

# Cohomology and deformations of left-symmetric Rinehart algebras

*Abdelkader Ben Hassine, Taoufik Chtioui, Mohamed Elhamdadi and Sami Mabrouk*

**Abstract.** We introduce a notion of left-symmetric Rinehart algebras, which is a generalization of the notion of left-symmetric algebras. The left multiplication gives rise to a representation of the corresponding sub-adjacent Lie-Rinehart algebra. We construct left-symmetric Rinehart algebras from  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators on Lie-Rinehart algebras. We extensively investigate representations of left-symmetric Rinehart algebras. Moreover, we construct a graded Lie algebra on the space of multi-derivations whose Maurer–Cartan elements characterize left-symmetric Rinehart algebras and study deformations of left-symmetric Rinehart algebras, which are controlled by the second cohomology class in the deformation cohomology. We also give the relationships between  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators and Nijenhuis operators on left-symmetric Rinehart algebras.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>128</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>129</b>

*MSC 2020:* 17E05 (primary); 53D17, 17B70, 14B12, 06B15 (secondary)

*Keywords:* left-symmetric Rinehart algebra, representation, graded Lie algebra, Maurer–Cartan element, cohomology, deformation, Nijenhuis operator.

*Contact information:*

A. Ben Hassine:

*Affiliation:* Department of Mathematics, University of Bisha, Saudi Arabia.

*Email:* [Benhassine@ub.edu.sa](mailto:Benhassine@ub.edu.sa)

T. Chtioui:

*Affiliation:* Faculty of Sciences, Gabes University, Tunisia.

*Email:* [chtioui.taoufik@yahoo.fr](mailto:chtioui.taoufik@yahoo.fr)

M. Elhamdadi:

*Affiliation:* Department of Mathematics, University of South Florida, U.S.A..

*Email:* [emohamed@math.usf.edu](mailto:emohamed@math.usf.edu)

S. Mabrouk:

*Affiliation:* Faculty of Sciences, University of Gafsa, Tunisia.

*Email:* [sami.mabrouk@fsgf.u-gafsa.tn](mailto:sami.mabrouk@fsgf.u-gafsa.tn), [mabrouksami00@yahoo.fr](mailto:mabrouksami00@yahoo.fr)

<b>3</b>	<b>Some basic properties of a left-symmetric Rinehart algebras</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Representations of left-symmetric Rinehart algebras</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>The Matsushima-Nijenhuis bracket for left-symmetric Rinehart algebras</b>	<b>136</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Deformation of left-symmetric Rinehart algebra</b>	<b>139</b>
6.1	Formal deformations . . . . .	140
6.2	Obstructions to the extension theory of deformations . . . . .	142
6.3	Trivial deformation . . . . .	143
<b>7</b>	<b><math>\mathcal{O}</math>-operators and Nijenhuis operators</b>	<b>146</b>
7.1	Relationships between $\mathcal{O}$ -operators and Nijenhuis operators . . . . .	146
7.2	Compatible $\mathcal{O}$ -operators and Nijenhuis operators . . . . .	148

## 1 Introduction

Left-symmetric algebras are algebras for which the associator

$$(x, y, z) := (x \cdot y) \cdot z - x \cdot (y \cdot z)$$

satisfies the identity  $(x, y, z) = (y, x, z)$ . These algebras appeared as early as 1896 in the work of Cayley [8] as rooted tree algebras. In the 1960s, they also arose from the study of several topics in geometry and algebra, such as convex homogenous cones [30], affine manifolds and affine structures on Lie groups [19, 27] and deformations of associative algebras [14]. In 2006, Burde [6] wrote an interesting survey showing the importance of left-symmetric algebras in many areas, such as vector fields, rooted tree algebras, vertex algebras, operad theory, deformation complexes of algebras, convex homogeneous cones, affine manifolds and left-invariant affine structures on Lie groups [6].

Left symmetric algebras are the underlying algebraic structures of non-abelian phase spaces of Lie algebras [1, 21], leading to a bialgebra theory of left-symmetric algebras [3]. They can also be seen as the algebraic structures behind the classical Yang-Baxter equations. Precisely, they provide a construction of solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equations in certain semidirect product Lie algebra structures (that is, over the double spaces) induced by left-symmetric algebras [2, 22].

The notion of Lie-Rinehart algebras was introduced by J. Herz in [15] and further developed in [28, 29]. A notion of (Poincaré) duality for this class of algebras was introduced in [16, 17]. Lie-Rinehart structures have been the subject of extensive studies, in relations to symplectic geometry, Poisson structures, Lie groupoids and algebroids and other kinds of quantizations (see [18, 20, 23, 24, 25, 26]). For further details and a history of the notion of Lie-Rinehart algebra, we refer the reader to [18]. Lie-Rinehart algebras have been investigated furthermore in [4, 7, 11, 12].

A left-symmetric algebroid is a geometric generalization of a left-symmetric algebra. See [23, 24, 25] for more details and applications. The notion of a Nijenhuis operator on a

left-symmetric algebroid was introduced in [24], which could generate a trivial deformation. More details on deformations of left-symmetric algebras can be found in [31].

In this paper, we introduce a notion of left-symmetric Rinehart algebras, which is a generalization of a left-symmetric algebra and an algebraic version of left symmetric algebroids. The following diagram shows how left-symmetric Rinehart algebras fit in relation to Lie algebras, left-symmetric algebras and Lie-Rinehart algebras.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Lie algebra} & \xrightarrow{\text{generalization}} & \text{Lie-Rinehart algebra} \\
 \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\
 \text{Left-symmetric algebra} & \xrightarrow{\text{generalization}} & \text{Left-symmetric Rinehart}
 \end{array}$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some definitions concerning left-symmetric algebras and Lie-Rinehart algebra. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and give some of its properties. As in the case of a left-symmetric algebras, one can obtain the sub-adjacent Lie-Rinehart algebra from a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra by using the commutator. The left multiplication gives rise to a representation of the sub-adjacent Lie-Rinehart algebra. We construct left-symmetric Rinehart algebras using  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators. Section 4 is devoted to the study of representations and cohomology of left-symmetric Rinehart algebra. In Section 5, we construct a graded Lie algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements are left-symmetric Rinehart algebras which give rise to a coboundary operator. Section 6 is devoted to introduce the deformation cohomology associated to a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra, which controls the deformations. In Section 7, we introduce the notion of a Nijenhuis operator, which could generate a trivial deformation. In addition, we investigate some connection between  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators and Nijenhuis operators.

Throughout this paper all vector spaces are over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic zero.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we briefly recall some basics of left-symmetric algebras and Lie-Rinehart algebras [6].

**Definition 2.1.** A left-symmetric algebra is a vector space  $L$  endowed with a linear map  $\cdot : L \otimes L \rightarrow L$  such that for any  $x, y, z \in L$ ,

$$(x, y, z) = (y, x, z), \text{ or equivalently, } (x \cdot y) \cdot z - x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (y \cdot x) \cdot z - y \cdot (x \cdot z),$$

where the associator  $(x, y, z) := (x \cdot y) \cdot z - x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ .

Let  $\text{ad}^L$  (resp.  $\text{ad}^R$ ) be the left multiplication operator (resp. right multiplication operator) on  $L$  that is, i.e.  $\text{ad}^L(x)y = x \cdot y$  (resp.  $\text{ad}^R(x)y = y \cdot x$ ), for any  $x, y \in L$ . The following lemma is given in [6].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $(L, \cdot)$  be a left-symmetric algebra. The commutator  $[x, y] = x \cdot y - y \cdot x$  defines a Lie algebra  $L$ , which is called the sub-adjacent Lie algebra of  $L$ . The algebra  $L$  is also called a compatible left-symmetric algebra on the Lie algebra  $L$ . Furthermore, the map  $ad^L : L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(L)$  with  $x \mapsto L_x$  gives a representation of the Lie algebra  $(L, [\cdot, \cdot])$ .*

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $(L, \cdot)$  be a left-symmetric algebra and  $M$  a vector space. A representation of  $L$  on  $M$  consists of a pair  $(\rho, \mu)$ , where  $\rho : L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(M)$  is a representation of the sub-adjacent Lie algebra  $L$  on  $M$  and  $\mu : L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(M)$  is a linear map satisfying:

$$\rho(x) \circ \mu(y) - \mu(y) \circ \rho(x) = \mu(x \cdot y) - \mu(y) \circ \mu(x), \quad \forall x, y \in L. \quad (1)$$

The map  $\rho$  is called a left representation and  $\mu$  is a right representation. Usually, we denote a representation by  $(M; \rho, \mu)$ . Then  $(L; ad^L, ad^R)$  is a representation of  $(L, \cdot)$  which is called adjoint representation.

The cohomology complex for a left-symmetric algebra  $(L, \cdot)$  with a representation  $(M; \rho, \mu)$  is given as follows. The set of  $(n + 1)$ -cochains is given by

$$C^{n+1}(L, M) = \text{Hom}(\wedge^n L \otimes L, M), \quad \forall n \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

For all  $\omega \in C^n(L, M)$ , the coboundary operator  $\delta : C^n(L, M) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(L, M)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\omega(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \rho(x_i) \omega(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \mu(x_{n+1}) \omega(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_n, x_i) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \omega(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_n, x_i \cdot x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

We then have the following lemma whose proof comes from a direct computation using identity (1).

