

Communications in Mathematics 34 (2026), no. 1, Paper no. 5

DOI: https://doi.org/10.46298/cm.16066

©2026 Geoffrey Powell

This is an open access article licensed under the CC BY-SA 4.0

Filtering the linearization of the category of surjections

Geoffrey Powell

Abstract. A filtration of the morphisms of the k-linearization kFS of the category FS of finite sets and surjections is constructed using a natural kFI^{op} -module structure induced by restriction, where FI is the category of finite sets and injections. In particular, this yields the 'primitive' subcategory $kFS^0 \subset kFS$ that is of independent interest; for example, the category of kFS^0 -modules is closely related to the category of kFA-modules, where FA is the category of finite sets and all maps. Working over a field of characteristic zero, the subquotients of this filtration are identified as bimodules over kFB, where FB is the category of finite sets and bijections, also exhibiting and exploiting additional structure. In particular, this describes the underlying kFB-bimodule of kFS^0 .

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5
3	Filtering kFI^{op} -modules3.1 Defining the filtration	13
4	The subquotients of the filtration of kFS 4.1 Preliminaries	

MSC 2020: 18A25

Keywords: Finite sets and surjections; linearization; $\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module; filtration

Contact information:

Affiliation: University of Angers, France. Email: Geoffrey.Powell@math.cnrs.fr.

5	Cal	$\operatorname{culating} \ \Bbbk FS^0 \ ext{as a} \ \Bbbk FB ext{-bimodule}$	${\bf 22}$
	5.1	Recovering information from $M \odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}} triv$	23
	5.2	Recovering $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0$	24
	5.3	Identifying the higher subquotients of the filtration of kFS	25

1 Introduction

The main player of this paper is kFS, the k-linearization of the category FS of finite sets and surjections, where k is a field of characteristic zero. Representations of this category (known as kFS-modules here) and of its opposite are of significant interest. For instance, kFS^{op} -modules play an important role in the application of Sam and Snowden's Gröbner-theoretic methods [10]; Tosteson [12] has provided additional interesting information on kFS^{op} -modules.

In addition, Pirashvili [3] proved that the category of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}$ -modules is Morita equivalent to that of $\mathbb{k}\Gamma$ -modules, where Γ is the category of finite pointed sets and pointed maps (this does not require working over a field of characteristic zero). The category of $\mathbb{k}\Gamma$ -modules occurs in numerous situations; for instance, Pirashvili exhibited $\mathbb{k}\Gamma$ -modules as the natural coefficients for his higher Hochschild homology when working with pointed spaces. For unpointed spaces, $\mathbb{k}\Gamma$ should be replaced by $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}$, where \mathbf{FA} is the category of finite sets and all maps. The interplay between these structures was investigated in the joint work with Vespa [7], motivated by the joint work [8] on higher Hochschild homology evaluated on wedges of circles. The latter was inspired by the work of Turchin and Willwacher [13].

The purpose of the current paper is to construct a (highly non-trivial) filtration of the morphism spaces of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}$ and to investigate its properties. This is based upon the embedding $\mathbf{FS} \subset \mathbf{FA}$ and the following observation: for a finite set X, there is a natural $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module structure on $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)$ such that the projection $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}(-,X) \to \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)$ that sends non-surjective maps to zero is a morphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules.

It follows that $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)$ has a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module structure given by restriction along $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}^{\mathrm{op}}$, where $\mathbf{FI} \subset \mathbf{FA}$ is the category of finite sets and injections. General properties of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules then yield the exhaustive filtration:

$$0 = \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{-1}(-, X) \subseteq \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{0}(-, X) \subseteq \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{1}(-, X) \subseteq \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{2}(-, X) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}(-, X)$$
(1) (see Corollary 3.14).

As an example, for a finite set U, $k\mathbf{FS}^{0}(U,X)$ is the subspace of $k\mathbf{FS}(U,X)$ given by the intersection of the kernels of the k-linear maps

$$kFS(U,X) \to kFS(V,X)$$

given by the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module structure of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)$, for non-bijective injections $V \hookrightarrow U$. Whilst elementary to define, determining $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^{0}(U,X)$ as a \mathbb{k} -vector space or, better still, a $\mathbb{k}(\mathrm{Aut}(U)^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathrm{Aut}(X))$ -module (where $\mathrm{Aut}(-)$ denotes the automorphism group), is not easy.

There is more structure, which shows the significance of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^{0}$:

Theorem 1.1. (Corollary 3.21.) The following properties hold:

- 1. $\mathbb{k}FS^0$ forms a wide \mathbb{k} -linear subcategory of $\mathbb{k}FS$;
- 2. kFS has the structure of a $kFS^0 \otimes kFI^{op}$ -module and (1) is a filtration by $kFS^0 \otimes kFI^{op}$ -modules.

Part of the interest of the k-linear category $k\mathbf{FS}^0$ is that the category of $k\mathbf{FS}^0$ -modules is closely related to that of $k\mathbf{FA}$ -modules. The study of this relationship was initiated in [7] and developed in [5]: a precise relationship with the category of $k\mathbf{FA}$ -modules is given by the Morita equivalence result [5, Theorem 7.10], which is expressed using a k-linear category that is closely related to $k\mathbf{FS}^0$.

Remark 1.2. A conceptual explanation for the relationship between $\mathbb{k}FS^0$ and $\mathbb{k}FA$ is provided in [6, Section 19] using relative nonhomogeneous Koszul duality à la Positselski [4]. This is based on the fact that every map between finite sets can be written as the composite of a surjection followed by an injection; this induces an identification of the \mathbb{k} -linear category $\mathbb{k}FA$ as $\mathbb{k}FI \otimes_{FB} \mathbb{k}FS$, equipped with composition defined using a distributive law. Relative non-homogeneous Koszul duality then yields a DG \mathbb{k} -linear category which has underlying complex that identifies with the Koszul complex that is used in [7]. (This approach is not exploited explicitly here.)

To study the filtration (1), we consider the filtration subquotients $k\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{\ell/(\ell-1)}$, for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ (for $\ell=0$, this subquotient is simply $k\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0$). By Theorem 1.1, these subquotients are $k\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0 \otimes k\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules and the aim is to understand this structure. The first step is to give a precise relationship between the higher filtration quotients and $k\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0$.

In Theorem 1.3 below, sgn_a denotes the sign representation of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_a and $S_{(\ell,1^a)}$ the simple representation of $\mathfrak{S}_{\ell+a}$ indexed by the partition $(\ell,1^a)$ (considered as a $\mathbb{kF}_{\ell+a}^{\operatorname{op}}$ -module). Then $\bigoplus_{a\in\mathbb{N}}\operatorname{sgn}_a\boxtimes S_{(\ell,1^a)}$ is considered as a $\mathbb{kFB}\otimes\mathbb{kFB}^{\operatorname{op}}$ -module. Moreover, $\odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{\operatorname{op}}}$ denotes the Day convolution product for $\mathbb{kFB}^{\operatorname{op}}$ -modules (see Definition 3.1) and triv_ℓ is the trivial $\mathbb{kF}_\ell^{\operatorname{op}}$ -representation, considered as a $\mathbb{kFB}^{\operatorname{op}}$ -module.

We also use that $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}$ is equipped with an augmentation $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S} \to \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}$ that sends non-bijections to zero. Tensoring $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0 \to \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}$, the restriction of the above augmentation to $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0$, with $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ yields the \mathbb{k} -linear functor $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0 \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 4.4). For $\ell > 0$, there is a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0 \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules

$$0 \to \Bbbk \mathbf{F} \mathbf{S}^{\ell/(\ell-1)} \xrightarrow{\theta^{\ell}} \Bbbk \mathbf{F} \mathbf{S}^{0} \odot_{\mathbf{F} \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathsf{triv}_{\ell} \to \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{N}} \mathsf{sgn}_{a} \boxtimes S_{(\ell,1^{a})} \to 0,$$

where the cokernel is a $kFS^0 \otimes kFB^{op}$ -module via restriction along the homomorphism $kFS^0 \otimes kFB^{op} \to kFB \otimes kFB^{op}$.

The way in which the cokernel of θ^{ℓ} appears is of interest; to explain this, we use the skeleton of the category **FA** (and hence its wide subcategories) provided by the sets

 $\mathbf{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking $b = \ell + a$ and evaluating θ^{ℓ} on (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) , the map identifies as a morphism of $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{S}_a \times \mathfrak{S}_b^{\mathrm{op}})$ -modules:

$$\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{b}) : \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) \to \mathbb{k}^{\mathbf{FI}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})}.$$

The cokernel of this map identifies as $\operatorname{sgn}_a \boxtimes S_{(\ell,1^a)}$, by Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 2.7. Then, by exploiting the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0$ -module structure, it is shown that, as a varies, this accounts for all of the cokernel of θ^{ℓ} .

