\mathcal{O}}\int_0^t e^{\frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_2}(s-t)} \|u(s)\|_6^2 ds.$$
(83)

By using (79) into (83) we obtain

$$E(t) \le \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} E(0) e^{-\frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_2}t} + \frac{C_{\mathcal{O}}}{\gamma_1} \int_0^t e^{\frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_2}(s-t)} ds \cdot \sup_{0 \le s \le t} [\mu(u(s))]^2,$$
(84)

where μ is the seminorm on V_1 defined by

$$\mu(z) = \|z\|_6. \tag{85}$$

From (58), (59) and (84), we obtain

$$\|S_{\beta}(t)U_{0}^{1} - S\nu(t)U_{0}^{2}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq \frac{\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1}}\|U_{0}^{1} - U_{0}^{2}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}e^{-\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{2}}t} + \frac{2C_{\mathcal{O}}}{\gamma_{1}}\int_{0}^{t}e^{\frac{\gamma_{3}}{\gamma_{2}}(s-t)}ds \cdot \sup_{0\leq s\leq t}[\mu(u^{1}(s) - u^{2}(s))]^{2}.$$
(86)

So, by taking

$$b(t) := \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} e^{-\frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_2}t} \quad \text{and} \quad c(t) := \frac{C_{\mathcal{O}}}{\gamma_1} \int_0^t e^{\frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_2}(s-t)} ds, \tag{87}$$

we obtain (57). It is worth mentioning that, using a density argument, the inequality (57) holds also for mild solutions at [0, T], for all T > 0.

Remark 3.3. Note that the constants obtained in Theorem 3.2 independ of ν and σ since embedding constants for $\nu, \sigma \in (0, 1/2)$ are uniform by (8).

3.2 Gradient System

By Definition A.5, the set \mathcal{N}_{β} , mentioned in Theorem 3.1 is defined as

$$\mathcal{N}_{\beta} = \{ U_0 \in \mathcal{H}; \ S_{\beta}(t)U_0 = U_0, \ \forall t \ge 0 \}.$$

$$(88)$$

Therefore, if $U_0 = (u_0, u_1, \theta_0) \in \mathcal{N}_\beta$, then from (23)

$$U_0 = T(t)U_0 + \int_0^t T(t-s)\mathcal{F}(U_0)ds,$$
(89)

and for every t > 0

$$-\frac{(T(t)-I)}{t}U_0 = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t T(\tau)\mathcal{F}(U_0)d\tau.$$
(90)

The limit as $t \to 0$ on the right side of the previous equality exists and is equal to $\mathcal{F}(U_0)$, so $U_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$, and satisfies

$$\mathcal{A}U_0 + \mathcal{F}(U_0) = 0. \tag{91}$$

Considering (20), (22) and (91), we arrive at

$$\mathcal{N}_{\beta} = \{ (u, 0, 0) \in D(\mathcal{A}) \mid Au + f(u) = h \},$$
(92)

in this case, \mathcal{N}_{β} is independent of β . Based on (92) we obtain the following lemma

Lemma 3.4. The set of stationary points \mathcal{N}_{β} of $(\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t))$ is bounded.

Proof. if $(u, 0, 0) \in \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$ then $u \in V_2$ satisfies

$$Au + f(u) = h. (93)$$

Multiplying (93) by u integrating over Ω , considering (11) and applying Young's and Poincaré's inequalities, we obtain

$$\|A^{1/2}u\|_{2}^{2} = -\int_{\Omega} f(u)udx + (h, u)_{2} \le \frac{\kappa^{2}}{2} \|h\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{1/2}u\|_{2}^{2},$$
(94)

thus,

$$\|(u,0,0)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|A^{1/2}u\|_2^2 \le \kappa^2 \|h\|_2^2.$$
(95)

Therefore, \mathcal{N}_{β} is bounded.