**Lemma 2.4** (See [5]). *The map  $\delta$  satisfies  $\delta^2 = 0$ .*

**Definition 2.5.** A Lie-Rinehart algebra  $L$  over an associative commutative algebra  $A$  is a Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{K}$  with an  $A$ -module structure and a linear map  $\rho : L \rightarrow \text{Der}(A)$ , such that the following conditions hold:

1. For all  $a \in A$  and  $x, y \in L$

$$\rho([x, y]) = \rho(x)\rho(y) - \rho(x)\rho(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(ax) = a\rho(x).$$

2. The compatibility condition:

$$[x, ay] = \rho(x)ay + a[x, y], \quad \forall a \in A, x, y \in L. \quad (3)$$

Let  $(L, A, [\cdot, \cdot]_L, \rho)$  and  $(L', A', [\cdot, \cdot]_{L'}, \rho')$  be two Lie-Rinehart algebras, then a Lie-Rinehart algebra homomorphism is defined as a pair of maps  $(g, f)$ , where the maps  $f : L \rightarrow L'$  and  $g : A \rightarrow A'$  are two algebra homomorphisms such that:

- (1)  $f(ax) = g(a)f(x)$  for all  $x \in L$  and  $a \in A$ ,
- (2)  $g(\rho(x)a) = \rho'(f(x))g(a)$  for all  $x \in L$  and  $a \in A$ .

Now, we recall the definition of module over a Lie-Rinehart algebra (for more details see [10]).

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module. Then  $M$  is a module over a Lie-Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$  if there exists a map  $\theta : L \otimes M \rightarrow M$  such that:

1.  $\theta$  is a representation of the Lie algebra  $(L, [\cdot, \cdot])$  on  $M$ .
2.  $\theta(ax, m) = a\theta(x, m)$  for all  $a \in A, x \in L, m \in M$ .
3.  $\theta(x, am) = a\theta(x, m) + \rho(x)am$  for all  $x \in L, a \in A, m \in M$ .

We have the following lemma giving a characterization of the  $\theta$  which are representations.

**Lemma 2.7.** *The map  $\theta$  is representation if and only if  $L \oplus M$  is Lie-Rinehart algebra over  $A$ , where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{L \oplus M}$  and  $\theta_{L \oplus M}$  are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1 + m_1, x_2 + m_2]_{L \oplus M} &= [x_1, x_2] + \rho(x_1)m_2 - \rho(x_2)m_1, \\ \theta_{L \oplus M}(x_1 + m_1) &= \theta(x_1) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in L$  and  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ .

### 3 Some basic properties of a left-symmetric Rinehart algebras

In this section, we introduce a notion of left-symmetric Rinehart algebras illustrated by some examples. As in the case of a left-symmetric algebra, we obtain the sub-adjacent Lie-Rinehart algebra from a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra using the commutator. In addition, we construct left-symmetric Rinehart algebras using  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators.

**Definition 3.1.** A left-symmetric Rinehart algebra is a quadruple  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  where  $(L, \cdot)$  is a left-symmetric algebra,  $A$  is an associative commutative algebra and  $\ell : L \rightarrow \text{Der}(A)$  a linear map such that the following conditions hold:

1.  $L$  is an  $A$ -module.
2. For all  $a \in A$  and  $x, y \in L$

$$\ell(x \cdot y - y \cdot x) = \ell(x)\ell(y) - \ell(y)\ell(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(ax) = a\ell(x).$$

3. The compatibility conditions: for all  $a \in A$  and  $x, y \in L$

$$x \cdot (ay) = \ell(x)ay + a(x \cdot y), \tag{4}$$

$$(ax) \cdot y = a(x \cdot y). \tag{5}$$

**Example 3.2.** It is clear that any left-symmetric algebra is a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra.

**Example 3.3.** A Novikov Poisson algebra is a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra (see [32]).

**Example 3.4.** Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and let  $L \oplus A$  be the direct sum of  $L$  and  $A$ . Then  $(L \oplus A, \cdot_{L \oplus A}, \ell_{L \oplus A})$  is a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra, where the  $\cdot_{L \oplus A}$  is defined by the following expression, for all  $x_1, x_2 \in L, a_1, a_2 \in A$ ;

$$(x_1 + a_1) \cdot_{L \oplus A} (x_2 + a_2) = x_1 \cdot x_2 + \ell(x_1)(a_2);$$

and  $\ell_{L \oplus A} : L \oplus A \rightarrow \text{Der}(A)$  is defined by  $\ell_{L \oplus A}(a_1 + x_1) = \ell(x_1)$ . Indeed, it is obvious that  $(L \oplus A, \cdot_{L \oplus A})$  is a left-symmetric algebra,  $\ell_{L \oplus A}$  is a representation of left-symmetric algebra  $L \oplus A$  and  $\ell_{L \oplus A} \in \text{Der}(A)$ .

By direct calculation, we have  $\ell_{L \oplus A}(b(x_1 + a_1)) = b\ell_{L \oplus A}(x_1 + a_1)$  for all  $b, a_1 \in A$  and  $x_1 \in L$ . On the other hand, letting  $x_1, x_2 \in L$  and  $b, a_1, a_2 \in A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 + a_1) \cdot_{L \oplus A} b(x_2 + a_2) &= (a_1 + x_1) \cdot_{L \oplus A} (bx_2 + ba_2) \\ &= x_1 \cdot (bx_2) + \ell(x_1)(ba_2) \\ &= b(x_1 \cdot x_2) + \ell(x_1)b(x_2) + \ell(x_1)(b)a_2 + b\ell(x_1)(a_2) \\ &= b(x_1 \cdot x_2 + \ell(x_1)(a_2)) + \ell(x_1)b(x_2) + \ell(x_1)(b)a_2 \\ &= b((x_1 + a_1) \cdot_{L \oplus A} (x_2 + a_2)) + \ell_{L \oplus A}(x_1 + a_1)b(x_2 + a_2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} b(x_1 + a_1) \cdot_{L \oplus A} (x_2 + a_2) &= (bx_1 + ba_1) \cdot_{L \oplus A} (x_2 + a_2) \\ &= (bx_1) \cdot x_2 + \ell(bx_1)(a_2) \\ &= b(x_1 \cdot x_2) + b\ell(x_1)(a_2) \\ &= b(x_1 \cdot x_2 + \ell(x_1)a_2) \\ &= b((x_1 + a_1) \cdot_{L \oplus A} (x_2 + a_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Now we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra. Then,  $(L, A, [\cdot, \cdot], \ell)$  is a Lie-Rinehart algebra, denoted by  $L^C$ , called the sub-adjacent Lie-Rinehart algebra of  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(L, \cdot)$  is a left-symmetric algebra, we have that  $(L, [\cdot, \cdot])$  is a Lie algebra. For any  $a \in A$ , by direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x, ay] &= x \cdot (ay) - (ay) \cdot x = a(x \cdot y) + \ell(x)ay - a(y \cdot x) \\ &= a[x, y] + \ell(x)(a)y, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $(L, A, [\cdot, \cdot], \ell)$  is a Lie-Rinehart algebra.

To see that the linear map  $\ell : L \rightarrow \text{Der}(A)$  is a representation, we only need to show that  $\ell_{[x, y]} = [\ell_x, \ell_y]_{\text{Der}(A)}$ , which follows directly from the fact that  $(L, \cdot)$  is a left-symmetric algebra. This ends the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $(L_1, A_1, \cdot_1, \ell_1)$  and  $(L_2, A_2, \cdot_2, \ell_2)$  be two left-symmetric Rinehart algebras. A homomorphism of left-symmetric Rinehart algebras is a pair of two algebra homomorphisms  $(f, g)$  where  $f : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  and  $g : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  such that:

$$f(ax) = g(a)f(x), \quad g(\ell_1(x)a) = \ell_2(f(x))g(a), \quad \forall x, y \in L_1, a \in A_1.$$

The following proposition is immediate.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $(f, g)$  be a homomorphism of left-symmetric Rinehart algebras from  $(L_1, A_1, \cdot_1, \ell_1)$  to  $(L_2, A_2, \cdot_2, \ell_2)$ . Then  $(f, g)$  is also a Lie-Rinehart algebra homomorphism of the corresponding sub-adjacent Lie-Rinehart algebras.*

Now we give the definition of an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator.

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $(L, A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$  be a Lie-Rinehart algebra and  $\theta : L \rightarrow \text{End}(M)$  be a representation over  $M$ . A linear map  $T : M \rightarrow L$  is called an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator if for all  $u, v \in M$  and  $a \in A$  we have

$$T(au) = aT(u), \tag{6}$$

$$[T(u), T(v)] = T(\theta(T(u))(v) - \theta(T(v))(u)). \tag{7}$$

**Remark 3.9.** Consider the semidirect product Lie-Rinehart algebra

$$(L \rtimes_{\theta} M, A, [\cdot, \cdot]_{L \rtimes_{\theta} M}, \rho_{L \rtimes_{\theta} M}),$$

where  $\rho_{L \rtimes_{\theta} M}(x + u) := \rho(x)(u)$  and the bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{L \rtimes_{\theta} M}$  is given by

$$[x + u, y + v]_{L \rtimes_{\theta} M} = [x, y] + \theta(x)(v) - \theta(y)(u).$$

Any  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator  $T : M \rightarrow L$  gives a Nijenhuis operator  $\tilde{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  on the Lie-Rinehart algebra  $L \rtimes_{\theta} M$ . More precisely, we have

$$[\tilde{T}(x+u), \tilde{T}(y+v)]_{L \rtimes_{\theta} M} = \tilde{T} \left( [\tilde{T}(x+u), y+v]_{L \rtimes_{\theta} M} + [x+u, \tilde{T}(y+v)]_{L \rtimes_{\theta} M} - \tilde{T}[x+u, y+v]_{L \rtimes_{\theta} M} \right).$$

For more details on Nijenhuis operators and their applications the reader should consult [13].