Theorem 1.3 gives an explicit relationship between kFS and $kFS^0 \odot_{FB^{op}}$ triv, where triv is the constant kFB^{op} -module k, which encodes all of the triv $_\ell$. Working up to isomorphism in the category of kFB^{op} -modules, $- \odot_{FB^{op}}$ triv is invertible, which leads to:

Theorem 1.4 (Theorem 5.7). In the Grothendieck group of $kFB \otimes kFB^{op}$ -modules, there is an equality

$$[\Bbbk\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0] + \sum_{b>a\geq 0} (-1)^{b-a}[\mathrm{sgn}_a\boxtimes \mathrm{sgn}_b] = [\Bbbk\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}]\odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^\mathrm{op}} \Big(\sum_{t\in\mathbb{N}} (-1)^t[\mathrm{sgn}_t]\Big).$$

This result is equivalent to [7, Theorem 3] which was established using different methods (see Remark 5.1 for a brief explanation of the relationship). The current approach is designed to give a quick derivation of this, working over a field of characteristic zero, that also determines the higher filtration quotients.

As already indicated, the work of [7] was motivated in part by the study of the relationship between $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0$ -modules and the category of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}$ -modules. The subtlety arises from the exceptional behaviour of the simple $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}$ -modules indexed by the partitions of the form (1^t). This is behind the appearance of the terms sgn_a in the statements of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

This exceptional behaviour is already apparent in the classification of the simple kFA-modules given by Wiltshire-Gordon in [14]. (This classification is outlined very briefly in Section 2.3 and is revisited in [5].) Moreover, Sam, Snowden and Tosteson [11] observed that the classification is related to that of certain simple representations of the Witt Lie algebra by Feigin and Shoikhet [1], where this exceptional behaviour is also apparent (see Remark 2.9 for slightly more detail).

Remark 1.5. Throughout this paper, k is taken to be a field of characteristic zero. Given that many of the results of [7] hold over an arbitrary commutative ring, it is natural to seek to generalize the current results. Indeed, the construction of the filtration (1) can be carried out over any such ring and Theorem 1.1 should also hold in this generality.

However, the proof of Theorem 1.3 depends upon k being a field of characteristic zero, since it is based upon Theorem 2.8, the given proof of which exploits the behaviour of the simple kFA-modules over a field of characteristic zero. It would be of considerable interest to have a characteristic-free version of Theorem 2.8.

2 Background

This section presents some background and introduces the main characters, namely some familiar wide subcategories of the category of finite sets. The main objective is to state and sketch the proof of Theorem 2.8 (which is proved in detail in [5, Section 6]); this is the initial input for the proofs of the main theoretical results of the paper in Section 4.

Throughout k is a field of characteristic zero. Tensor products of k-vector spaces are denoted simply by \otimes .

2.1 Basics

The symmetric group on b letters is denoted by \mathfrak{S}_b . The hypothesis upon k allows us to invoke the (semi-simple) representation theory of the symmetric groups in characteristic zero. (Basics of the representation theory of the symmetric groups in characteristic zero are covered, for example, in [2, Chapter 4].) The simple representations of \mathfrak{S}_b are indexed by the partitions $\lambda \vdash b$, with the corresponding simple module denoted by S_{λ} . We use the convention that the partition (b) indexes the trivial representation, $\mathsf{triv}_b = S_{(b)}$.

For a category \mathcal{C} , the morphism sets are denoted either $\hom_{\mathcal{C}}(-,-)$ or $\mathcal{C}(-,-)$. The \mathbb{k} -linearization of \mathcal{C} is denoted by $\mathbb{k}\mathcal{C}$; this has the same objects as \mathcal{C} and morphisms given by $\hom_{\mathbb{k}\mathcal{C}}(-,-) := \mathbb{k} \hom_{\mathcal{C}}(-,-)$, also written $\mathbb{k}\mathcal{C}(-,-)$. For f a morphism of \mathcal{C} , the corresponding morphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathcal{C}$ is denoted [f]; such elements provide \mathbb{k} -bases for the morphism spaces. Moreover, this defines a faithful functor $\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{k}\mathcal{C}$ that is the identity on objects.

If \mathcal{C} is essentially small, one can consider the category of functors from \mathcal{C} to $\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathbb{k}}$, the category of \mathbb{k} -vector spaces; this is sometimes denoted $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$. This is equivalent to the category of \mathbb{k} -linear functors from $\mathbb{k}\mathcal{C}$ to \mathbb{k} -vector spaces, which will be referred to here as the category of $\mathbb{k}\mathcal{C}$ -modules (rather than \mathcal{C} -modules, as is common in the literature, since we also consider \mathbb{k} -linear categories that are not of the form $\mathbb{k}\mathcal{C}$).

The category $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$ is abelian and comes equipped with the tensor product induced by that on \mathbb{k} -vector spaces; namely, for functors F, G, their tensor product is defined by $(F \otimes G)(X) := F(X) \otimes G(X)$. Vector space duality induces $D : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}})$ given by $DF(X) := \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(F(X), \mathbb{k})$. This restricts to an equivalence between the respective full subcategories of functors taking finite-dimensional values.

The tensor product $\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}^{op}) \times \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathsf{Mod}_{\Bbbk}$ is defined as the composite of the external tensor product $\boxtimes: \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}^{op}) \times \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C})$ (which is simply given by $(F \boxtimes G)(X \times Y) = F(X) \otimes G(Y)$) and the coend $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}) \to \mathsf{Mod}_{\Bbbk}$.

A subcategory C' of C is *wide* if it contains all the objects of C; a wide subcategory is determined by specifying the morphisms that it contains.

2.2 The cast

The category **FA** of finite sets and *all* maps has skeleton $\{\mathbf{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where **n** is the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ (understood to be \emptyset for n = 0). An obvious but useful fact is that, for finite sets X and Y, $\mathbf{FA}(X,Y)$ is a finite set.

The wide subcategories **FS**, **FI**, **FB** of **FA** are our main players, specified by their classes of morphisms: surjections, injections, bijections respectively. These fit into the commutative diagram of inclusions of wide subcategories:

$$FB \longrightarrow FI$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$FS \longrightarrow FA$$

Any map of **FA** factors (uniquely up to a morphism of **FB**) as the composite of a map of **FS** followed by one of **FI**.

Remark 2.1. Since **FB** is a groupoid, it is isomorphic to its opposite, **FB**^{op}. Thus the category of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}$ -modules is isomorphic to the category of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}$ -modules. This is a global form of the fact that, for $b \in \mathbb{N}$, the category of $\mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_b$ -modules is isomorphic to the category of $\mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_b$ -modules. This will be used to adjust variance, when necessary, without further comment.

If $f: X \to Y$ is a map between finite sets that is not surjective, for any map $g: U \to X$, precomposing with g gives $f \circ g$ that is not surjective. Likewise, if f is not injective, then postcomposing with any map $h: Y \to Z$ gives $h \circ f$ that is not injective. This implies the following:

Proposition 2.2. Let X be a finite set.

1. There is a unique kFA-module structure on kFI(X, -) extending the canonical kFImodule structure such that the projection

$$\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}(X,-) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}(X,-)$$

sending non-injective maps to zero is a morphism of kFA-modules.

2. There is a unique kFA^{op} -module structure on kFS(-,X) extending the canonical kFS^{op} -module structure such that the projection

$$k\mathbf{FA}(-,X) \rightarrow k\mathbf{FS}(-,X)$$

sending non-surjective maps to zero is a morphism of kFA^{op}-modules.

3. Evaluating on X, these both yield the surjective morphism of \mathbb{k} -algebras

$$k\mathbf{FA}(X,X) \rightarrow k\mathrm{Aut}(X)$$

induced by sending non-bijective maps to zero. This is split by the canonical inclusion of \mathbb{k} -algebras $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{k}\mathrm{FA}(X,X)$.

For finite sets X, U, Y, the surjection $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}(-, X) \to \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}(-, X)$ yields the following commutative diagram corresponding to the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module structures:

$$\Bbbk\mathbf{FA}(U,X)\otimes \Bbbk\mathbf{FA}(Y,U) \longrightarrow \Bbbk\mathbf{FA}(Y,X)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\Bbbk\mathbf{FS}(U,X)\otimes \Bbbk\mathbf{FA}(Y,U) \longrightarrow \Bbbk\mathbf{FS}(Y,X).$$

Taking X = Y, this refines to

$$\Bbbk \mathbf{FA}(U,X) \otimes \Bbbk \mathbf{FA}(X,U) \longrightarrow \Bbbk \mathbf{FA}(X,X)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\Bbbk \mathbf{FS}(U,X) \otimes \Bbbk \mathbf{FI}(X,U) \longrightarrow \Bbbk \mathrm{Aut}(X),$$

using the projection $k\mathbf{FA}(X,U) \to k\mathbf{FI}(X,U)$ to yield the left hand vertical arrow.

The lower horizontal map is compatible with the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}$ -actions on $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}(-,X)$ and $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}(X,-)$ respectively, yielding the following:

Proposition 2.3. For a finite set X, composition in **FA** induces the morphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ -bimodules:

$$kFS(-,X) \otimes_{FA} kFI(X,-) \to kAut(X).$$
 (2)

Proof. Associativity of composition implies that, for a finite set U, the morphism

$$kFS(U, X) \otimes kFI(X, U) \rightarrow kAut(X)$$

induced by composition and projection to $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is a morphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ -bimodules for the obvious bimodule structures.