Let us now consider the function $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$, define by

$$\Phi(u,\varphi,\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \|(u,\varphi,\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \int_{\Omega} F(u)dx - (h,u)_2.$$
(96)

Note that Φ is continuous because F is continuous (Assumption 2). From Definition A.6, we have

Lemma 3.5. The dynamical system $(\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t))$ is gradient, with Φ given in (96) a strict Lyapunov function for $(\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t))$.

294

Proof. If $S_{\beta}(t)U_0 = (u(t), u_t(t), \theta(t))$ is a strong solution of (19), then from Lemma 2.6, for any $U_0 = (u_0, u_1, \theta_0) \in \mathcal{H}, t \mapsto \Phi(S_{\beta}(t)U_0)$ is nonincreasing. In addition, if $\Phi(S_{\beta}(t)U_0) = \Phi(U_0)$ for any t > 0, then from (30) and (8), we have

$$0 = \Phi(S(t)U_0) - \Phi(U_0) = -\int_0^t \|A^{\nu/2}u_t\|_2^2 d\tau - \int_0^t \|A^{1/2}\theta\|_2^2 d\tau \le 0,$$
(97)

thus

$$\|A^{\nu/2}u_t(t)\|_2 = \|A^{1/2}\theta(t)\|_2 = 0.$$
(98)

From (8), we have

$$u_t(t) = \theta(t) = 0, \quad t \ge 0 \quad \text{so} \quad u(t) = u_0, \quad t > 0.$$
 (99)

Therefore, $U_0 = (u_0, 0, 0) \in \mathcal{N}_{\beta}$. This means that Φ is a strict Lyapunov function for $(\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t))$ on \mathcal{H} . Using a density argument, it is possible to establish that the inequality (97) is valid for mild solutions on [0, T] for all T > 0.

Lemma 3.6. The Lyapunov function (96) satisfies the following conditions:

- a) Φ is bounded from above on any bounded subset of \mathcal{H} ;
- **b)** The set $\Phi_R = \{ W \in \mathcal{H}; \ \Phi(W) \leq R \}$ is bounded.

Proof. (a) Since $(\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t))$ is gradient with Lyapunov function $\Phi = \mathcal{E}$, it follows from definition of $\mathcal{E}(t)$ that Φ is bounded from above on every bounded subset of \mathcal{H} .

(b) For every $W \in \Phi_R$, it follows from definition of Φ_R and Φ , and from (27) that

$$||W||_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \le 4\Phi(W) + K \le 4R + K.$$
(100)

Therefore, Φ_R is a bounded set.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since $(\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t))$ is gradient, asymptotically smooth, Φ is bounded above on any bounded subset of \mathcal{H} , Φ_R and \mathcal{N}_{β} are bounded set, the result follows from Theorem A.8.

Remark 3.7. Consider the Lyapunov function Φ given in (96). By using (27), (28) and Remark 7.5.8 in [6], we have

$$\sup_{W \in \mathfrak{A}_{\beta}} \|W\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq 4 \left(\sup_{W \in \mathfrak{A}_{\beta}} \Phi(W) + K \right) \leq 4 \left(\sup_{W \in \mathcal{N}_{\beta}} \Phi(W) + K \right) \leq 4M \sup_{W \in \mathcal{N}_{\beta}} \|W\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{p+2}$$
(101)
+4(M+K).

Since that \mathcal{N}_{β} is a bounded set (see (95)), we conclude that there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$, independent of ν , such that

$$\sup_{W \in \mathfrak{A}_{\beta}} \|W\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \le C_0.$$
(102)

Therefore, by taking $R_0^2 = 1 + C_0$ and considering $\mathcal{B}_0 = \overline{\mathcal{B}}(0, R_0) \subset \mathcal{H}$, the closed ball centered at the origin and radius R_0 , we obtain a bounded absorbing set (independent of ν) for $(\mathcal{H}, S_\beta(t))$. Thus there exists (a time) $t_{\mathcal{B}_0} > 0$ such that $S_\beta(t)\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ for any $t \geq t_{\mathcal{B}_0}$. As a consequence, if we consider $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{t \geq t_{\mathcal{B}_0}} S(t)\mathcal{B}_0$, then \mathcal{B} is a bounded positively invariant absorbing set (independent of $\nu \in (0, 1/2)$) with $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}_0$.