Let  $T : M \longrightarrow L$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator. Define the multiplication  $\cdot_T$  on  $M$  by

$$u \cdot_T v = \theta(T(u))(v), \forall u, v \in M.$$

We then have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.10.** *With the above notations,  $(M, A, \cdot_T, \ell_T = \ell \circ T)$  is a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra, and the map  $T$  is Lie-Rinehart algebra homomorphism from  $(M, [\cdot, \cdot])$  to  $(L, [\cdot, \cdot])$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $(M, \cdot_T)$  is a left-symmetric algebra. For any  $a \in A$ , using Definition 3.1 and equation (6) we have

$$\ell_M(au) = \ell(T(au)) = a\ell(T(u)) = a\ell_M(u),$$

Similarly, using Definition 2.6 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (au) \cdot_T v &= \theta(T(au))(v) = \theta aT(u)(v) = a\theta(T(u))(v), \\ u \cdot_T (av) &= \theta(T(u))(av) = a\theta(T(u))(v) + \ell \circ T(u)(a)v. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(M, A, \cdot_T, \ell_M)$  is a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra. Let  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  be the sub-adjacent Lie bracket on  $M$ . Then we have

$$T[u, v] = T(u \cdot_T v - v \cdot_T u) = T(\theta(T(u))(v) - \theta(T(v))(u)) = [T(u), T(v)].$$

So  $T$  is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. □

## 4 Representations of left-symmetric Rinehart algebras

In this section, we develop the notion of representations of a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and give a cohomology theory with coefficients in a representation.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and  $M$  be an  $A$ -module. A representation of  $A$  on  $M$  consists of a pair  $(\rho, \mu)$ , where  $\rho$  is a representation of the sub-adjacent Lie-Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, [\cdot, \cdot]^C, \ell)$  and  $\mu : L \rightarrow \text{End}(M)$  is a linear map, such that for all  $x, y \in L$  and  $m \in M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(ax)m &= a\mu(x)m = \mu(x)(am) \\ \rho(x)\mu(y) - \mu(y)\rho(x) &= \mu(x \cdot y) - \mu(y)\mu(x). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We will denote this representation by  $(M; \rho, \mu)$ .



For a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  and a representation  $(M; \rho, \mu)$ , the following proposition gives a construction of a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra called semidirect product and denoted by  $L \ltimes_{\rho, \mu} M$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and  $(M; \rho, \mu)$  a representation. Then,  $(L \oplus M, A, \cdot_{L \oplus M}, \ell_{L \oplus M})$  is a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra, where  $\cdot_{L \oplus M}$  and  $\ell_{L \oplus M}$  are given by*

$$(x_1 + m_1) \cdot_{L \oplus M} (x_2 + m_2) = x_1 \cdot x_2 + \rho(x_1)m_2 + \mu(x_2)m_1, \quad (9)$$

$$\ell_{L \oplus M}(x_1 + m_1) = \ell(x_1), \quad (10)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in L$  and  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(M; \rho, \mu)$  be a representation. It is straightforward to see that  $(L \oplus M, A, \cdot_{L \oplus M})$  is a left-symmetric algebra. For any  $x_1, x_2 \in L$  and  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 + m_1) \cdot_{L \oplus M} (a(x_2 + m_2)) &= x_1 \cdot (ax_2) + \rho(x_1)am_2 + \mu(ax_2)m_1 \\ &= a(x_1 \cdot x_2) + \ell(x_1)(ax_2) + a\rho(x_1)m_2 \\ &\quad + \ell(x_1)(am_2) + a\mu(x_2)m_1 \\ &= a((x_1 + m_1) \cdot_{L \oplus M} (x_2 + m_2)) + \ell_{L \oplus M}(x_1)(a)(x_2 + m_2). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a(x_1 + m_1)) \cdot_{L \oplus M} (x_2 + m_2) &= (ax_1) \cdot x_2 + \rho(ax_1)m_2 + \mu(x_2)(am_1) \\ &= a((x_1 + m_1) \cdot_{L \oplus M} (x_2 + m_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(L \oplus M, A, \cdot_{L \oplus M}, \ell_{L \oplus M})$  is a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra.  $\square$

Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and  $(M; \rho, \mu)$  be a representation. Let  $\rho^* : L \otimes M^* \rightarrow M^*$  and  $\mu^* : M^* \otimes L \rightarrow M^*$  be defined by

$$\langle \rho^*(x)\xi, m \rangle = \ell(x)\langle \xi, m \rangle - \langle \rho(x)m, \xi \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mu^*(x)\xi, m \rangle = -\langle \xi, \mu(x)m \rangle,$$

where  $M^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$ . Then, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.3.** *With the above notations, we obtain that*

- (i)  $(M, \rho - \mu)$  is a representation of the sub-adjacent Lie-Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, [\cdot, \cdot], \ell)$ .
- (ii)  $(M^*, \rho^* - \mu^*, -\mu^*)$  is a representation be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(M; \rho, \mu)$  is a representation of the left-symmetric algebra  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$ , using Proposition 4.2 we have that  $(L \oplus M, A, \cdot_{L \oplus M}, \ell_{L \oplus M})$  is a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra. Consider its sub-adjacent Lie-Rinehart algebra  $(L \oplus M, A, \cdot_{L \oplus M}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{L \oplus M}, \ell_{L \oplus M})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} [(x_1 + m_1), (x_2 + m_2)]_{L \oplus M} &= (x_1 + m_1) \cdot_{L \oplus M} (x_2 + m_2) - (x_2 + m_2) \cdot_{L \oplus M} (x_1 + m_1) \\ &= x_1 \cdot x_2 + \rho(x_1)m_2 + \mu(x_2)m_1 \\ &\quad - x_2 \cdot x_1 - \rho(x_2)m_1 - \mu(x_1)m_2 \\ &= [x_1, x_2]^C + (\rho - \mu)(x_1)(m_2) + (\rho - \mu)(x_2)(m_1). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.7 we deduce that  $(M, \rho - \mu)$  is a representation of Lie-Rinehart algebra  $L$  on  $M$ . This finishes the proof of (i).

For item (ii), it is clear that  $\rho^* - \mu^*$  is just the dual representation of the representation  $\theta = \rho - \mu$  of the sub-adjacent Lie-Rinehart algebra of  $L$ . We can directly check that  $-\mu^*(ax)\xi = -a\mu^*(x)\xi = -\mu^*(x)(a\xi)$ . For any  $x, y \in L$ ,  $\xi \in M^*$  and  $m \in M$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\langle (\rho^* - \mu^*)(x)\mu^*(y)\xi, m \rangle + \langle \mu^*(y)(\rho^* - \mu^*)(x)\xi, m \rangle \\ &= -\langle \rho^*(x)\mu^*(y)\xi, m \rangle + \langle \mu^*(x)\mu^*(y)\xi, m \rangle + \langle \mu^*(y)\rho^*(x)\xi, m \rangle - \langle \mu^*(y)\mu^*(x)\xi, m \rangle \\ &= \ell(x)\langle \xi, \mu(y)m \rangle - \langle \xi, \mu(y)\rho(x)m \rangle + \langle \xi, \mu(y)\mu(x)m \rangle \\ &\quad - \ell(x)\langle \xi, \mu(y)m \rangle + \langle \xi, \rho(x)\mu(y)m \rangle - \langle \xi, \mu(x)\mu(y)m \rangle \\ &= \langle \xi, \mu(x \cdot y)m \rangle - \langle \xi, \mu(y)\mu(x)m \rangle + \langle \xi, \mu(y)\mu(x)m \rangle - \langle \xi, \mu(x)\mu(y)m \rangle \\ &= \langle (-\mu^*(x \cdot y) - \mu^*(y)\mu^*(x))\xi, m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(M^*, \rho^* - \mu^*, -\mu^*)$  is a representation of  $L$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *With the above notations, we have*

- (i) *The left-symmetric Rinehart algebras  $L \times_{\rho, \mu} M$  and  $L \times_{\rho - \mu, 0} M$  have the same sub-adjacent Lie-Rinehart algebra  $L \times_{\rho - \mu} M$ .*
- (ii) *The left-symmetric Rinehart algebras  $L \times_{\rho^*, 0} M^*$  and  $L \times_{\rho^* - \mu^*, -\mu^*} M^*$  have the same sub-adjacent Lie-Rinehart algebra  $L \times_{\rho^*} M^*$ .*

Let  $(M; \rho, \mu)$  be a representation of a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$ . In general,  $(M^*, \rho^*, \mu^*)$  is not a representation. But we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and  $(M; \rho, \mu)$  be a representation. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$(M; \rho - \mu, -\mu)$  is a representation of  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$ .*
- (2)  *$(M^*, \rho^*, \mu^*)$  is a representation of  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$ .*
- (3)  *$\mu(x)\mu(y) = \mu(y)\mu(x)$  for all  $x, y \in L$ .*

## 5 The Matsushima-Nijenhuis bracket for left-symmetric Rinehart algebras

In this section we construct a graded Lie algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements are left-symmetric Rinehart algebras which give rise to a coboundary operator.

Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebras. A multiderivation of degree  $n$  is a multilinear map  $P \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda^n L \otimes L, L)$  such that, for every  $a \in A$ ,  $x_i \in L$  and  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ , we have

$$P(x_1, \dots, ax_i, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = aP(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}), \quad (11)$$

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n, ax_{n+1}) = aP(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) + \Xi_P(x_1, \dots, x_n)(a)x_{n+1}, \quad (12)$$

where  $\Xi_P : L^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \text{Der}(A)$  is called the symbol map. The space of all multiderivations of degree  $n$  will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{D}^n(L)$ . Set  $\mathfrak{D}^*(L) = \bigoplus_{n \geq -1} \mathfrak{D}^n(L)$  with  $\mathfrak{D}^{-1}(L) = L$ , the space of multiderivations on  $L$ .

A permutation  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$  is called an  $(i, n-i)$ -unshuffle if  $\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(i)$  and  $\sigma(i+1) < \cdots < \sigma(n)$ . If  $i = 0$  and  $i = n$ , we assume  $\sigma = \text{Id}$ . The set of all  $(i, n-i)$ -unshuffles will be denoted by  $\mathbb{S}_{(i, n-i)}$ . The notion of an  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ -unshuffle and the set  $\mathbb{S}_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)}$  are defined similarly.

Let  $P \in \mathfrak{D}^m(L)$  and  $Q \in \mathfrak{D}^n(L)$ . We define the Matsushima–Nijenhuis bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{MN} : \mathfrak{D}^m(L) \times \mathfrak{D}^n(L) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}^{m+n}(L)$  by

$$[P, Q]_{MN} = P \diamond Q - (-1)^{mn} Q \diamond P,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & P \diamond Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{(m, 1, n-1)}} (-1)^\sigma P(Q(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m+1)}), x_{\sigma(m+2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m+n)}, x_{m+n+1}) \\ & \quad + (-1)^{mn} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{(n, m)}} (-1)^\sigma P(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}, Q(x_{\sigma(n+1)}, x_{\sigma(n+2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m+n)}, x_{m+n+1})). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 5.1.** *With the above notations, we have*

- (i) *The pair  $(\mathfrak{D}^*(L), [\cdot, \cdot]_{MN})$  is a graded Lie algebra.*
- (ii) *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of Maurer–Cartan elements of the graded Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{D}^*(L), [\cdot, \cdot]_{MN})$  and left-symmetric Rinehart algebra structures on  $L$ .*

*Proof.* (i) We begin by check that the Matsushima–Nijenhuis bracket is well defined. For  $P \in \mathfrak{D}^m(L)$  and  $Q \in \mathfrak{D}^n(L)$ , by a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [P, Q]_{MN}(ax_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n+1}) \\ &= aP \diamond Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n+1}) - (-1)^{mn} aQ \diamond P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n+1}) \\ & \quad + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{(m-1, 1, n-1)}} (-1)^\sigma \Xi_Q(x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m+1)})(a)P(x_1, x_{\sigma(m+2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m+n)}, x_{m+n+1}) \\ & \quad + (-1)^{mn} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{(n-1, 1, m-1)}} (-1)^\sigma \Xi_P(x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n+1)})(a)Q(x_1, x_{\sigma(n+2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m+n)}, x_{m+n+1}) \\ & \quad - (-1)^{mn} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{(n-1, 1, m-1)}} (-1)^\sigma \Xi_P(x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n+1)})(a)Q(x_1, x_{\sigma(n+2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m+n)}, x_{m+n+1}) \\ & \quad - \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{(m-1, 1, n-1)}} (-1)^\sigma \Xi_Q(x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m+1)})(a)P(x_1, x_{\sigma(m+2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m+n)}, x_{m+n+1}) \\ &= a[P, Q]_{MN}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$[P, Q]_{MN}(ax_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n+1}) = a[P, Q]_{MN}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n+1}).$$

It is straightforward to check that  $[P, Q]_{MN}$  is skew-symmetric with respect to its first  $m + n$  arguments. Thus  $[P, Q]_{MN}$  is  $A$ -linear with respect to its first  $m + n$  arguments.

On the other hand, following a straightforward calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [P, Q]_{MN}(x_1, x_2, \dots, ax_{m+n+1}) &= a[P, Q]_{MN}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n+1}) \\ &\quad + \Xi_{[P, Q]_{MN}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n})(a)x_{m+n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the symbol map  $\Xi_{[P, Q]_{MN}}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\Xi_{[P, Q]_{MN}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n})(a) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}(m, 1, n-1)} (-1)^\sigma \Xi_P(Q(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m+1)}), x_{\sigma(m+2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m+n)})(a) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}(n, 1, m-1)} (-1)^\sigma \Xi_Q(P(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n+1)}), x_{\sigma(n+2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m+n)})(a) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{mn} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}(m, n)} (-1)^\sigma \Xi_P(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) (\Xi_Q(x_{\sigma(n+1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m+n)}))(a) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}(m, n)} (-1)^\sigma \Xi_Q(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m)}) (\Xi_P(x_{\sigma(m+1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m+n)}))(a). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $[P, Q]_{MN} \in \mathfrak{D}^{m+n}(L)$ .

It was shown in [9] that the Matsushima-Nijenhuis bracket provides a graded Lie algebra structure on the graded vector space  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(\Lambda^{n-1} L \otimes L, L)$ . Therefore,  $(\mathfrak{D}^*(L), [\cdot, \cdot]_{MN})$  is a graded Lie algebra.

(ii) Let  $\pi \in \mathfrak{D}^1(L)$ , we have

$$\pi(ax_1, x_2) = a\pi(x_1, x_2), \quad \pi(x_1, ax_2) = a\pi(x_1, x_2) + \Xi_\pi(x_1)(a)x_2, \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in L.$$

In addition, we can easily check that

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi, \pi]_{MN}(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= 2(\pi(\pi(x_1, x_2), x_3) - \pi(\pi(x_2, x_1), x_3) \\ &\quad - \pi(x_1, \pi(x_2, x_3)) + \pi(x_2, (x_1, x_3))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(L, A, \pi, \Xi_\pi)$  is a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra if and only if  $[\pi, \pi]_{MN} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** The cohomology of left-symmetric algebras first appeared in the unpublished paper of Y. Matsushima. Then A. Nijenhuis constructed a graded Lie bracket, which produces the cohomology theory for left-symmetric algebras. Thus the aforementioned graded Lie bracket is usually called the Matsushima–Nijenhuis bracket.

Let  $(L, A, \pi, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra. According to Theorem 5.1, we have  $[\pi, \pi]_{MN} = 0$ . Using the graded Jacobi identity, we get a coboundary operator  $\delta : \mathfrak{D}^{n-1}(L) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}^n(L)$ , by putting

$$\delta(P) = (-1)^{n-1}[\pi, P]_{MN}, \quad \forall P \in \mathfrak{D}^{n-1}(L). \quad (13)$$

By straightforward computation, we obtain

**Proposition 5.3.** *For any  $P \in \mathfrak{D}^{n-1}(L)$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \pi(x_i, P(x_1, x_2, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \pi(P(x_1, x_2, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n, x_i), x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} P(x_1, x_2, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n, \pi(x_i, x_{n+1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} P(\pi(x_i, x_j) - \pi(x_j, x_i), x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{n+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

for all  $x_i \in L, i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$  and  $\Xi_{\delta P}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} [\Xi_{\pi}(x_i), \Xi_P(x_1, x_2, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} \Xi_P(\pi(x_i, x_j) - \pi(x_j, x_i), x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \Xi_{\pi}(P(x_1, x_2, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n, x_i)). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

**Definition 5.4.** The cochain complex  $(\mathfrak{D}^*(L) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{D}^n(L), \delta)$  is called the deformation complex of the left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $L$ . The corresponding  $k$ -th cohomology group, which we denote by  $H^k(L)$ , is called the  $k$ -th deformation cohomology group.

## 6 Deformation of left-symmetric Rinehart algebra

We investigate in this section a deformation theory of left-symmetric Rinehart algebras. But first let us introduce some notation. For a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, \mathfrak{m}, \ell)$  we will denote the left-symmetric multiplication “ $\cdot$ ” by  $\mathfrak{m}$  in the sequel of the paper. Let  $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$  be the formal power series ring in one variable  $t$  and coefficients in  $\mathbb{K}$ . Let  $L[[t]]$  be the set of formal power series whose coefficients are elements of  $L$  (note that  $L[[t]]$  is obtained by extending the coefficients domain of  $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$  from  $\mathbb{K}$  to  $L$ ). Thus,  $L[[t]]$  is a  $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ -module.

### 6.1 Formal deformations

**Definition 6.1.** A deformation of a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, \mathbf{m}, \ell)$  is a  $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ -bilinear map

$$\mathbf{m}_t : L[[t]] \otimes L[[t]] \rightarrow L[[t]]$$

which is given by  $\mathbf{m}_t(x, y) = \sum_{i \geq 0} t^i \mathbf{m}_i(x, y)$ , where  $\mathbf{m}_0 = \mathbf{m}$  and the  $\mathbf{m}_i \in \mathfrak{D}^1(L)$  satisfy the condition  $[\mathbf{m}_t, \mathbf{m}_t]_{MN} = 0$ .

Note that  $\mathbf{m}_t$  is a 1-degree multiderivation of  $A$  with symbol  $\Xi_{\mathbf{m}_t} : L \rightarrow \text{Der}(A)$  given by

$$\Xi_{\mathbf{m}_t} = \sum_{i \geq 0} t^i \Xi_{\mathbf{m}_i}.$$

Moreover, since  $[\mathbf{m}_t, \mathbf{m}_t]_{MN} = 0$ , it corresponds to a left symmetric Lie Rinehart algebra structure. In particular, it yields a  $t$ -parameterized family of products  $\mathbf{m}_t : L \otimes L \rightarrow L$  and a family of maps  $\ell_t : L \rightarrow \text{Der}(A)$ , which satisfy the following identities for all  $x, y \in L$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_t(x, y) &= x \cdot y + \sum_{i \geq 1} t^i \mathbf{m}_i(x, y), \\ \ell_t(x) &= \ell(x) + \sum_{i \geq 1} t^i \Xi_{\mathbf{m}_i}(x). \end{aligned}$$

The  $t$ -parametrized family  $(L, A, \mathbf{m}_t, \ell_t)$  is called a 1-parameter formal deformation of  $(L, A, \mathbf{m}, \ell)$  generated by  $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_m \in \mathfrak{D}^1(L)$ .