It remains to check that these induce a morphism $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X) \otimes_{\mathbf{FA}} \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}(X,-) \to \mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ as claimed. This follows from the associativity of the composition in $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}$ together with the fact that the factorization observed above across the surjection of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}$ -modules $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}(X,-) \to \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}(X,-)$ is canonical.

It is worth spelling this out. For composable morphisms $f \in \mathbf{FS}(U,X)$, $g \in \mathbf{FA}(V,U)$ and $h \in \mathbf{FI}(X,V)$, we require to prove that the images of $g^*[f] \otimes [h]$ and $[f] \otimes g_*[h]$ in $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ are the same, writing g^* and g_* to indicate the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}^\mathrm{op}$ and $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}$ -actions respectively. Now, $g^*[f] = [f \circ g]$ if $f \circ g \in \mathbf{FA}(V,X)$ is surjective and zero otherwise; likewise $g_*[h] = [g \circ h]$ if $g \circ h \in \mathbf{FA}(X,U)$ is injective and zero otherwise. Similarly $f \circ g \circ h \in \mathbf{FA}(X,X)$ has image $[f \circ g \circ h]$ in $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ if $f \circ g \circ h$ is a bijection and zero otherwise. Now, the condition that $f \circ g \circ h$ is a bijection implies that $f \circ g$ is surjective and that $g \circ h$ is injective. It follows that the images of $g^*[f] \otimes [h]$ and $[f] \otimes g_*[h]$ in $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ are both equal to $[f \circ g \circ h]$ if $f \circ g \circ h$ is a bijection and both zero otherwise. \square

We use this Proposition to construct the natural transformation Θ_X of equation (4). This is used in Section 4, where it is essential input in the proof of Theorem 4.4, via Theorem 2.8, the main result of this section, which identifies its cokernel.

Corollary 2.4. For a finite set X,

1. by adjunction, (2) yields the morphism of $kFA^{op} \otimes kAut(X)$ -modules:

$$\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X) \to \hom_{\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X)^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}(X,-),\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X));$$
 (3)

2. the codomain of this morphism is isomorphic to DkFI(X, -) equipped with its canonical $kFA^{op} \otimes kAut(X)$ -module structure, hence (3) identifies as the morphism

$$\Theta_X : \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-, X) \to D\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}(X, -) = \mathbb{k}^{\mathbf{FI}(X, -)}$$
 (4)

of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ -modules.

3. For a finite set U, $\Theta_X(U)$ identifies as

$$\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(U,X) \to \mathbb{k}^{\mathbf{FI}(X,U)}$$

$$[f] \mapsto \sum_{s \in \operatorname{Sec}(f)} \eta_s,$$

where $Sec(f) \subset \mathbf{FI}(X, U)$ is the set of sections of $f \in \mathbf{FS}(U, X)$ and η_s is the dual basis element to $[s] \in \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}(X, U)$.

Proof. The first statement is given by the standard adjunction, taking into account the tensor product $\otimes_{\mathbf{FA}}$ and the $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ -bimodule structure.

The second statement follows from the general fact that, for a finite group G and a right kG-module M, postcomposing with the k-linear map $kG \to k$ that sends $[e] \mapsto 1$ and $[g] \mapsto 0$ for $g \neq e$ yields an isomorphism of left kG-modules:

$$hom_{\Bbbk G^{op}}(M, \Bbbk G) \to hom_{\Bbbk}(M, \Bbbk),$$

where kG is considered as a kG-bimodule with respect to the left and right regular actions. The inverse sends a linear map $\phi \in \text{hom}_k(M, k)$ to the linear map $M \to kG$ defined by $x \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} \phi(xg) \otimes [g^{-1}]$. Since this is natural with respect to M, the second assertion follows.

The explicit identification of Θ_X follows immediately from this.

Example 2.5. In the case U = X, $\Theta_X(X)$ is the linear map $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X) \to \mathbb{k}^{\mathrm{Aut}(X)}$ given by $[\alpha] \mapsto \eta_{\alpha^{-1}}$. This is an isomorphism.

One can restrict the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module structure of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}(-,X)$ to give a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module structure, with structure morphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X)\otimes\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(Y)^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules:

$$kFS(U, X) \otimes kFI(Y, U) \rightarrow kFS(Y, X).$$

Moreover, these factors across

$$kFS(U, X) \otimes_{Aut(U)} kFI(Y, U) \to kFS(Y, X).$$
 (5)

The following is a counterpart of Corollary 2.4:

Proposition 2.6. For finite sets U, X, Y, (5) yields the morphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(U)^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ -modules, by adjunction:

$$\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(U,X) \to \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(Y)^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}(Y,U),\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(Y,X)).$$

When X = Y, this identifies with (3) evaluated on U, with the inherited $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(U)^{\mathrm{op}}$ -action.

2.3 The cokernel of Θ

For compatibility with later notation, here we take X to be **a** (for some $a \in \mathbb{N}$) and consider the natural transformation (4)

$$\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}: \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,\mathbf{a}) \to D\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}(\mathbf{a},-)$$

of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_a$ -modules.

The $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module structure is useful here. Simple $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}$ -modules are understood by the work of Wiltshire-Gordon [14], in particular his classification [14, Theorem 5.5]. (This theory has been revisited in [5, Section 6], with the classification stated as [5, Theorem 6.6].) By dualizing, one obtains the classification of the simple $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules. We require a few details, as sketched below (for more details, see [5, Section 6]).

The standard projective $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}$ -module $P_1 := \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}(1,-)$ identifies with the functor $X \mapsto \mathbb{k}X$. There is a natural transformation $P_1 \to \mathbb{k}$ to the constant functor with value kring induced by the canonical map $X \to *$ to the final object of $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}$. The kernel is denoted \overline{P} , which lives in the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \overline{P} \to P_1 \to \overline{\mathbb{k}} \to 0,$$

where $\overline{\Bbbk} \subset \Bbbk$ is the subfunctor supported on non-empty sets. This short exact sequence does not split: both \overline{P} and $\overline{\Bbbk}$ are simple $\Bbbk \mathbf{FA}$ -modules, whereas neither are projective.

For each $t \in \mathbb{N}$, one can form the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}$ -module $\Lambda^t(\overline{P})$ by post-composing with the tth exterior power functor; by convention, $\Lambda^0(\overline{P})$ is taken to be $\overline{\mathbb{k}}$. For each t, $\Lambda^t(\overline{P})$ is a simple $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}$ -module.

The inclusion $\overline{P} \hookrightarrow P_1$ induces $\Lambda^t(\overline{P}) \hookrightarrow \Lambda^t(P_1)$ which (for t > 0) fits into the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Lambda^t(\overline{P}) \hookrightarrow \Lambda^t(P_1) \to \Lambda^{t-1}(\overline{P}) \to 0.$$

Lemma 2.7. For $a \in \mathbb{N}$, the underlying $\mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_b$ -module of $\Lambda^a(\overline{P})(\mathbf{b})$ is isomorphic to $S_{(b-a,1^a)}$ if b > a and 0 otherwise.

Proof. The case a=0 is immediate, using the convention $\Lambda^0(\overline{P})=\overline{\mathbb{k}}$. Hence, suppose that a>0.

First consider $\Lambda^a(P_1)(\mathbf{b}) = \Lambda^a(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{b})$. This is zero if a > b. Otherwise one checks that it identifies with the $\mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_b$ -module $(\operatorname{sgn}_b \boxtimes \operatorname{triv}_{b-a}) \uparrow_{\mathfrak{S}_b \times \mathfrak{S}_{b-a}}^{\mathfrak{S}_b} \cong S_{(b-a,1^a)} \oplus S_{(b-a+1,1^{a-1})}$, where the identification follows from the Pieri formula. (The Pieri formula is a special case of

the Littlewood-Richardson rule; an equivalent version in terms of the associated Schur functors is stated in [9, Section 1.1], for example.)

The result then follows from an obvious induction on a using the above short exact sequence.

The following result is the dual of [5, Proposition 6.11]. The proof is sketched here, for completeness.

Theorem 2.8. For $a \in \mathbb{N}$, the natural transformation $\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}$ fits into an exact sequence of $\mathbb{k} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{k} \mathfrak{S}_{a}$ -modules:

$$\Bbbk \mathbf{FS}(-,\mathbf{a}) \xrightarrow{\Theta_\mathbf{a}} D \Bbbk \mathbf{FI}(\mathbf{a},-) \to D \Lambda^a(\overline{P}) \boxtimes \mathsf{sgn}_a \to 0.$$

In particular, the cokernel of $\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}$ is a simple $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_a$ -module.

Proof. The key point of the proof is the identification of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}(\mathbf{a}, -)$ as a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA} \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_a^{\text{op}}$ -module.