Theorem 3.8. The global attractor \mathfrak{A}_{ν} has finite fractal dimension.

Proof. Since the attractor \mathfrak{A}_{ν} is bounded and invariant, from Theorem 3.2, $(\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t))$ is quasi-stable on \mathfrak{A}_{ν} , therefore, follows from the Theorem A.11 that \mathfrak{A}_{ν} has a finite fractal dimension.

4 Regularity of trajetories and exponential attractors

Theorem 4.1. Every complete trajectory $\gamma = \{(u(t), u_t(t), \theta(t)) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ in \mathfrak{A} has further regularity

$$\|u(t)\|_{V_2} + \|u_t(t)\|_{V_1} + \|\theta(t)\|_{V_2} \le R, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$
(103)

for some R > 0. Therefore, \mathfrak{A} is uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{H}_1 := V_2 \times V_1 \times V_2$.

Proof. Since the dynamical system $(\mathcal{H}, S(t))$ is quasi-stable on bounded subset of \mathcal{H} , $(\mathcal{H}, S(t))$ is quasi-stable on the attractor \mathfrak{A} . Note that $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} c(t) < \infty$ in (87), therefore follows from Theorem A.12 that any full trajectory $\gamma = \{(u(t), u_t(t), \theta(t)) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ belongs to \mathfrak{A}_{ν} enjoys the following regularity properties

$$u_t \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, V_1) \cap C(\mathbb{R}, V_0), \quad u_{tt} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, V_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_t \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, V_1).$$
 (104)

From $(1)_2$ and (104), we have

$$A\theta = -\theta_t - \delta A^{\sigma} u_t \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, V_0), \tag{105}$$

therefore $\theta \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}; V_2)$. From (1)₁, (104) and the fact that nonlinear term f is locally Lipschitz, we obtain

$$Au = h - u_{tt} - A^{\nu}u_t + \delta A^{\sigma}\theta - f(u) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}; V_0),$$
(106)

consequently $u \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, V_2)$. Thus, (103) holds.

Theorem 4.2. The dynamical system $(\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t))$ possesses a generalized exponential attractor $\mathfrak{A}_{\nu}^{exp} \subset \mathcal{H}$, with finite fractal dimension in extended space

$$\mathcal{H}_{-1} = H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega) \supset \mathcal{H}.$$
 (107)

Proof. Consider the bounded positively invariant absorbing set \mathcal{B} given in Remark 3.7, therefore $(\mathcal{H}, S_{\beta}(t))$ is quasi-stable on \mathcal{B} . Moreover, given $U_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $U(t) = S_{\beta}(t)U_0$ is a strong solution of (19), then there exists a positive constant $C_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that for any T, we have

$$\|S_{\beta}(t)U_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le C_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

$$(108)$$

Since \mathcal{F} is locally Lipschitz and \mathcal{B} is positively invariant, from (19) we obtain

$$\|U_t(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}} \le \|\mathcal{A}U(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|\mathcal{F}(U(t))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le C_{\mathcal{B}T}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

$$(109)$$

where $C_{\mathcal{B}T} > 0$ is a constant independent of $\nu \in (0, 1/2)$. Then we have

$$\|S_{\beta}(t_1)U_0 - S_{\beta}(t_2)U_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}} \le \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|U_t(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}} ds \le C_{\mathcal{B}T}|t_1 - t_2|, \quad 0 \le t_1 < t_2 \le T.$$
(110)

This means that $t \mapsto S_{\beta}(t)U_0$ is Hölder continuous in extended space \mathcal{H}_{-1} . By using a density argument, (110) is valid for mild solutions. Therefore, the existence of exponential attractor (with finite fractal dimensional in \mathcal{H}_{-1}) follows from Theorem A.14.