Let  $(L, A, \mathbf{m}_t, \ell_t)$  be a deformation of  $\mathbf{m}$ . Then, for all  $a \in A, x, y, z \in L$

$$\mathbf{m}_t(\mathbf{m}_t(x, y), z) - \mathbf{m}_t(x, \mathbf{m}_t(y, z)) = \mathbf{m}_t(\mathbf{m}_t(y, x), z) - \mathbf{m}_t(y, \mathbf{m}_t(x, z)). \quad (16)$$

$$\mathbf{m}_t(ax, y) = a\mathbf{m}_t(x, y), \quad (17)$$

$$\mathbf{m}_t(x, ay) = a\mathbf{m}_t(x, y) + \ell_t(x)ay \quad (18)$$

The identities (17)–(18), mean that  $\mathbf{m}_i \in \mathfrak{D}^1(L)$ . Comparing the coefficients of  $t^n$  for  $n \geq 0$  in equation (16), we get the following:

$$\sum_{i+j=n} \mathbf{m}_i(\mathbf{m}_j(x, y), z) - \mathbf{m}_i(x, \mathbf{m}_j(y, z)) - \mathbf{m}_i(\mathbf{m}_j(y, x), z) + \mathbf{m}_i(y, \mathbf{m}_j(x, z)) = 0. \quad (19)$$

For  $n = 1$ , equation (19) implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{m}_1(\mathbf{m}(x, y), z) + \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{m}_1(x, y), z) - \mathbf{m}_1(x, \mathbf{m}(y, z)) - \mathbf{m}(x, \mathbf{m}_1(y, z)) \\ &- \mathbf{m}_1(\mathbf{m}(y, x), z) - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{m}_1(y, x), z) + \mathbf{m}_1(y, \mathbf{m}(x, z)) + \mathbf{m}(y, \mathbf{m}_1(x, z)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Or equivalently  $\delta(\mathbf{m}_1) = [\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{m}_1]_{MN} = 0$ .

The 1-degree multiderivation  $\mathbf{m}_1$  is called the infinitesimal of the deformation  $\mathbf{m}_t$ . More generally, if  $\mathbf{m}_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $\mathbf{m}_n$  is non zero 1-degree multiderivation then  $\mathbf{m}_n$  is called the  $n$ -infinitesimal of the deformation  $\mathbf{m}_t$ . By the above discussion, the following proposition follows immediately.

**Proposition 6.2.** *The infinitesimal of the deformation  $\mathfrak{m}_t$  is a 2-cocycle in  $\mathfrak{D}^1(L)$ . More generally, the  $n$ -infinitesimal is a 2-cocycle.*

Now we give a notion of equivalence of two deformations. Let us denote a deformation  $(L, A, \mathfrak{m}_t, \ell_t)$  of  $(L, A, \mathfrak{m}, \ell)$  simply by  $L_t$ . Let us consider two deformations  $L_t$  and  $L'_t$  of  $(L, A, \mathfrak{m}, \ell)$ , generated by  $\mathfrak{m}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{m}'_i$ , respectively, for  $i \geq 0$ .

**Definition 6.3.** Two deformations  $L_t$  and  $L'_t$  are said to be equivalent if there exists a formal automorphism

$$\Phi_t : L[[t]] \rightarrow L[[t]] \text{ defined as } \Phi_t = id_L + \sum_{i \geq 1} t^i \phi_i$$

where for each  $i \geq 1$ ,  $\phi_i : L \rightarrow L$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear map such that

$$\mathfrak{m}'_t(x, y) = \Phi_t^{-1} \mathfrak{m}_t(\Phi_t(x), \Phi_t(y)) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell'_t(\Phi_t(x)) = \ell_t(x).$$

**Definition 6.4.** Any deformation that is equivalent to the deformation  $\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{m}$  is said to be a trivial deformation.

**Theorem 6.5.** *The cohomology class of the infinitesimal of a deformation  $\mathfrak{m}_t$  is determined by the equivalence class of  $\mathfrak{m}_t$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi_t$  be an equivalence of deformation between  $\mathfrak{m}_t$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_t$ . Then we get,

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_t(x, y) = \Phi_t^{-1} \mathfrak{m}_t(\Phi_t x, \Phi_t y).$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $t$  from both sides of the above equation we have

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_1(x, y) + \Phi_1(\mathfrak{m}_0(x, y)) = \mathfrak{m}_1(x, y) + \mathfrak{m}_0(\Phi_1(x), y) + \mathfrak{m}_0(x, \Phi_1(y)),$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathfrak{m}_1 - \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_1 = \delta(\phi_1).$$

This establishes the result. □

**Definition 6.6.** A left-symmetric Rinehart algebra is said to be rigid if and only if every deformation of it is trivial.

**Theorem 6.7.** *A non-trivial deformation of a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra is equivalent to a deformation whose  $n$ -infinitesimal is not a coboundary for some  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{m}_t$  be a deformation of left-symmetric Rinehart algebra with  $n$ -infinitesimal  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Assume that there exists a 2-cochain  $\phi \in C^1(L, L)$  with  $\delta(\phi) = \mathfrak{m}_n$ . Then set

$$\Phi_t = id_L + \phi t^n \quad \text{and define} \quad \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_t = \Phi_t \circ \mathfrak{m}_t \circ \Phi_t^{-1}.$$

Then by computing the expression and comparing coefficients of  $t^n$ , we get

$$\bar{\mathbf{m}}_n - \mathbf{m}_n = -\delta(\phi).$$

So,  $\bar{\mathbf{m}}_n = 0$ . We can repeat the argument to kill off any infinitesimal, which is a coboundary.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.8.** *If  $H^2(L, L) = 0$ , then all deformations of  $L$  are equivalent to a trivial deformation.*

## 6.2 Obstructions to the extension theory of deformations

Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra. Now we consider the problem of extending a deformation of  $\mathbf{m}$  of order  $n$  to a deformation of  $\mathbf{m}$  of order  $(n + 1)$ . Let  $\mathbf{m}_t$  and  $\ell_t$  be a deformation of order  $n$  of  $\mathbf{m}$  and  $\ell$  respectively. That is

$$\mathbf{m}_t = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{m}_i t^i = \mathbf{m} + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{m}_i t^i \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_t = \sum_{i=0}^n \ell_i t^i = \ell + \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i t^i,$$

where  $\mathbf{m}_i \in \mathfrak{D}^1(L)$  and  $\ell_i : L \rightarrow \text{Der}(A)$  a linear map for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that

$$\mathbf{m}_i(\mathbf{m}_j(x, y), z) - \mathbf{m}_i(x, \mathbf{m}_j(y, z)) = \mathbf{m}_i(\mathbf{m}_j(y, x), z) - \mathbf{m}_i(y, \mathbf{m}_j(x, z)), \quad (20)$$

$$\mathbf{m}_i(ax, y) = a\mathbf{m}_i(x, y), \quad (21)$$

$$\mathbf{m}_i(x, ay) = a\mathbf{m}_i(x, y) + \ell_i(x)ay \quad (22)$$

for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . If there exists a 2-cochain  $\mathbf{m}_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{D}^1(L)$  and  $\ell_{n+1} : L \rightarrow \text{Der}(A)$  such that  $(L, A, \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_t, \tilde{\ell}_t)$  is a deformation of  $(L, A, \mathbf{m}, \ell)$  of order  $n + 1$ , where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_t = \mathbf{m}_t + \mathbf{m}_{n+1}t^{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\ell}_t = \ell_t + \ell_{n+1}t^{n+1}.$$

Then we say that  $\mathbf{m}_t$  extends to a deformation of order  $(n + 1)$ . In this case  $\mathbf{m}_t$  is called extendable.

**Definition 6.9.** Let  $\mathbf{m}_t$  be a deformation of  $\mathbf{m}$  of order  $n$ . Consider the cochain in  $C^3(L, L)$  defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Obs}_L(x, y, z) = & \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1; \\ i, j > 0}} \left( \mathbf{m}_i(\mathbf{m}_j(x, y), z) - \mathbf{m}_i(x, \mathbf{m}_j(y, z)) \right. \\ & \left. - \mathbf{m}_i(\mathbf{m}_j(y, x), z) + \mathbf{m}_i(y, \mathbf{m}_j(x, z)) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

for  $x, y, z \in L$ . The 3-cochain  $\text{Obs}_L$  is called an obstruction cochain for extending the deformation of  $\mathbf{m}$  of order  $n$  to a deformation of order  $n + 1$ .

A straightforward computation gives the following

**Proposition 6.10.** *The obstructions are left-symmetric Rinehart algebra 3-cocycles.*



**Theorem 6.11.** *Let  $\mathfrak{m}_t$  be a deformation of  $\mathfrak{m}$  of order  $n$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m}_t$  extends to a deformation of order  $n + 1$  if and only if the cohomology class of  $Obs_L$  vanishes.*

*Proof.* Suppose that a deformation  $\mathfrak{m}_t$  of order  $n$  extends to a deformation of order  $n + 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1; \\ i,j \geq 0}} \left( \mathfrak{m}_i(\mathfrak{m}_j(x, y), z) - \mathfrak{m}_i(x, \mathfrak{m}_j(y, z)) - \mathfrak{m}_i(\mathfrak{m}_j(y, x), z) + \mathfrak{m}_i(y, \mathfrak{m}_j(x, z)) \right) = 0.$$

As a result, we get  $Obs_L = \delta(m_{n+1})$ . So, the cohomology class of  $Obs_L$  vanishes.