Consider the surjection $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{a},-) \to \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{a},-)$ of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}$ -modules given by Proposition 2.2. As a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}$ -module, $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{a},-)$ is isomorphic to $P_1^{\otimes a}$, hence the inclusion $\overline{P} \subset P_1$ induces $\overline{P}^{\otimes a} \subset \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{a},-)$. Composing these gives the morphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_a^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules:

$$\overline{P}^{\otimes a} \to \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}(\mathbf{a}, -).$$

One shows (see [5, Proposition 6.1]) that the image of this map is semisimple and is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \vdash a \\ \lambda \neq (1^a)}} \left(\Bbbk \mathbf{FI}(\mathbf{a}, -) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_a} S_{\lambda} \right) \boxtimes S_{\lambda} \quad \oplus \quad \Lambda^a(\overline{P}) \boxtimes \mathrm{sgn}_a.$$

The cokernel of the map is the simple object $\Lambda^{a-1}(\overline{P}) \boxtimes \operatorname{sgn}_a$.

By [5, Theorem 6.6], the simple $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}$ -modules $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{a}, -) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_a} S_{\lambda}$ ($\lambda \neq (1^a)$) and $\Lambda^{a-1}(\overline{P})$ vanish on evaluation on \mathbf{n} with n < a and are detected by evaluation on \mathbf{a} . Likewise $\Lambda^a(\overline{P})(\mathbf{n}) = 0$ for $n \leq a$ and $\Lambda^a(\overline{P})(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$. On dualizing, one obtains the structure of $D\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{a}, -)$ (cf. [5, Corollary 6.7]) with composition factors satisfying the analogous vanishing and detection properties.

By Example 2.5, $\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}$ is an isomorphism when evaluated on \mathbf{a} . Hence, by the above discussion, to prove the result, it suffices to show that it is not an isomorphism evaluated on $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{1}$. This is a straightforward calculation.

Remark 2.9. The structure of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}(\mathbf{n}, -)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, can also be deduced from the work of Feigin and Shoikhet [1], in which they consider certain simple representations of the Witt Lie algebra. To do this, one uses the relationship between polynomial representations of the Witt Lie algebra and $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules that was established by Sam, Snowden and Tosteson in [11], where the relationship with the results of [14] is indicated in [11, Section 1.7].

Now, in [1, Section 3.1], Feigin and Shoikhet consider representations of the Lie algebra W_n of polynomial vector fields on an *n*-dimensional vector and its sub Lie algebra W_n^0

of vector fields vanishing at the origin, which is equipped with a surjection $W_n^0 \to \mathfrak{gl}_n$. The proof of their classification theorem [1, Section 3.1] gives the structure of the coinduced module $\hom_{U(W_n)}(U(W_n^0),\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. We claim that this corresponds to the structure of $\Bbbk \mathbf{FI}(\mathbf{n},-)$ via the results of [11].

Example 2.10. When a = 0, one identifies $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,\mathbf{0}) \cong \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{0}}$, the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module with value \mathbb{k} supported on 0. Likewise, $D\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}(\mathbf{0},-)$ identifies as the constant $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module with value \mathbb{k} . The morphism $\Theta_{\mathbf{0}}$ fits into the short exact sequence of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules:

$$0 \to \mathbb{k}_0 \stackrel{\Theta_0}{\to} \mathbb{k} \to \overline{\mathbb{k}} \to 0,$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{k}}$ is \mathbb{k} supported on non-empty finite sets. (We stress that this is in $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}^{\text{op}}$ -modules, as opposed to $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}$ -modules; to be consistent with previous notation, these modules should be denoted $D\mathbb{k}_0$, $D\mathbb{k}$, and $D\overline{\mathbb{k}}$ respectively.) Now, $\overline{\mathbb{k}}$ identifies as $D\Lambda^0(\overline{P})$ (by our convention) and is simple; evaluated on \mathbf{b} with b > 0, the corresponding $\mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_b^{\text{op}}$ -representation is the trivial representation $\mathsf{triv}_b = S_{(b)}$.

3 Filtering kFI^{op}-modules

The purpose of this section is to introduce the natural filtration of the morphisms of kFS that interests us, by exploiting the kFI^{op} -module structure introduced in Section 2. This allows us to introduce the wide k-linear subcategory kFS^0 of kFS in Corollary 3.21, the main result of the section.

3.1 Defining the filtration

In this section, we show that a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{op}$ -module has a natural filtration. We start by recalling the Day convolution product on $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}^{op}$ -modules:

Definition 3.1. Let $\odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}$ be the Day convolution product on $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules that is given by

$$M \odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}} N : S \mapsto \bigoplus_{S_1 \coprod S_2 = S} M(S_1) \otimes N(S_2),$$

where the sum is indexed by ordered decompositions of the finite set S into two subsets (S_1, S_2) .

This defines a symmetric monoidal structure on kFB^{op} -modules, with unit k_0 , the module supported on 0 with value k.

Remark 3.2. It is well known that the category of kFI-modules can be described as a category of modules in the category of kFB-modules equipped with the corresponding Day convolution product. For example, via the Schur correspondence (as explained in [9]), this description of kFI-modules is given by [9, Proposition 1.3.5].

There is an analogous description of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{op}$ -modules as a category of comodules, working in the symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{op}), \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{op}}, \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{0}})$. Write triv for the constant

 $k\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module with value k. This has the structure of a bicommutative Hopf algebra in $k\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules; in particular, triv has an underlying coaugmented coalgebra structure. One can thus consider the category of triv-comodules. Objects are $k\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules M equipped with a structure morphism $M \to M \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}$ triv that satisfies the appropriate axioms.

The filtration of a kFI^{op} -module that we construct below can be identified with the primitive filtration associated to this comodule structure.

For a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module M and a pair of finite sets (X,Y), one has the structure map $M(Y) \otimes_{\mathrm{Aut}(Y)} \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}(X,Y) \to M(X)$ and this is $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X)^{\mathrm{op}}$ -equivariant. By adjunction, this gives the $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(Y)^{\mathrm{op}}$ -equivariant morphism

$$M(Y) \to \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{k}\operatorname{Aut}(X)^{\operatorname{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}(X,Y), M(X)).$$
 (6)

We first define the filtration at the level of sections:

Definition 3.3. For a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module M, an integer $t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{-1\}$, and a finite set Y, let $M^t(Y) \subseteq M(Y)$ be the largest sub \mathbb{k} -vector space such that the composite

$$M^t(Y) \subset M(Y) \stackrel{M(i)}{\to} M(X)$$

is zero for each (X, i), where X is a finite set with |Y| - |X| = t + 1 and $i \in \mathbf{FI}(X, Y)$.

Since **FA** has a skeleton with objects indexed by their cardinality, one has the following identification:

Lemma 3.4. For a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module $M, t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{-1\}$, and a finite set Y:

- 1. if $|Y| \le t$, then $M^t(Y) = M(Y)$;
- 2. if |Y| > t, then

$$M^{t}(Y) = \ker \Big(M(Y) \to \hom_{\mathbb{k}\operatorname{Aut}(X)^{\operatorname{op}}} (\mathbb{k}\operatorname{\mathbf{FI}}(X,Y), M(X)) \Big),$$

where X is some object with |Y| - |X| = t + 1.

In particular, $M^t(Y)$ is a sub $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(Y)^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module of M(Y).

This provides the desired filtration, by the following:

Proposition 3.5. Let M be a kFI^{op} -module.

- 1. For $t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{-1\}$, $Y \mapsto M^t(Y)$ yields a sub $k\mathbf{FI}^{op}$ -module of M.
- 2. The subobjects M^t form a natural, increasing filtration of M by sub $k\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules:

$$0=M^{-1}\subseteq M^0\subseteq M^1\subseteq M^2\subseteq\ldots\subseteq M$$

and this filtration is exhaustive.

Proof. It is clear from the definition that the construction of $M^t(Y) \subseteq M(Y)$ is natural with respect to M.

We next establish that, if $t \leq \ell$, then $M^t(Y) \subseteq M^\ell(Y)$. Let $i: X \hookrightarrow Y$ be any injection with $|Y| - |X| = \ell + 1$. Since $t \leq \ell$ by hypothesis, there exists a factorization of i of the form $X \stackrel{i'}{\hookrightarrow} X' \stackrel{i''}{\hookrightarrow} Y$ with |Y| - |X'| = t + 1. Thus $M(i) = M(i'') \circ M(i')$, from which one deduces that $M^t(Y)$ lies in $M^\ell(Y)$.