5 Upper semicontinuity

In Theorem 3.1 we prove that for any $\sigma, \nu \in [0, 1]$ there exists a compact global attractor $\mathfrak{A}_{\beta}, \beta = (\nu, \sigma)$. In this section, we prove that the family $\{\mathfrak{A}_{\beta}\}_{\beta \in \Lambda}, \Lambda = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ is upper-semicontinuous at $\beta_0 = (0, 0)$.

Theorem 5.1. The family of global attractors $\{\mathfrak{A}_{\beta}\}_{\beta \in \Lambda}$ is upper-semicontinuous at $\beta_0 = (0,0)$, that is

$$\lim_{\beta \to 0} d_{\mathcal{H}}\{\mathfrak{A}_{\beta}|\mathfrak{A}_{\beta_0}\} = 0.$$
(111)

Proof. Let $U_0^n \in \mathfrak{A}_{\beta_n}$ where $\beta_n = (\nu_n, \sigma_n)$, with $\nu_n \to 0^+$ and $\sigma_n \to 0^+$. Let us to show that there exists a subsequence, still represented by (U_0^n) , such that $U_0^n \to U_0 \in \mathfrak{A}_{\beta_0}$ in \mathcal{H} . Since $U_0^n \in \mathfrak{A}_{\beta_n}$ there exists a full tragectory $U^n(t) = (u^n(t), u_t^n(t), \theta(t))$ such that $U^n(0) = U_0^n$. Consider the bounded positively invariant absorbing set \mathcal{B} defined in Remark 3.7. Therefore,

$$||U^{n}(t)||_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} = ||(u^{n}(t), u_{t}^{n}(t), \theta(t))||_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \le R_{0}^{2}, \quad \forall t, n.$$
(112)

From Theorem 4.1 and Theorem A.12 there exist $R_1, R_2 > 0$, such that

$$\|u_t^n(t)\|_{V_1}^2 + \|u_{tt}^n(t)\|_2^2 + \|\theta_t^n(t)\|_{V_1}^2 \le R_1^2, \quad \forall t, n$$
(113)

and

$$\|u^{n}(t)\|_{V_{2}}^{2} + \|\theta^{n}(t)\|_{V_{2}}^{2} \le R_{2}^{2}, \quad \forall t, n.$$
(114)

For every compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, by using (113), (114) and the Arzelá-Ascoli Theorem, we obtain $U \in C(I; \mathcal{H})$ such that $U^{n_k} \to U$ in $C(I; \mathcal{H})$ for some subsequence (U^{n_k}) of (U^n) . Being I arbitrary, by using a diagonal argument we can obtain $U \in C(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{H})$ such that, for any compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$U^{n_k} \to U = (u, u_t, \theta) \quad \text{in} \quad C(I; \mathcal{H}),$$
(115)

and from (112), we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|U(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le R_0.$$
(116)

Now, let us to prove that $U = (u, u_t, \theta)$ is weak solution to

$$u_{tt} - Au + u_t + \delta\theta + f(u) = h, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \theta_t - A\theta + \delta u_t = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty).$$
(117)

Note that, from definition of weak solution to (1)-(3), the functions $U^{n_k} = (u^{n_k}, u_t^{n_k}, \theta^{n_k})$ satisfies the following identity (in the sense of distributions)

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u_t^{n_k},\varphi)_2 + (A^{1/2}u^{n_k},A^{1/2}\varphi)_2 + (A^{\nu_k}u_t^{n_k},\varphi)_2 - \delta(A^{\sigma_k}\theta^{n_k},\varphi)_2 + (f(u^{n_k}),\varphi)_2 = (h,\varphi)_2, \quad (118)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\theta^{n_k},\phi)_2 + (A^{1/2}\theta^{n_k},A^{1/2}\phi)_2 + \delta(A^{\sigma_k}u_t^{n_k},\phi)_2 = 0,$$

for all $\varphi, \phi \in V_1$. From (115), we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (u_t^{n_k}, \varphi)_2 = (u_t, \varphi)_2, \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} (A^{1/2} u^{n_k}, A^{1/2} \varphi)_2 = (A^{1/2} u, A^{1/2} \varphi)_2 \quad \text{and} \\ \lim_{k \to \infty} (\theta^{n_k}, \phi)_2 = (\theta, \phi)_2.$$
(119)