Conversely, let  $Obs_L$  be a coboundary. Suppose that

$$Obs_L = \delta(\mathfrak{m}_{n+1})$$

for some 2-cochain  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$ . Define a map  $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_t : L[[t]] \times L[[t]] \rightarrow L[[t]]$  as follows

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_t = \mathfrak{m}_t + \mathfrak{m}_{n+1}t^{n+1}.$$

Then for any  $x, y, z \in L$ , the map  $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_t$  satisfies the following identity

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1; \\ i,j \geq 0}} \left( \mathfrak{m}_i(\mathfrak{m}_j(x, y), z) - \mathfrak{m}_i(x, \mathfrak{m}_j(y, z)) - \mathfrak{m}_i(\mathfrak{m}_j(y, x), z) + \mathfrak{m}_i(y, \mathfrak{m}_j(x, z)) \right) = 0.$$

This, in turn, implies that  $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_t$  is a deformation of  $\mathfrak{m}$  extending  $\mathfrak{m}_t$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.12.** *If  $H^3(L, L) = 0$ , then every 2-cocycle in  $C^2(L, L)$  is the infinitesimal of some deformation of  $\mathfrak{m}$ .*

### 6.3 Trivial deformation

We study deformations of left-symmetric Rinehart algebras using the deformation cohomology. Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra, and  $\mathfrak{m} \in C^2(L, L)$ . Consider a  $t$ -parameterized family of multiplications  $\mathfrak{m}_t : L[[t]] \otimes L[[t]] \rightarrow L[[t]]$  and linear maps  $\ell_t : L \rightarrow Der(A)$  given by

$$\mathfrak{m}_t(x, y) = x \cdot y + t\mathfrak{m}(x, y), \quad (24)$$

$$\ell_t = \ell + t\Xi_{\mathfrak{m}}. \quad (25)$$

If  $L_t = (L, A, \mathfrak{m}_t, \ell_t)$  is a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra for all  $t$ , we say that  $\mathfrak{m}$  generates a 1-parameter infinitesimal deformation of  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$

Since  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a 2-cochain, we have

$$\mathfrak{m}(ax, y) = a\mathfrak{m}(x, y), \text{ and } \mathfrak{m}(x, ay) = a\mathfrak{m}_t(x, y) + \Xi_{\mathfrak{m}}(x)(a)y,$$

which implies that conditions (4) and (5) in Definition 3.1 are satisfied for  $\mathfrak{m}_t$ . Then we can deduce that  $(L, A, \mathfrak{m}_t, \ell_t)$  is a deformation of  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot \mathfrak{m}(y, z) - y \cdot \mathfrak{m}(x, z) + \mathfrak{m}(y, x) \cdot z - \mathfrak{m}(x, y) \cdot z \\ = \mathfrak{m}(y, x \cdot z) - \mathfrak{m}(x, y \cdot z) - \mathfrak{m}([x, y], z), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

and

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{m}(x, y), z) - \mathbf{m}(x, \mathbf{m}(y, z)) = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{m}(y, x), z) - \mathbf{m}(y, \mathbf{m}(x, z)). \quad (27)$$

Equation (26) means that  $\mathbf{m}$  is a 2-cocycle, and equation (27) means that  $(L, A, \mathbf{m}, \Xi_{\mathbf{m}})$  is a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra.

Recall that a deformation is said to be trivial if there exists a family of left-symmetric Rinehart algebra isomorphisms  $\text{Id} + tN : L_t \longrightarrow L$ .

By direct computations,  $L_t$  is trivial if and only if

$$\mathbf{m}(x, y) = x \cdot N(y) + N(x) \cdot y - N(x \cdot y), \quad (28)$$

$$N\mathbf{m}(x, y) = N(x) \cdot N(y), \quad (29)$$

$$\ell \circ N = \Xi_{\mathbf{m}}. \quad (30)$$

Again, equation (30) can be obtained from equation (28). It follows from (28) and (29) that  $N$  must satisfy the following integrability condition

$$N(x) \cdot N(y) - x \cdot N(y) - N(x) \cdot y + N^2(x \cdot y) = 0. \quad (31)$$

Now we give the following definition.

**Definition 6.13.** An  $A$ -linear map  $N : L \longrightarrow L$  is called a Nijenhuis operator on a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  if the Nijenhuis condition (31) holds.

Obviously, any Nijenhuis operator on a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra is also a Nijenhuis operator on the corresponding sub-adjacent Lie-Rinehart algebra.

We have seen that a trivial deformation of a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra gives rise to a Nijenhuis operator. In fact, the converse is also true as can be seen from the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.14.** *Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and  $N$  be a Nijenhuis operator. Then a deformation of  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  can be obtained by putting*

$$\mathbf{m}(x, y) = \delta N(x, y).$$

*Furthermore, this deformation is trivial.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{m}$  is a coboundary, then it is a cocycle, i.e. equation (26) holds. To see that  $\mathbf{m}$  generates a deformation, we only need to show that (27) holds, which follows from the Nijenhuis condition (31). At the end, we can easily check that

$$(\text{Id} + tN)(x \cdot_t y) = (\text{Id} + tN)(x) \cdot (\text{Id} + tN)(y), \quad \ell \circ (\text{Id} + tN) = \ell_t,$$

which implies that the deformation is trivial.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.15.** *Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and  $N$  be a Nijenhuis operator. Then  $(L, A, \cdot_N, \ell_N = \ell \circ N)$  is a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra, where*

$$x \cdot_N y = x \cdot N(y) + N(x) \cdot y - N(x \cdot y), \quad \forall x, y \in L.$$

*Proof.* It is obvious to show that  $(L, \cdot_N)$  is a left-symmetric algebra and  $\ell_N$  is a representation of  $L$  on  $Der(A)$ . Evidently, we have

$$\ell_N(ax) = a\ell_N(x), \forall x \in L, a \in A.$$

Furthermore, for any  $x, y \in L$  and  $a \in A$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot_N (ay) &= x \cdot N(ay) + N(x) \cdot (ay) - N(x \cdot (ay)) \\ &= a(x \cdot N(y)) + \ell(x)aN(y) + a(N(x) \cdot y) + \ell(N(x))ay - aN(x \cdot y) - N(\ell(x)ay) \\ &= a(x \cdot N(y) + N(x) \cdot y - N(x \cdot y)) + \ell_N(x)ay + N(\ell(x)ay) - N(\ell(x)ay). \\ &= a(x \cdot_N y) + \ell_N(x)ay. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (ax) \cdot_N y &= (ax) \cdot N(y) + N(ax) \cdot y - N((ax) \cdot y) \\ &= a(x \cdot N(y)) + a(N(x) \cdot y) - aN(x \cdot y) \\ &= a(x \cdot N(y) + N(x) \cdot y - N(x \cdot y)) \\ &= a(x \cdot_N y). \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $(L, A, \cdot_N, \ell_N = \ell \circ N)$  is a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra.  $\square$

Immediately, we have the following result.

**Lemma 6.16.** *Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and  $N$  be a Nijenhuis operator. Then for arbitrary positive  $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following equation holds*

$$N^j(x) \cdot N^k(y) - N^k(N^j(x) \cdot y) - N^j(x \cdot N^k(y)) + N^{j+k}(x \cdot y) = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in L. \quad (32)$$

If  $N$  is invertible, this formula becomes valid for arbitrary  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

By direct calculations, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.17.** *Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and  $N$  a Nijenhuis operator.*

- (i) *For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(L, A, \cdot_{N^k}, \ell_{N^k} = \ell \circ N^k)$  is a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra.*
- (ii) *For all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N^l$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, \cdot_{N^k}, \ell_{N^k})$ .*
- (iii) *The left-symmetric Rinehart algebras  $(L, A, (\cdot_{N^k})_{N^l}, \ell_{N^{k+l}})$  and  $(L, A, \cdot_{N^{k+l}}, \ell_{N^{k+l}})$  are the same.*
- (iv)  *$N^l$  is a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra homomorphism from  $(L, A, \cdot_{N^{k+l}}, \ell_{N^{k+l}})$  to  $(L, A, \cdot_{N^k}, \ell_{N^k})$ .*

**Theorem 6.18.** *Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and  $N$  be a Nijenhuis operator. Then the operator  $P(N) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i N^i$  is a Nijenhuis operator. If  $N$  is invertible, then  $Q(N) = \sum_{i=-m}^n c_i N^i$  is also a Nijenhuis operator.*

*Proof.* According to Lemma 6.16, we obtain,  $\forall x, y \in L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & P(N)(x) \cdot P(N)(y) - P(N)(P(N)(x) \cdot y) - P(N)(x \cdot P(N)(y)) + P^2(N)(x \cdot y) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^n c_j c_k \left( N^j(x) \cdot N^k(y) - N^k(N^j(x) \cdot y) - N^j(x \cdot N^k(y)) + N^{j+k}(x \cdot y) \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $P(N)$  is a Nijenhuis operator. Similarly we can easily check the second statement.  $\square$

## 7 $\mathcal{O}$ -operators and Nijenhuis operators

In this section, we highlight the relationships between  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators and Nijenhuis operators on left-symmetric Rinehart algebras. Moreover, we illustrate some connections between Nijenhuis operators and compatible  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators on left-symmetric Rinehart algebras.