To prove that the construction M^t yields a submodule of M, we require to show that, for any $j:U\hookrightarrow Y$ the image of $M^t(Y)\subseteq M(Y)\stackrel{j}{\to} M(U)$ lies in $M^t(U)$. If $|U|\le t$, by Lemma 3.4, $M^t(U)=M(U)$ and there is nothing to show. In the case |U|>t, consider any $i:V\hookrightarrow U$ with |U|-|V|=t+1; the composite $V\stackrel{i}{\hookrightarrow} U\stackrel{j}{\hookrightarrow} Y$ has $|Y|-|V|=\ell+1$ for some $\ell\ge t$. It follows that the composite

$$M^{t}(Y) \subseteq M^{\ell}(Y) \subseteq M(Y) \stackrel{M(j)}{\to} M(U) \stackrel{M(i)}{\to} M(V)$$

is zero, by the definition of $M^{\ell}(Y)$, where the first inclusion is given by the previous argument. This holds for any such i; thus, by the definition of $M^{t}(U)$, the image of $M^{t}(Y)$ in M(U) lies in $M^{t}(U)$.

The identification of M^{-1} is immediate, since any $i: X \hookrightarrow Y$ with |Y| = |X| is necessarily a bijection. The fact that the filtration is exhaustive follows from the identification $M^t(Y) = M(Y)$ if $t \ge |Y|$ given in Lemma 3.4.

There is an augmentation $k\mathbf{FI} \to k\mathbf{FB}$ that sends all non-bijective maps to zero. Restriction along the (opposite of the) augmentation gives an exact functor from $k\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules to $k\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules with essential image the full subcategory of $k\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules on which all non-bijective injections act by zero.

We have the following interpretation of $M \mapsto M^0$ on kFI^{op} -modules.

Proposition 3.6. The association $M \mapsto M^0$ defines a functor from kFI^{op} -modules to kFB^{op} -modules. It is a right adjoint to the above restriction functor.

The adjunction counit identifies as the natural inclusion $M^0 \hookrightarrow M$ of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules.

Proof. By definition, for a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module M, M^0 is the largest submodule of M with the property that $M^0(i)$ is zero for every non-bijective injection i. Thus $M \mapsto M^0$ induces a functor from $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules to $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}$. Moreover, it is immediate that this is right adjoint to the restriction functor and that the adjunction counit corresponds to the canonical inclusion $M^0 \hookrightarrow M$.

Remark 3.7. The submodule M^0 corresponds to the primitives of M when considered as a comodule in kFB^{op} -modules (see Remark 3.2).

3.2 Relating terms of the filtration

In this section, we use the kFI^{op} -module structure of M to provide further relations between the terms M^t of its filtration. For this, we introduce the following:

Notation 3.8. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$\mathbf{FI}^{[n]}(X,Y) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{FI}(X,Y) & |Y| - |X| = n \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

Remark 3.9. Forgetting structure, we may consider $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}$ as a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}$ -bimodule. By construction $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{[n]}$ is a sub $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}$ -bimodule and there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}$ -bimodules $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI} \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{[n]}$. Observe that $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{[0]}$ identifies as $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}$. This provides an \mathbb{N} -grading of the morphisms of the \mathbb{k} -linear category $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}$.

We can form $\hom_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[n]}, M)$ for any $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module M. The following is immediate:

Lemma 3.10. For M a kFB^{op} -module and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the underlying kFB-module structure of $kFI^{[n]}$ induces a natural kFB^{op} -module structure on $hom_{kFB^{op}}(kFI^{[n]}, M)$.

Evaluated on Y, if $|Y| \leq n$, this is zero; otherwise it is isomorphic to

$$\hom_{\Bbbk \operatorname{Aut}(X)^{\operatorname{op}}}(\Bbbk \mathbf{FI}(X,Y), M(X)),$$

where X is a set of cardinality |Y| - n.

We identify $\hom_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{op}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[n]}, -)$ using the Day convolution product $\odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{op}}$ that was recalled in Definition 3.1.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the trivial $\mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_n$ -module triv_n as a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module supported on sets of cardinality n. Hence, for any $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module M one can form the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module $M \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}$ triv_n.

Lemma 3.11. For M a kFB^{op} -module, there is a natural isomorphism of kFB^{op} -modules

$$\hom_{\Bbbk\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\Bbbk\mathbf{FI}^{[n]}, M) \cong M \odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathsf{triv}_n.$$

Proof. If b < n, then $\hom_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{op}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[n]}(-,\mathbf{b}),M)$ and $(M \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{op}} \mathsf{triv}_n)(\mathbf{b})$ are clearly zero. It remains to treat the case $b \ge n$; set a := b - n.

By Lemma 3.10, there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_{b}^{\text{op}}$ -modules:

$$\hom_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[n]}(-,\mathbf{b}),M) \cong \hom_{\mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{op}}_{a}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}),M(\mathbf{a})).$$

Consider the Young subgroup $\mathfrak{S}_a \times \mathfrak{S}_n \subset \mathfrak{S}_b$ corresponding to the canonical inclusion $\mathbf{a} \subset \mathbf{b}$, using that $\mathbf{b} \setminus \mathbf{a} \cong \mathbf{n}$. The $\mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_a^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_b$ -module $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ identifies as the permutation module $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{S}_b/\mathfrak{S}_n)$, where $\mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_a$ acts on the right via the inclusion $\mathfrak{S}_a \subset \mathfrak{S}_b$. From this one deduces the isomorphism:

$$\hom_{\Bbbk\mathfrak{S}_a^{\mathrm{op}}}(\Bbbk\mathbf{FI}(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}),M(\mathbf{a}))\cong \hom_{\Bbbk(\mathfrak{S}_a\times\mathfrak{S}_n)^{\mathrm{op}}}(\Bbbk\mathfrak{S}_b,M(\mathbf{a})\boxtimes\mathsf{triv}_n)).$$

Since, for finite groups, induction is isomorphic to coinduction, this provides the natural isomorphism:

$$\hom_{\Bbbk\mathfrak{S}_a^{\mathrm{op}}}(\Bbbk\mathbf{FI}(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}),M(\mathbf{a}))\cong \big(M(\mathbf{a})\boxtimes \mathsf{triv}_n\big)\otimes_{\Bbbk(\mathfrak{S}_a\times\mathfrak{S}_n)} \Bbbk\mathfrak{S}_b.$$

The right hand side is naturally isomorphic to $(M \odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{op}} \mathsf{triv}_n)(\mathbf{b})$, as required.

Remark 3.12. Suppose that M is a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module. Fixing the difference of the cardinalities |Y| - |X| = n, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the structure maps (6) assemble to define a natural transformation of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules

$$M \to \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\operatorname{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[n]}, M)$$
 (7)

using the restricted kFB^{op} -module structure of M in the codomain.

By Lemma 3.11, this morphism can be rewritten as the morphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules $M \to M \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}$ triv_n. Since triv $\cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathsf{triv}_n$ as $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules, these assemble to the structure morphism

$$M \to M \odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathsf{triv}.$$

This is the structure morphism of the triv-comodule structure of Remark 3.2. The coassociativity and counit properties of this follow from the associativity and unit properties of the kFI^{op} -module structure. This leads to the equivalence of Remark 3.2.

If M is a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module, then, by Lemma 3.4, for $t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{-1\}$, the underlying $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module of M^t is the kernel of the structure map (7) with n = t + 1:

$$M \to \hom_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[t+1]}, M).$$

Then Proposition 3.5 refines to:

Proposition 3.13. For M a kFI^{op} -module and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the structure map (7) restricts for $t \in \mathbb{N}$ to the natural map

$$M^t \to \hom_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[n]}, M^{t-n}),$$

where M^{t-n} is taken to be zero if t-n < 0.

In particular, this yields the natural injection of kFB^{op} -modules:

$$M^n/M^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\operatorname{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[n]}, M^0) \cong M^0 \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\operatorname{op}}} \operatorname{triv}_n.$$
 (8)

Proof. The first statement is proved by refining the proof of Proposition 3.5, taking into account the cardinalities.

Since M^{n-1} is the kernel of $M \to \hom_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{op}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[n]}, M)$, the transformation (7) factors across the injection

$$M/M^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \hom_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[n]}, M).$$

Upon restriction to M^n/M^{n-1} this yields the required injection; this takes values in $\lim_{\mathbf{k} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{B}^{\text{op}}} (\mathbf{k} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{I}^{[n]}, M^0)$, by the first statement.

Naturality with respect to M is clear.

3.3 Filtering kFS

By Proposition 2.2, for a fixed finite set X, $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)$ has a $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X)\otimes\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module structure. Restricting along $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI} \subset \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}$, it follows that $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)$ is a $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X)\otimes\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module. The structure maps of this module, in the sense of the previous subsection, are given by Proposition 2.6; these are used in defining the filtration in Corollary 3.14 below.

Proposition 3.5 together with Proposition 3.13 yield:

Corollary 3.14. For a finite set X, the filtration of Proposition 3.5 gives an increasing, exhaustive filtration by sub $\mathbb{k}\mathrm{Aut}(X)\otimes \mathbb{k}\mathrm{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules:

$$0 = \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)^{-1} \subseteq \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)^{0} \subseteq \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)^{1} \subseteq \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)^{2} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X).$$

Moreover, the kFI^{op}-module structure induces an injection of

$$\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)^t/\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)^{t-1} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}^{\operatorname{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{[t]}(-,-),\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)^0)$$

$$\cong \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)^0 \odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{\operatorname{op}}} \operatorname{triv}_t.$$

We introduce the following notation (which is extended and refined in Notation 4.1):

Notation 3.15. Write $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0$ for $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,-)^0$, so that $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0(X,Y) = \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,Y)^0(X)$ for finite sets X,Y.