Note that (114) implies

$$\theta^{n_k} \to \theta, \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{\infty}(I; H^1_0(\Omega)).$$
(120)

Then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (A^{1/2} \theta^{n_k}, A^{1/2} \phi)_2 = (A^{1/2} \theta, A^{1/2} \phi)_2.$$
(121)

From (115), we have

$$u^{n_k} \to u$$
 strongly in $L^2(I; V_0)$. (122)

Hence, by (10), we get

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (f(u^{n_k}), \varphi)_2 = (f(u), \varphi)_2.$$
(123)

By using an argument analogous to found in [8,9], we can prove that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (A^{\nu_k} u_t^{n_k}, \varphi)_2 = (u_t, \varphi)_2, \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} (A^{\sigma_k} \theta^{n_k}, \varphi)_2 = (\theta, \varphi)_2, \quad \text{and} \\ \lim_{k \to \infty} (A^{\sigma_k} u_t^{n_k}, \phi)_2 = (u_t, \phi)_2.$$
(124)

By using the convergences (119), (121), (123) and (124), we can pass to the limit in all terms of (118). Therefore, U is a full bounded trajectory of (117) and thus $U(0) \in \mathfrak{A}_{\beta_0}$. Finally, by (115) we obtain

$$U_0^{n_k} = U^{n_k}(0) \to U(0) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{H}, \tag{125}$$

which concludes the proof of the theorem.

Α Known results for infinite-dimensional dynamical systems

This section intends to present some definitions and results related to the Theory of Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems that will be used to obtain the main results of this work. Such subjects can be found in [1, 4, 10, 20] and [2, 5, 6] (for notion of quasistability). In this section, T_t , $t \ge 0$, represents a strongly continuous nonlinear semigroup on a Banach space $(\mathcal{H}, |\cdot|_{\mathcal{H}})$. Therefore, the symbol (\mathcal{H}, T_t) denotes a dynamical system on the phase space \mathcal{H} .

Definition A.1. We say that (\mathcal{H}, T_t) is *dissipative*, if there exists a bounded *absorbing* set $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{H}$ for (\mathcal{H}, T_t) , that is, for every bounded set $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{H}$, there is $T_{\mathcal{D}} > 0$, such that

$$T_t \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{B}, \quad \text{for all} \quad t \ge T_{\mathcal{D}}.$$
 (126)

In this case, we call radius of dissipativity at the value R > 0, such that $\mathcal{B} \subset \{x \in$ H; $||x||_H \leq R$ where \mathcal{B} is an absorbing bounded set for (\mathcal{H}, T_t) .

Definition A.2. A global attractor for (\mathcal{H}, T_t) is a closed bounded set $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$ that enjoy following properties:

a) A is invariant with respect to the T_t , that is

$$T_t \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}, \quad \forall t \ge 0;$$

b) A uniformly attracts bounded sets, that is, for every bounded set $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{H}wesaythat$, we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} d_{\mathcal{H}}\{T_t \mathcal{D} | \mathbf{A}\} = 0, \tag{127}$$

where $d_{\mathcal{H}}\{A|B\}$ is Hausdorff's semi-distance between sets A and B, that is

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}\{A|B\} = \sup_{x \in A} \operatorname{dist}(x, B), \quad \text{with} \quad \operatorname{dist}(x, B) = \inf_{y \in B} |x - y|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$
 (128)

Remark A.3. Note that if (\mathcal{H}, T_t) possesses a global attractor **A**, then, by Definition A.2 (b), (\mathcal{H}, T_t) is dissipative, since any ε -neighborhood of **A** is an absorbing set for (\mathcal{H}, T_t) .