### 7.1 Relationships between $\mathcal{O}$ -operators and Nijenhuis operators

We first give the definitions of an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator and of Rota-Baxter operator.

**Definition 7.1.** An  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator on a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  associated to a representation  $(M; \rho, \mu)$  is a linear map  $T : M \rightarrow L$  satisfying

$$T(au) = aT(u), \quad (33)$$

$$T(u) \cdot T(v) = T\left(\rho(T(u))(v) + \mu(T(v))(u)\right), \quad \forall u, v \in M, a \in A. \quad (34)$$

**Definition 7.2.** Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and  $\mathcal{R} : \ker(\ell) \rightarrow L$  a linear operator. If  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfies

$$\mathcal{R}(ax) = a\mathcal{R}(x), \quad (35)$$

$$\mathcal{R}(x) \cdot \mathcal{R}(y) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(x) \cdot y + x \cdot \mathcal{R}(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in L, a \in A, \quad (36)$$

then  $\mathcal{R}$  is called a Rota-Baxter operator of weight 0 on  $L$ .

Notice that a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero on a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $L$  is exactly an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator associated to the adjoint representation  $(L; ad^L, ad^R)$ .

The following proposition gives connections between Nijenhuis operators and Rota-Baxter operators.

**Proposition 7.3.** *Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and  $N : L \rightarrow L$  a linear operator.*

- (i) If  $N^2 = \text{Id}$ , then  $N$  is a Nijenhuis operator if and only if  $N \pm \text{Id}$  is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight  $\mp 2$  on  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$ .
- (ii) If  $N^2 = 0$ , then  $N$  is a Nijenhuis operator if and only if  $N$  is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero on  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$ .
- (iii) If  $N^2 = N$ , then  $N$  is a Nijenhuis operator if and only if  $N$  is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight  $-1$  on  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$ .

*Proof.* For Item (i), for all  $x, y \in L$  then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (N - \text{Id})(x) \cdot (N - \text{Id})(y) \\
& \quad - (N - \text{Id})((N - \text{Id})(x) \cdot y + x \cdot (N - \text{Id})(y)) + 2(N - \text{Id})(x \cdot y) \\
& = N(x) \cdot N(y) - N(N(x) \cdot y + x \cdot N(y)) + x \cdot y \\
& = N(x) \cdot N(y) - N(N(x) \cdot y + x \cdot N(y)) + N^2(x \cdot y).
\end{aligned}$$

So  $N$  is a Nijenhuis operator if and only if  $N - \text{Id}$  is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight 2 on  $L$ . Similarly, we obtain that  $N$  is a Nijenhuis operator if and only if  $N + \text{Id}$  is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight  $-2$  on  $L$ .

Items (ii) and (iii) are obvious from the definitions of Nijenhuis operators and Rota-Baxter operator.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.4.** *Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and  $(M; \rho, \mu)$  be a representation on  $L$ . Let  $T : M \rightarrow L$  be a linear map. For any  $\lambda$ ,  $T$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator on  $L$  associated to  $(M; \rho, \mu)$  if and only if the linear map  $\mathcal{R}_{T, \lambda} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & -\lambda \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}$  is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight  $\lambda$  on the semidirect product left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L \oplus M, \cdot_{L \oplus M})$ , where the multiplication  $\cdot_{L \oplus M}$  is given by (9).*

*Proof.* It is easy to check the equation (35). Let  $x_1, x_2 \in L$  and  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{T, \lambda}(x_1 + m_1) \cdot_{L \oplus M} \mathcal{R}_{T, \lambda}(x_2 + m_2) & = (T(m_1) - \lambda m_1) \cdot_{L \oplus M} (T(m_2) - \lambda m_2) \\
& = T(m_1) \cdot T(m_2) - \lambda \rho(T(m_1)m_2) - \lambda \mu(T(m_2))m_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R}_{T, \lambda}(\mathcal{R}_{T, \lambda}(x_1 + m_1) \cdot_{L \oplus M} (x_2 + m_2) + (x_1 + m_1) \cdot_{L \oplus M} \mathcal{R}_{T, \lambda}(x_2 + m_2)) + \\
& \quad \lambda \mathcal{R}_{T, \lambda}((x_1 + m_1) \cdot_{L \oplus M} (x_2 + m_2)) \\
& = \mathcal{R}_{T, \lambda}((T(m_1) - \lambda m_1) \cdot_{L \oplus M} (x_2 + m_2) + (x_1 + m_1) \cdot_{L \oplus M} (T(m_2) - \lambda m_2)) + \\
& \quad \lambda \mathcal{R}_{T, \lambda}(x_1 \cdot x_2 + \rho(x_1)m_2 + \mu(x_2)m_1)) \\
& = \mathcal{R}_{T, \lambda}(T(m_1) \cdot x_2 + \rho(T(m_1))m_2 - \lambda \mu(x_2)m_1 \\
& \quad + x_1 \cdot T(m_2) - \lambda \rho(x_1)m_2 + \mu(T(m_2))m_1)
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \lambda(T(\rho(x_1)m_2) + T(\mu(x_2)m_1) - \lambda(\rho(x_1)m_2 + \mu(x_2)m_1)) \\
= & T(\rho(T(m_1))m_2 + \mu(T(m_2))m_1) - \lambda T(\mu(x_2)m_1 + \rho(x_1)m_2) \tag{39}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \lambda(\rho(T(m_1))m_2 + \mu(T(m_2))m_1) + \lambda^2(\mu(x_2)m_1 + \rho(x_1)m_2) \\
& + \lambda(T(\rho(x_1)m_2) + T(\mu(x_2)m_1) - \lambda^2(\rho(x_1)m_2 + \mu(x_2)m_1)) \\
= & T(\rho(T(m_1))m_2 + \mu(T(m_2))m_1) - \lambda\rho(T(m_1))m_2 - \lambda\mu(T(m_2))m_1. \tag{40}
\end{aligned}$$

According to equations (37) and (40),  $\mathcal{R}_{T,\lambda}$  is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight  $\lambda$  on the semidirect product left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L \oplus M, \cdot_{L \oplus M})$  if and only if  $T$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator on  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  associated to  $(M; \rho, \mu)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 7.5.** *Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and let  $(M; \rho, \mu)$  be a representation on  $L$ . Let  $T : M \rightarrow L$  be a linear map. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $T$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator on the left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{N}_T := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L \oplus M, \cdot_{L \oplus M})$ .
- (iii)  $N_T := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L \oplus M, \cdot_{L \oplus M})$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathcal{N}_T = \mathcal{R}_{T,-1}$  and  $(\mathcal{N}_T)^2 = \mathcal{N}_T$ , thus  $\mathcal{N}_T$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L \oplus M, \cdot_{L \oplus M})$ , using (iii) in Proposition 7.3.

Similarly  $N_T = \mathcal{R}_{T,0}$  and  $(N_T)^2 = 0$ , then  $N_T$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L \oplus M, \cdot_{L \oplus M})$ , according to (ii) in Proposition 7.3.  $\square$

## 7.2 Compatible $\mathcal{O}$ -operators and Nijenhuis operators

In this subsection we study compatibility of  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators and Nijenhuis operators. First we start with the following definition.

**Definition 7.6.** Let  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  be a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra and let  $(M; \rho, \mu)$  be a representation. Let  $T_1, T_2 : M \rightarrow L$  be two  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators associated to  $(M; \rho, \mu)$ . Then  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are called compatible if  $T_1 + T_2$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator associated to  $(M; \rho, \mu)$ .

Let  $T_1, T_2 : M \rightarrow L$  be two  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators on a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  associated to a representation  $(M; \rho, \mu)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1(u) \cdot T_2(v) + T_2(u) \cdot T_1(v) = & T_1\left(\rho(T_2(u))(v) + \mu(T_2(v))(u)\right) \\
& + T_2\left(\rho(T_1(u))(v) + \mu(T_1(v))(u)\right), \tag{41}
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $u, v \in M$ .

**Lemma 7.7.** *Two operators  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are compatible if and only if the equation (41) holds.*

*Proof.* For all  $u, v \in M$ ,  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1 + T_2)(au) &= T_1(au) + T_2(au) \\ &= aT_1(u) + aT_2(u) \\ &= a(T_1 + T_2)(u). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &(T_1 + T_2)(u) \cdot (T_1 + T_2)(v) - (T_1 + T_2)\left(\rho((T_1 + T_2)(u))(v) + \mu((T_1 + T_2)(v))(u)\right) \\ &= T_1(u) \cdot T_1(v) + T_1(u) \cdot T_2(v) + T_2(u) \cdot T_1(v) + T_2(u) \cdot T_2(v) \\ &\quad - (T_1 + T_2)\left(\rho(T_1(u))(v) + \rho(T_2(u))(v) + \mu(T_1(v))(u) + \mu(T_2(v))(u)\right) \\ &= T_1(u) \cdot T_1(v) + T_1(u) \cdot T_2(v) + T_2(u) \cdot T_1(v) + T_2(u) \cdot T_2(v) \\ &\quad - T_1\left(\rho(T_1(u))(v) + \mu(T_1(v))(u)\right) - T_1\left(\rho(T_2(u))(v) + \mu(T_2(v))(u)\right) \\ &\quad - T_2\left(\rho(T_1(u))(v) + \mu(T_1(v))(u)\right) - T_2\left(\rho(T_2(u))(v) + \mu(T_2(v))(u)\right) \\ &= T_1(u) \cdot T_2(v) + T_2(u) \cdot T_1(v) \\ &\quad - T_1\left(\rho(T_2(u))(v) + \mu(T_2(v))(u)\right) - T_2\left(\rho(T_1(u))(v) + \mu(T_1(v))(u)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Then  $T_1 + T_2$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator associated to  $(M; \rho, \mu)$  if and only if equation (41) holds.  $\square$

**Remark 7.8.** Equation (41) implies that for any  $k_1, k_2$  the linear combination  $k_1T_1 + k_2T_2$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator.