Remark 3.16. The definition of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0$ can be made explicit as follows. For $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{0}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) := \ker \left(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) \to \bigoplus_{\substack{X \subset \mathbf{b} \\ |X| = b - 1}} \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}(X, \mathbf{a})\right),\tag{9}$$

where the map is defined by the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{op}$ -module structure of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,\mathbf{a})$.

This coincides with $H^0(\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}))$ that is studied in [7, Section 6], where $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ is defined in terms of the Koszul complex associated to the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-, \mathbf{a})$.

Forgetting structure, kFS can be considered as a kFB-bimodule and kFS^0 is a sub kFB-bimodule. The following is immediate:

Lemma 3.17. The inclusion $\mathbb{k}FB \hookrightarrow \mathbb{k}FS$ factorizes across $\mathbb{k}FS^0$ to give the inclusion $\mathbb{k}FB \hookrightarrow \mathbb{k}FS^0$ of $\mathbb{k}FB$ -bimodules. This is an isomorphism when evaluated on (\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) , for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 3.18. The structure of the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{op}$ -bimodule $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{0}$ is much richer than that of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}$: the above inclusion is far from being a bijection, as is shown by the results of [7, Section 6] (and can also be deduced from Theorem 5.7 below).

As stated in Remark 3.16, $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ identifies with $H^0(\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}))$ of [7, Section 6]. The latter is shown to be highly non-trivial by [7, Proposition 6.18], which gives a recursive expression for the dimension of this vector space. Moreover, for positive integers b > a, [7, Theorem 6.20] identifies the isomorphism class of this $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{S}_b^{\text{op}} \times \mathfrak{S}_a)$ -module.

Example 3.19. The following results are contained in [7, Section 6].

- 1. For a = 1, $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{1})$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{k} for b = 1 and is zero for b > 1.
- 2. For a=2, $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{2})$ is isomorphic (as a $\mathbb{k}(\mathfrak{S}_b^{\mathrm{op}}\times\mathfrak{S}_2)$ -module) to $\mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_2$ (with the regular bimodule structure) for b=2 and, for b>2, to $\mathsf{triv}_b\boxtimes\mathsf{sgn}_2$ if b is odd and $\mathsf{triv}_b\boxtimes\mathsf{triv}_2$ if b is even.

This can be seen as follows. If $b \geq 2$, a surjection $f : \mathbf{b} \to \mathbf{2}$ is uniquely determined by the fibre $f^{-1}(1)$, which must be a subset $\emptyset \subsetneq U \subsetneq \mathbf{b}$; write f_U for the corresponding surjection. Then, for b > 2, $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{2})$ is one-dimensional, and a generator is given by

$$\sum_{\emptyset \subset U \subset \mathbf{b}} (-1)^{|U|} [f_U].$$

Indeed, it is straightforward to show that the vector space is at most one dimensional and that the exhibited element lies in the kernel of (9). The identification of the representation also follows from this.

The following result is the key input to Corollary 3.21:

Proposition 3.20. For finite sets X, Y, composition in $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}$ induces a morphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules:

$$kFS^{0}(X,Y) \otimes kFS(-,X) \rightarrow kFS(-,Y).$$

For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, this restricts to a morphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules:

$$kFS^{0}(X,Y) \otimes kFS(-,X)^{\ell} \to kFS(-,Y)^{\ell}.$$

Proof. Recall that the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module structure on $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)$ (respectively with Y in place for X) is the restriction of the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module structure provided by Proposition 2.2, so that the quotient map $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}(-,X) \to \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)$ is a morphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules and hence, by restriction, of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules (resp. for Y).

Now, using the inclusions $kFS \hookrightarrow kFA$ and $kFI \hookrightarrow kFA$ of wide k-linear subcategories, for any finite sets A, B, associativity of composition in kFA yields the following commutative diagram:

$$\Bbbk\mathbf{FS}(X,Y)\otimes \Bbbk\mathbf{FS}(B,X)\otimes \Bbbk\mathbf{FI}(A,B) \longrightarrow \Bbbk\mathbf{FS}(B,Y)\otimes \Bbbk\mathbf{FI}(A,B)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\Bbbk\mathbf{FS}(X,Y)\otimes \Bbbk\mathbf{FA}(A,X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{\BbbkFA}(A,Y),$$

In which the maps are given by the appropriate compositions, passing to the larger category $k\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}$ where required.

The subtlety comes from the fact that the 'action' of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(X,Y)$ is not in general compatible with the projections $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}(-,X) \to \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,X)$ and $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}(-,Y) \to \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,Y)$. Namely, in general, the following diagram does not commute:

$$\Bbbk \mathbf{FS}(X,Y) \otimes \Bbbk \mathbf{FA}(A,X) \longrightarrow \Bbbk \mathbf{FA}(A,Y)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\Bbbk \mathbf{FS}(X,Y) \otimes \Bbbk \mathbf{FS}(A,X) \longrightarrow \Bbbk \mathbf{FS}(A,Y),$$

since a non-surjective map $A \to X$ may become surjective after composing with a surjection $X \twoheadrightarrow Y$.

Restricting to $kFS^0(X,Y) \subset kFS(X,Y)$ resolves this issue. Namely, we claim that the restricted diagram

$$\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^{0}(X,Y) \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}(A,X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}(A,Y)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^{0}(X,Y) \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(A,X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(A,Y)$$

always commutes.

This is seen as follows. Write $\overline{\mathbb{k}FI}$ for the kernel of the augmentation $\mathbb{k}FI \to \mathbb{k}FB$ that is induced by sending the non-bijective maps to zero. One checks that the map induced by composition in $\mathbb{k}FA$

$$\overline{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}}(-,X)\otimes_{\mathbf{FB}} \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}(A,-) \to \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}(A,X)$$

surjects to the k-linear span of the non-surjective maps from A to X.

Now, for any finite set U, the map induced by composition in kFA

$$\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^{0}(X,Y)\otimes\overline{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}}(U,X)\to\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FA}(U,Y)$$

maps to the \mathbb{k} -linear span of the non-surjective maps from U to Y, since the composite with $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}(U,Y) \to \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}(U,Y)$ is zero, by definition of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0$. The claimed commutativity follows by putting these ingredients together, using associativity of composition in $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}$.

Thus, after restricting to kFS^0 , one can paste the two commutative diagrams together to obtain the commutative diagram

$$k\mathbf{FS}^{0}(X,Y) \otimes k\mathbf{FS}(B,X) \otimes k\mathbf{FI}(A,B) \longrightarrow k\mathbf{FS}(B,Y) \otimes k\mathbf{FI}(A,B)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$k\mathbf{FS}^{0}(X,Y) \otimes k\mathbf{FS}(A,X) \longrightarrow k\mathbf{FS}(A,Y),$$
(10)

in which the vertical maps are given by the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -structure maps and the horizontal maps correspond to the action of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^{0}(X,Y)$. This establishes the first statement.

The second statement then follows from the naturality of the filtration of kFI^{op} -modules, as stated in Proposition 3.5.

This gives the following fundamental properties of the filtration of morphisms of kFS, in particular, highlighting the structure of kFS^0 .

Corollary 3.21.

- 1. The k-linear category kFS⁰ is a wide k-linear subcategory of kFS that contains kFB as a wide k-linear subcategory:
- 2. $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}$ is a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0 \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module.

- 3. The filtration $kFS(-,-)^{\ell}$ is a filtration by $kFS^0 \otimes kFI^{op}$ -modules.
- 4. The induced inclusion

$$\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,-)^{\ell}/\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,-)^{\ell-1} \hookrightarrow \hom_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{[\ell]}(-,-),\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^{0})$$

is a morphism of $kFS^0 \otimes kFB^{op}$ -modules.

Proof. The first point follows by taking $\ell = 0$ in the second statement of Proposition 3.20. Then, knowing that $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0$ is a \mathbb{k} -linear subcategory of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}$, the commutative diagram (10) implies that $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}$ is a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0 \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module, using associativity of composition.

The remaining points follow from the naturality of the filtration of Proposition 3.5. \Box

4 The subquotients of the filtration of kFS

The purpose of this section is to identify the subquotients $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,-)^{\ell}/\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,-)^{\ell-1}$ of the filtration introduced in Section 3 as $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0 \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules.

The main result is Theorem 4.4, which determines these subquotients in terms of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0$. This then leads to Corollary 4.6, which gives the explicit relationship between $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0$ and $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}$ that is exploited in Section 5 to calculate the underlying $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}$ -bimodule of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0$.

4.1 Preliminaries

To simplify the notation, we introduce the following.