Definition A.4. We say that (\mathcal{H}, T_t) is asymptotically smooth if for any positively invariant bounded set $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{H}$, that is, $T_t \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$ for all t > 0, there exists a compact set $\mathcal{K} \subset \overline{\mathcal{D}}$, where $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ is the closure of \mathcal{D} , such that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} d_{\mathcal{H}}\{T_t \mathcal{D} | \mathcal{K}\} = 0.$$
(129)

A set of relevant importance for us is that of the stationary points of (\mathcal{H}, T_t) , denoted by \mathcal{N} , that is,

$$\mathcal{N} = \{ w \in \mathcal{H}; \ T_t w = w, \ \forall t > 0 \}.$$

Definition A.5. The set of all $w \in \mathcal{H}$ such that there is a full trajectory $\gamma = \{u(t); t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ satisfying

$$u(0) = w$$
 and $\lim_{t \to -\infty} \operatorname{dist}(u(t), \mathcal{N}) = 0.$ (130)

is called the *unstable manifold* emanating from \mathcal{N} and is represented by $\mathcal{M}^u(\mathcal{N})$. Note that $\mathcal{M}^u(\mathcal{N})$ is an invariant set for (\mathcal{H}, T_t) and if $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is global attractor for (\mathcal{H}, T_t) , then $\mathcal{M}^u(\mathcal{N}) \subset \mathbf{A}$ (cf. [1,6]).

Definition A.6. We say that (\mathcal{H}, T_t) is gradient, if there exists a strict Lyapunov function on \mathcal{H} , that is, there exists a continuous function $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, $t \mapsto \Phi(T_t w)$ is non-increasing for any $w \in \mathcal{H}$, and if $\Phi(T_t w) = \Phi(w)$ for all t > 0, then w is a stationary point of (\mathcal{H}, T_t) .

Definition A.7. Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a compact set, the fractal dimension of \mathcal{K} , represented by $\dim_{f}^{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{K}$, is the value given by limit

$$\dim_f^{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{K} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup \frac{\ln n(M, \varepsilon)}{\ln(1/\varepsilon)},$$

where $n(\mathcal{K}, \varepsilon)$ is the minimal number of closed balls of radius ε which covers \mathcal{K} .

Theorem A.8 ([6]). If (\mathcal{H}, T_t) is a asymptotically smooth dynamical system satisfying:

- **a)** it is gradient with Lyapunov function Φ bounded from above on any bounded subset of \mathcal{H} ;
- **b)** for every R > 0, the set $\Phi_R := \{w; \ \Phi(w) \le R\}$ is bounded;
- c) the stationary points set \mathcal{N} is bounded.

Then (\mathcal{H}, T_t) possesses a compact global attractor $\mathbf{A} = \mathcal{M}^u(\mathcal{N})$.

Assumption 3. Let (\mathcal{H}, T_t) be a dynamical system such that:

- **a)** the phase space is of the form $\mathcal{H} = X \times Y \times Z$, where $(X, |\cdot|_X), (Y, |\cdot|_Y)$ and $(Z, |\cdot|_Z)$ are reflexives Banach spaces with X compactly embedded in Y and $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = |\cdot|_X^2 + |\cdot|_Y^2 + |\cdot|_Z^2$;
- **b)** the evolution operator T_t is of the form

$$T_t w_0 = (\phi(t), \phi_t(t), \vartheta(t)), \quad t \ge 0, \quad w_0 = (\phi(0), \phi_t(0), \vartheta(0)) \in \mathcal{H},$$
 (131)

where the functions ϕ and ϑ have regularities

$$\phi \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, X) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, Y) \quad and \quad \vartheta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, Z).$$
(132)

Definition A.9. We say that a dynamical system (\mathcal{H}, T_t) satisfying Assumption 3, is quasistable on $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{H}$, if there exist a compact seminorm η_X on X and nonnegative functions a(t), b(t) and c(t) defined in \mathbb{R}_+ such that