There is a close relationship between a Nijenhuis operator and a pair of compatible  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators as can be seen from the following proposition.

**Proposition 7.9.** *Let  $T_1, T_2 : M \rightarrow L$  be two  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators on a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  associated to a representation  $(M; \rho, \mu)$ . Suppose that  $T_2$  is invertible. If  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are compatible, then  $N = T_1 \circ T_2^{-1}$  is a Nijenhuis operator on the left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $x, y \in L$ , since  $T_2$  is invertible, there exist  $u, v \in M$  such that  $T_2(u) = x$ ,  $T_2(v) = y$ . Hence  $N = T_1 \circ T_2^{-1}$  is a Nijenhuis operator if and only if the following equation holds:

$$NT_2(u) \cdot NT_2(v) = N(NT_2(u) \cdot T_2(v) + T_2(u) \cdot NT_2(v)) - N^2(T_2(u) \cdot T_2(v)). \quad (42)$$

Since  $T_1 = N \circ T_2$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator, the left-hand side of the above equation is

$$NT_2(\rho(NT_2(u))(v) + \mu(NT_2(v))(u)).$$

Using the fact that  $T_2$  and  $T_1 = N \circ T_2$  are two compatible  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & NT_2(u) \cdot T_2(v) + T_2(u) \cdot NT_2(v) \\ &= T_2(\rho(NT_2(u))(v) + \mu(NT_2(v))(u)) + NT_2(\rho(T_2(u))(v) + \mu(T_2(v))(u)) \\ &= T_2(\rho(NT_2(u))(v) + \mu(NT_2(v))(u)) + N(T_2(u) \cdot T_2(v)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, equation (42) holds by acting  $N$  on both sides of the last equality.  $\square$

Using an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator and a Nijenhuis operator, we can construct a pair of compatible  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators.

**Proposition 7.10.** *Let  $T : M \rightarrow L$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator on a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  associated to a representation  $(M; \rho, \mu)$  and let  $N$  be a Nijenhuis operator on  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$ . Then  $N \circ T$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator on the left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  associated to  $(M; \rho, \mu)$  if and only if for all  $u, v \in M$ , the following equation holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & N\left(NT(u) \cdot T(v) + T(u) \cdot NT(v)\right) \\ &= N\left(T(\rho(NT(u))(v) + \mu(NT(v))(u)) + NT(\rho(T(u))(v) + \mu(T(v))(u))\right). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

*If in addition  $N$  is invertible, then  $T$  and  $NT$  are compatible. More explicitly, for any  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator  $T$ , if there exists an invertible Nijenhuis operator  $N$  such that  $NT$  is also an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator, then  $T$  and  $NT$  are compatible.*

*Proof.* Let  $u, v \in M$  and  $a \in A$ , we have

$$NT(au) = N(T(au)) = N(aT(u)) = aNT(u).$$

In addition, since  $N$  is a Nijenhuis operator and  $T$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator we have

$$\begin{aligned} NT(u) \cdot NT(v) &= N\left(NT(u) \cdot T(v) + T(u) \cdot NT(v)\right) - N^2(T(u) \cdot T(v)) \\ &= NT\left(\rho(NT(u))(v) + \mu(NT(v))(u)\right) \end{aligned}$$

if and only if (43) holds.

If  $NT$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator and  $N$  is invertible, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T(u) \cdot T(v) + T(u) \cdot NT(v) \\ &= T(\rho(NT(u))(v) + \mu(NT(v))(u)) + NT(\rho(T(u))(v) + \mu(T(v))(u)), \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the condition that  $NT$  and  $T$  are compatible.  $\square$

The following result is an immediate consequence of the last two propositions.

**Corollary 7.11.** *Let  $T_1, T_2 : M \rightarrow L$  be two  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators on a left-symmetric Rinehart algebra  $(L, A, \cdot, \ell)$  associated to a representation  $(M; \rho, \mu)$ . Suppose that  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are invertible. Then  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are compatible if and only if  $N = T_1 \circ T_2^{-1}$  is a Nijenhuis operator.*



## Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the Deanship of Graduate Studies and Scientific Research at University of Bisha for supporting this work through the Fast-Track Research Support Program. Mohamed Elhamdadi was partially supported by Simons Foundation collaboration grant 712462.

## References

- [1] C. Bai. A further study on non-abelian phase spaces: left-symmetric algebraic approach and related geometry. *Rev. Math. Phys*, 18:545–564, 2006.
- [2] C. Bai. A unified algebraic approach to the classical yang-baxter equation. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor*, 40:11073–11082, 2007.
- [3] C. Bai. Left-symmetric bialgebras and an analogue of the classical yang-baxter equation. *Commun. Contemp. Math*, 10:221–260, 2008.
- [4] R. Bkouche. Structures  $(k, a)$ -linéaires. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér*, A-B 262:A373–A376, 1966.
- [5] D. Burde. Simple left-symmetric algebras with solvable Lie algebra. *Manuscripta Math*, 95(no. 3):397–411, 1998.
- [6] D. Burde. Left-symmetric algebras, or pre-Lie algebras in geometry and physics. *Cent. Eur. J. Math*, 4:323–357, 2006.
- [7] J. M. Casas. Obstructions to Lie-Rinehart algebra extensions. *Algebra Colloq*, 18(1):83–104, 2011.
- [8] A. Cayley. On the theory of analytic forms called trees. *Cayley, A., ed. Collected Mathematical Papers of Arthur Cayley*, 3:242–246, 1890.
- [9] F. Chapoton. M. livernet, pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad. *Int. Math. Res. Not*, pages 395–408, 2001.
- [10] S. Chemla. Operations for modules on Lie-Rinehart superalgebras. *Manuscripta Math*, 87(2):199–224, 1995.
- [11] L. Chen, M. Liu, and J. Liu. Cohomologies and crossed modules for pre-Lie Rinehart algebras. *J. of Geom. and Phy*, 176:104501, 2022.
- [12] Z. Chen, Z. Liu, and D. Zhong. Lie-Rinehart bialgebras for crossed products. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 215(6):1270–1283, 2011.
- [13] I. Dorfman. Dirac structures and integrability of nonlinear evolution equation. *Wiley*, 1993.
- [14] M. Gerstenhaber. The cohomology structure of an associative ring. *Ann. Math*, 78:267–288, 1963.

- [15] J. Herz. Pseudo-algèbres de Lie. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 236:1935–1937, 1953.
- [16] J. Huebschmann. Poisson cohomology and quantization. *J. Reine Angew. Math*, 408:57–113, 1990.
- [17] J. Huebschmann. Duality for Lie-Rinehart algebras and the modular class. *J. Reine Angew. Math*, 510:103–159, 1999.
- [18] J. Huebschmann. Lie-Rinehart algebras, descent, and quantization, in: Galois theory, hopf algebras, and semiabelian categories. *Fields Inst. Commun*, 43:295–316, 2004.
- [19] J. L. Koszul. Domaines bornés homogènes et orbites de groupes de transformations affines. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 89:515—533, 1961.
- [20] U. Kraemer and A. Rovi. A Lie-Rinehart algebra with no antipode. *Comm. Algebra*, 43(10):4049–4053, 2015.
- [21] B. A. Kupershmidt. Non-abelian phase spaces. *J. Phys. A*, 27:2801–2809, 1994.
- [22] B. A. Kupershmidt. What a classical r-matrix really is. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys*, 6(4):448–488, 1999.
- [23] J. Liu, Y. Sheng, and C. Bai. Left-symmetric bialgebroids and their corresponding manin triples. *Diff. Geom. Appl*, 59:91–111, 2018.
- [24] J. Liu, Y. Sheng, and C. Bai. Pre-symplectic algebroids and their applications. *Lett. Math. Phys*, 108(3):779–804, 2018.
- [25] J. Liu, Y. Sheng, C. Bai, and Z. Chen. Left-symmetric algebroids. *Math. Nach*, 289(14–15):1893–1908, 2016.
- [26] K. Mackenzie. Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in differential geometry. *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, 124, 1987.
- [27] Y. Matsushima. Affine structures on complex manifolds. *Osaka J. Math*, 5:215–222, 1968.
- [28] R. Palais. The cohomology of Lie rings. *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., Proc. Symp. Pure Math*, pages 130–137, 1961.
- [29] G. Rinehart. Differential forms on general commutative algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc*, 108:195–222, 1963.
- [30] E. B. Vinberg. Convex homogeneous cones. *Transl. Moscow Math. Soc*, 12:340–403, 1963.
- [31] Q. Wang, C. Bai, J. Liu, and Y. Sheng. Nijenhuis operators on pre-Lie algebras. *Commun. Contemp. Math*, 21(7):1850050, 2019.
- [32] X. Xu. On simple novikov algebras and their irreducible modules. *J. Algebra*, 185(3):905–934, 1996.

*Received:* December 6, 2023

*Accepted for publication:* February 29, 2024

*Communicated by:* Adam Chapman and Ivan Kaygorodov