Notation 4.1. For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by

- 1. $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{\ell}$ the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{0} \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}(-,-)^{\ell}$ (by convention, set $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{-1} := 0$);
- 2. $kFS^{\ell/(\ell-1)}$ the quotient $kFS^{\ell}/kFS^{\ell-1}$;
- 3. θ^{ℓ} the morphism $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{\ell/(\ell-1)} \hookrightarrow \hom_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[\ell]}, \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{0})$ of Corollary 3.21.

For $\ell, b \in \mathbb{N}$, from the definition of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{[\ell]}$, one has that $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}^{[\ell]}(-,\mathbf{b})$ is zero if $\ell > b$ and otherwise is supported on \mathbf{c} , where $c = b - \ell$. Thus, evaluating θ^{ℓ} on (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) , for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, gives

$$\Bbbk \mathbf{FS}^{\ell/(\ell-1)}(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a}) \hookrightarrow \hom_{\Bbbk\mathfrak{S}_c^{\mathrm{op}}}(\Bbbk \mathbf{FI}^{[\ell]}(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{b}), \Bbbk \mathbf{FS}^0(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{a}))$$

where $c = b - \ell$.

We first check the behaviour of the domain and codomain of $\theta^{\ell}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ for $\ell \geq b - a$:

Lemma 4.2. For $\ell, a \leq b \in \mathbb{N}$,

1. if $\ell = b - a$, then, as $\mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_a \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_b^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules,

$$\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^{b-a}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) = \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$$

and

$$\hom_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[b-a]},\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{0})(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a})\cong \hom_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}),\mathbb{k}).$$

2. if $\ell > b - a$, then $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{\ell/(\ell-1)}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ and $\hom_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[\ell]}, \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{0})(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ are both zero.

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{a})$ vanishes if n < a. This implies the vanishing of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^{\ell}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{a})$, $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^{\ell/(\ell-1)}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{a})$ and of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^{0}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{a})$ for any n.

The equality $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{b-a}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) = \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ follows directly from these observations (compare Lemma 3.4 (1)). For the second isomorphism, using the identification of the support of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[b-a]}(-, \mathbf{b})$ given before the statement of the Lemma, we have

$$\hom_{\Bbbk\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\Bbbk\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[b-a]}, \Bbbk\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{0})(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) \cong \hom_{\Bbbk\mathfrak{S}_{a}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\Bbbk\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), \Bbbk\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{0}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}))$$

and $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}) = \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}) \cong \mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_a$, by Lemma 3.17. The isomorphism in the statement then follows, as in Corollary 2.4 (see the argument given in the proof of that result).

The equality $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{b-a}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) = \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ implies that $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{\ell/(\ell-1)}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) = 0$ if $\ell > b - a$. Finally, $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[\ell]}, \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{0})(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$ identifies as $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_{c}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[\ell]}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b}), \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{0}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}))$ with $c = b - \ell$. If $\ell > b - a$, then c < a, hence $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{0}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}) = 0$, which gives

$$hom_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{op}}(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[\ell]}, \mathbf{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{0})(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) = 0,$$

as required.

For $a \leq b \in \mathbb{N}$, taking $\ell = b - a$, we may therefore form the composite

$$kFS(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) = kFS^{b-a}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) \rightarrow kFS^{(b-a)/(b-a-1)}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) \xrightarrow{\theta^{b-a}} hom_k(kFI(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), k),$$
(11)

using the identification from Lemma 4.2 for the codomain.

Proposition 4.3. The composite (11) identifies with the natural transformation

$$\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}: \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(-,\mathbf{a}) \to D\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}(\mathbf{a},-)$$

of Corollary 2.4 evaluated on **b**.

Proof. This is essentially tautological: both maps are defined by the same construction. \Box

4.2 The main result

Recall that θ^{ℓ} is the morphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^{0} \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules

$$\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{\ell/(\ell-1)} \hookrightarrow \hom_{\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{[\ell]}, \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{0}).$$

Using Lemma 3.11, the codomain identifies as $\mathbb{k}FS^0 \odot_{FB^{op}} \mathsf{triv}_{\ell}$. This has the structure of a $\mathbb{k}FS^0 \otimes \mathbb{k}FB^{op}$ -module: evaluating on \mathbf{a} (considered as an object of $\mathbb{k}FS^0$) gives the $\mathbb{k}FB^{op}$ -module $\mathbb{k}FS^0(-,\mathbf{a}) \odot_{FB^{op}} \mathsf{triv}_{\ell}$; a morphism of $\mathbb{k}FS^0(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{a}')$ induces a morphism of $\mathbb{k}FB^{op}$ -modules

$$\Bbbk FS^0(-,a) \odot_{FB^{op}} \mathsf{triv}_\ell \to \Bbbk FS^0(-,a') \odot_{FB^{op}} \mathsf{triv}_\ell$$

by naturality of the convolution product.

Using this structure, θ^{ℓ} identifies as a monomorphism of $kFS^0 \otimes kFB^{op}$ -modules

$$\theta^{\ell}: \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{\ell/(\ell-1)} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0 \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathsf{triv}_{\ell}.$$

Theorem 4.4. For $\ell > 0$, there is a short exact sequence of $kFS^0 \otimes kFB^{op}$ -modules

$$0 \to \Bbbk \mathbf{F} \mathbf{S}^{\ell/(\ell-1)} \xrightarrow{\theta^{\ell}} \Bbbk \mathbf{F} \mathbf{S}^{0} \odot_{\mathbf{F} \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathsf{triv}_{\ell} \to \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{N}} \mathsf{sgn}_{a} \boxtimes S_{(\ell,1^{a})} \to 0,$$

where the cokernel is a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0 \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module by restriction of its $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module structure using the augmentation $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0 \subset \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}$.

Proof. To identify the underlying $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}$ -bimodule of the cokernel of θ^{ℓ} , it suffices to consider behaviour when evaluated on $\mathbf{b} \in \mathrm{Ob} \, \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$, for each $b \in \mathbb{N}$.

For fixed b, one has the morphism $\theta^{\ell}(\mathbf{b}, -)$ of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0 \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_{h}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules

$$\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^{\ell/(\ell-1)}(\mathbf{b},-) \to (\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0 \odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathsf{triv}_{\ell})(\mathbf{b},-).$$

Evaluated on $\mathbf{d} \in \text{Ob } \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0$, by Lemma 4.2, for $d > b - \ell$, both terms are zero and this is an isomorphism. Thus, there can only be a non-zero contribution to the cokernel if $b - \ell \geq 0$, which we suppose henceforth.

Set $a:=b-\ell$. Evaluated on (\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a}) , by Proposition 4.3 the cokernel of $\theta^{\ell}(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a})$ is isomorphic to the cokernel of $\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{b})$. By Theorem 2.8 together with Lemma 2.7, this is isomorphic to $\operatorname{sgn}_a \boxtimes S_{(\ell,1^a)}$ as a $\mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_a \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_b^{\operatorname{op}}$ -module. As b varies, this will account for the entire cokernel of θ^{ℓ} , as shown below.

Namely, to conclude it suffices to show that $\theta^{\ell}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d})$ is an isomorphism if $d < b - \ell$ (i.e., d < a for a as given). This is proved by using that θ^{ℓ} is a morphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^{0}$ -modules, as explained below.

One has the isomorphism of kFS^0 -modules

$$(\Bbbk FS^0 \odot_{FB^{op}} \operatorname{triv}_{\ell})(\mathbf{b}, -) \cong \Bbbk FS^0(\mathbf{a}, -) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_a} D \& FI(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}).$$

The right hand side (and hence the left) is clearly generated as a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0$ -module by its values on \mathbf{a} .

Since \mathbb{k} has characteristic zero, the inclusion $\operatorname{Image}(\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{b})) \hookrightarrow D\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FI}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ induces the inclusion of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0 \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_b^{\operatorname{op}}$ -modules:

$$kFS^{0}(\mathbf{a}, -) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_{a}} Image(\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{b})) \hookrightarrow kFS^{0}(\mathbf{a}, -) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_{a}} DkFI(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}).$$
 (12)

Since θ^{ℓ} is a morphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0$ -modules, it follows that $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0(\mathbf{a}, -) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_a} \operatorname{Image}(\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{b}))$ lies in the image of $\theta^{\ell}(\mathbf{b}, -)$, since this is true when evaluated on \mathbf{a} . Thus, it suffices to show that (12) is an isomorphism when evaluated on \mathbf{d} for d < a.

By Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 2.7 (as above), the morphism (12) has cokernel

$$\Bbbk \mathbf{FS}^0(\mathbf{a},-) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_a} (\mathsf{sgn}_a \boxtimes S_{(\ell,1^a)}) \cong (\Bbbk \mathbf{FS}^0(\mathbf{a},-) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_a} \mathsf{sgn}_a) \boxtimes S_{(\ell,1^a)}$$

considered as a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0 \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_b^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module.

Now, $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}$ induces $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0(\mathbf{a}, -) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_a} \operatorname{sgn}_a \hookrightarrow \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(\mathbf{a}, -) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_a} \operatorname{sgn}_a$. A standard and straightforward calculation shows that, for d < a, one has $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{d}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_a} \operatorname{sgn}_a = 0$.