- **a)** a(t) and c(t) are locally bounded;
- **b)** $b(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} b(t) = 0$;
- c) for every $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ and t > 0, if $T_t w_i = (\phi^i(t), \phi^i(t), \vartheta^i(t)), i = 1, 2$, then the following relations

$$||T_t w_1 - T_t w_2||_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \le a(t) ||w_1 - w_2||_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$
(133)

and

$$\|T_t w_1 - T_t w_2\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \le b(t) \|w_1 - w_2\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + c(t) \sup_{0 \le s \le t} [\eta_X(\phi^1(s) - \phi^2(s))]^2$$
(134)

hold.

The following results can be found in [6, Chapter 7], show us how strong the property of quasi-stability is for a dynamical system. The first relates the quasi-stability to the asymptotically smooth and the second relates the quasi-stability to the fractal dimension of an attractor.

Theorem A.10. Let (\mathcal{H}, T_t) be a dynamical system satisfying Assumption 3. If (\mathcal{H}, T_t) is quasi-stable on every bounded positively invariant set $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{H}$, then (\mathcal{H}, T_t) is asymptotically smooth.

Theorem A.11. Let (\mathcal{H}, T_t) be a dynamical system satisfying Assumption 3. If (\mathcal{H}, T_t) possesses a compact global attractor A and is quasi-stable on A. Then the fractal dimension of A is finite.

Theorem A.12. Let (\mathcal{H}, T_t) be a dynamical system satisfying Assumption 3 that possesses a global attractor **A**. If (\mathcal{H}, T_t) is quasi-stable on **A** and $c_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} c(t) < \infty$ in (134). Then any full trajectory $\gamma = \{(\phi(t), \phi_t(t), \vartheta(t)) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ belongs to the global attractor enjoys the following regularity properties,

$$\phi_t \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}; X) \cap C(\mathbb{R}; Y), \quad \phi_{tt} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}; Y), \quad \vartheta_t \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}; Z).$$
(135)

In addition, there exist R > 0, depending on c_{∞} , on seminorm η_X and on embedding of X into Y such that

$$|\phi_t(t)|_X^2 + |\phi_{tt}(t)|_Y^2 + |\vartheta_t(t)|_Z^2 \le R, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(136)

Definition A.13. We say that a compact set $\mathbf{A}_{exp} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is a *fractal exponential attractor* of (\mathcal{H}, T_t) if it has finite fractal dimension, is positively invariant, and for any bounded set $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{H}$, there exist constants $T_{\mathcal{B}}, C_{\mathcal{B}} > 0$ and $\gamma_{\mathcal{B}} > 0$ such that for all $t \geq T_{\mathcal{B}}$,

$$\operatorname{dist}(T_t \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{A}_{\exp}) \le C_{\mathcal{B}} \exp(-\gamma_{\mathcal{B}}(t - T_{\mathcal{B}})).$$

In some cases, one can prove the existence of an exponential attractor whose dimension is finite in some extended space $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \supset \mathcal{H}$ only.

Theorem A.14. Let (\mathcal{H}, T_t) be dissipative, satisfying the Assumption 3 and quasi-stable on some bounded absorbing set \mathcal{B} . If there exists a space $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \supset \mathcal{H}$ such that, for every $w \in \mathcal{B}$, $t \mapsto T_t w$ is Hölder continuous in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$, that is, there exist $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ and $C_{\mathcal{B},T} > 0$ such that

$$||T_{t_1}w - T_{t_2}w||_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}} \le C_{\mathcal{B},T}|t_1 - t_2|^{\gamma}, \quad \forall t_1, t_2 \in [0,T], \ \forall w \in \mathcal{B}.$$
(137)

Then (\mathcal{H}, T_t) possesses a generalized fractal exponential attractor with finite dimension in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$.

Definition A.15. Consider a family of dynamical systems (on the same phase space \mathcal{H}) $\{(\mathcal{H}, T_t^{\lambda})), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, indexed in a metric space Λ , such that, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $(\mathcal{H}, T_t^{\lambda})$ possesses a global attractor represented by \mathbf{A}_{λ} . We say that the family of attractors $\{\mathbf{A}_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is upper semicontinuous at $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$, if

$$\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda \to \lambda_0} d_{\mathcal{H}} \{ \mathbf{A}_{\lambda} | \mathbf{A}_{\lambda_0} \} = 0.$$
(138)

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the referees for the valuable suggestions that improved this paper.

References

[1] A. V. Babin and M. I. Vishik. *Attractors of Evolution Equations*. Studies in Mathematics and its Applications. Elsevier Science, 1992.

- [2] I. Chueshov. Dynamics of Quasi-Stable Dissipative Systems. Universitext. Springer International Publishing, 2015.
- [3] I. Chueshov and I. Lasiecka. Attractors for second-order evolution equations with a nonlinear damping. *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, 16(2):469–512, 2004.
- [4] I. D. Chueshov. Introduction to the Theory of Infinite-dimensional Dissipative Systems. University lectures in contemporary mathematics. Acta Scientific Publishing House, 2002.
- [5] I. D. Chueshov and I. Lasiecka. Long-Time Behavior of Second Order Evolution Equations with Nonlinear Damping. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society. American Mathematical Soc., 2008.
- [6] I. D. Chueshov and I. Lasiecka. Von Karman Evolution Equations: Well-posedness and Long Time Dynamics. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer New York, 2010.
- [7] C. Foias and E. Olson. Finite fractal dimension and hölder-lipschitz parametrization. Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 45(3):603–616, 1996.
- [8] M. Freitas, A. Ramos, A. Özer, and D. Almeida Júnior. Long-time dynamics for a fractional piezoelectric system with magnetic effects and fourier's law. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 280:891–927, 2021.
- [9] M. M. Freitas, A. J. A. Ramos, and M. L. Santos. Existence and upper-semicontinuity of global attractors for binary mixtures solids with fractional damping. *Applied Mathematics & Optimization*, 83(3):1353–1385, 2021.
- [10] J. K. Hale. Asymptotic Behavior of Dissipative Systems. Mathematical surveys and monographs. American Mathematical Society, 1988.
- [11] Z. Liu and S. Zheng. Semigps associated with dissipative systems, volume 1. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2000.
- [12] J. E. Muñoz Rivera. Energy decay rates in linear thermoelasticity. Funkcialaj Ekvacioj, 35:19–30, 1992.
- [13] H. Pazy. Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations. Springer, New York, 1983.
- [14] D. C. Pereira and G. P. Menzala. Exponential decay of solutions to a coupled system of equations of linear thermoelasticity, *Comp. Appl. Math.*, 8:193–204, 1989.
- [15] Y. Qin. Nonlinear Parabolic-Hyperbolic Coupled Systems and Their Attractors. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications. Birkhäuser Basel, 2008.
- [16] R. Racke. On the time-asymptotic behaviour of solutions in thermoelasticity. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics, 107(3-4):289–298, 1987.
- [17] R. Racke. Blow-up in non-linear three-dimensional thermoelasticity. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 12(3):267–273, 1990.

- [18] R. Racke and Y. Shibata. Global smooth solutions and asymptotic stability in onedimensional nonlinear thermoelasticity. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 116(1):1–34, 1991.
- [19] R. Racke, Y. Shibata, and S. Zheng. Global solvability and exponential stability in onedimensional nonlinear thermoelasticity. *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*, 51(4):751–763, 1993.
- [20] R. Temam. Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics. Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer New York, 2013.
- [21] S. Zheng. Nonlinear Evolution Equations. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2004.
- [22] S. Zheng. Nonlinear Parabolic Equations and Hyperbolic-Parabolic Coupled Systems. Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics. CRC Press, 2020.

Received: August 21, 2023 Accepted for publication: December 5, 2023 Communicated by: Serena Dipierro