This implies that the cokernel of (12) is zero when evaluated on \mathbf{d} for d < a, hence (12) is an isomorphism for such d, as required.

The above shows that the underlying $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}$ -bimodule of the cokernel is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{a\in\mathbb{N}}\operatorname{sgn}_a\boxtimes S_{(\ell,1^a)}$, which arises as $\bigoplus_{a\in\mathbb{N}}\operatorname{coker}\Theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{b})$, where $b=a+\ell$. It remains to shows that the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0$ -module structure arises from the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}$ -module

It remains to shows that the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0$ -module structure arises from the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}$ -module structure by restriction along the augmentation $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0 \to \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}$; equivalently, that the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0$ acts trivially.

For this, consider the contribution from $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and the action of a morphism of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{d})$ for d < a. Take $b := a + \ell$; naturality of $\theta^{\ell}(\mathbf{b},-)$ gives the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{split} \Bbbk \mathbf{F} \mathbf{S}^{\ell/(\ell-1)}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) & \xrightarrow{\quad \theta^{\ell}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) \quad} (\Bbbk \mathbf{F} \mathbf{S}^{0} \odot_{\mathbf{F} \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathsf{triv}_{\ell})(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \Bbbk \mathbf{F} \mathbf{S}^{\ell/(\ell-1)}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}) \xrightarrow{\quad \theta^{\ell}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}) \quad} (\Bbbk \mathbf{F} \mathbf{S}^{0} \odot_{\mathbf{F} \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathsf{triv}_{\ell})(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}), \end{split}$$

where the vertical maps are given by the kFS^0 -naturality. As established above, the lower horizontal map is an isomorphism. Hence the induced map on the cokernel is zero. This shows that the augmentation ideal acts trivially, as required.

Remark 4.5. The case $\ell = 0$ corresponds to the isomorphism $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0 \cong \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0 \odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{op}} \mathsf{triv}_0$. It has not been included in the statement of Theorem 4.4 to avoid complicating the proof. To treat the case $\ell = 0$ requires modifying the argument to include the case b = a, for which $\Lambda^a(\overline{P})(\mathbf{b}) = 0$ by Lemma 2.7.

If one forgets structure, by restricting from $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0 \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules to $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}$ -bimodules, one has the isomorphism $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S} \cong \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{\ell/(\ell-1)}$. Recall that triv is the constant $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module \mathbb{k} , which identifies as $\bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{triv}_{\ell}$. Using this, one has the isomorphism

$$\Bbbk FS^0 \odot_{FB^{op}} \mathsf{triv} \cong \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \Bbbk FS^0 \odot_{FB^{op}} \mathsf{triv}_{\ell}.$$

Theorem 4.4 thus has the following immediate consequence, showing how kFS is built from kFS^0 as a kFB-bimodule (aka. $kFB \otimes kFB^{op}$ -module):

Corollary 4.6. There is an isomorphism of $kFB \otimes kFB^{op}$ -modules

$$\Bbbk\mathbf{FS}^0\odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}}\mathsf{triv}\cong \Bbbk\mathbf{FS}\oplus\bigoplus_{\substack{a\in\mathbb{N}\\0<\ell\in\mathbb{N}}}\left(\mathsf{sgn}_a\boxtimes S_{(\ell,1^a)}\right).$$

5 Calculating kFS^0 as a kFB-bimodule

In this section, we use Corollary 4.6 to calculate the underlying $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}$ -bimodule of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0$ in terms of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}$ (see Theorem 5.7). This then feeds back into Theorem 4.4 so as to yield Corollary 5.8, which determines the underlying $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}$ -bimodule of all the subquotients of the filtration of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}$.

Remark 5.1. Theorem 5.7 recovers [7, Theorem 3], which was established by using different methods. Some explanation is necessary: by [7, Corollary 5.2 and Theorem 5.4], the result [7, Theorem 3] corresponds to the calculation of $H^0(\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}))$, which identifies with $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FS}^0(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$, as observed in Remark 3.16.

The approach in [7] relies on calculating the cohomology of the complex $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$; this complex is constructed using the $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module structure of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}(-,\mathbf{a})$. The positive degree cohomology of $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a})$ is sufficiently sparse and calculable that an Euler-Poincaré characteristic argument allows $H^0(\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a}))$ to be calculated. Most of this argument can be carried out working over an arbitrary commutative ring.

5.1 Recovering information from $M \odot_{FB^{op}}$ triv

The proof of Theorem 5.7 is based on the fact that one can recover the isomorphism class of a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module M from $M \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}$ triv, as stated explicitly in Proposition 5.5. (This technique is also used in [5] (see [5, Section 8]); the details are outlined below so as to keep the exposition self-contained.)

We work with isomorphism classes of objects and with virtual objects; to do so, we pass to the Grothendieck group of kFB^{op} -modules.

Remark 5.2. We only work with kFB^{op} -modules that take finite-dimensional values. Thus, after evaluation on some finite set, all calculations reduce to studying finite objects. For simplicity of exposition, such details have been suppressed.

Notation 5.3.

- 1. For a $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module M, its class in the Grothendieck group is denoted [M].
- 2. For $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\text{op}}$ -modules M, N, write $[M] \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\text{op}}} [N]$ for the element of the Grothendieck group $[M \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\text{op}}} N]$; this only depends on the classes [M], [N].

The following observation ensures that the infinite sum appearing in Proposition 5.5 only has finitely-many non-zero terms when evaluated on any **n**, hence is well-defined.

Lemma 5.4. For N a $k\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -module and $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $(N \odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathsf{sgn}_t)(\mathbf{n}) = 0$ for t > n.

The following result shows how to recover [M] from $[M \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{op}} \mathsf{triv}]$:

Proposition 5.5. For M a kFB^{op} -module, one has the equality:

$$[M] = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^t [M \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathsf{triv}] \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}} [\mathsf{sgn}_t] = [M \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathsf{triv}] \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}} \Big(\sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^t [\mathsf{sgn}_t] \Big).$$

Proof. By associativity of $\odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{op}}$, one reduces to the case $M = \mathbb{k}$ supported on $\mathbf{0}$.

The equality $[k] = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^t [\mathsf{triv}] \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{op}} [\mathsf{sgn}_t]$ is seen as follows. Evaluated on $\mathbf{0}$ one recovers [k] from the right hand side. Thus, to conclude, for any n > 0, we require to show that

$$\sum_{t=0}^{n} (-1)^{t} [\operatorname{triv}_{n-t}] \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}} [\operatorname{sgn}_{t}] = 0.$$
 (13)

This can be checked by using the Pieri formula to calculate the $\mathbb{k}\mathfrak{S}_n^{\text{op}}$ -representations $\mathsf{triv}_{n-t} \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\text{op}}} \mathsf{sgn}_t$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 2.7).

Remark 5.6. Proposition 5.5 can be paraphrased as stating that the functor $- \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}$ trivis invertible.

5.2 Recovering kFS^0

Proposition 5.5 applies using Corollary 4.6 to calculate the isomorphism class of the underlying kFB-bimodule of kFS^0 :

Theorem 5.7. In the Grothendieck group of $\mathbb{kFB} \otimes \mathbb{kFB}^{op}$ -modules, one has the equality

$$[\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0] + \sum_{b>a>0} (-1)^{b-a}[\operatorname{sgn}_a \boxtimes \operatorname{sgn}_b] = [\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}] \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\operatorname{op}}} \Big(\sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^t [\operatorname{sgn}_t] \Big). \tag{14}$$

Proof. By Corollary 4.6, one has the equality in the Grothendieck group of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}$ -bimodules:

$$[\Bbbk\mathbf{FS}^0\odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}}\mathsf{triv}] = [\Bbbk\mathbf{FS}] + \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 < \ell \in \mathbb{N}}} [\mathsf{sgn}_a \boxtimes S_{(\ell,1^a)}].$$

Since $\bigcirc_{\mathbf{FB}^{op}}$ is symmetric, Proposition 5.5 gives the equality

$$[\Bbbk\mathbf{FS}]\odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}}\bigg(\sum_{t\in\mathbb{N}}(-1)^t[\mathsf{sgn}_t]\bigg)\odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}}[\mathsf{triv}]=[\Bbbk\mathbf{FS}].$$

To conclude, we require to show that

$$\sum_{\substack{a\in\mathbb{N}\\0<\ell\in\mathbb{N}}}[\operatorname{sgn}_a\boxtimes S_{(\ell,1^a)}]+\sum_{b>a\geq 0}(-1)^{b-a}[\operatorname{sgn}_a\boxtimes\operatorname{sgn}_b]\odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{\operatorname{op}}}[\operatorname{triv}]=0.$$

Equivalently, for each $\ell > 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}$, we require to show that

$$\mathcal{V}(\ell,a) := [S_{(\ell,1^a)}] + \sum_{b>a} (-1)^{b-a} [\operatorname{sgn}_b \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\operatorname{op}}} \operatorname{triv}_{\ell+a-b}],$$

is zero, since we can neglect the common factor of $\operatorname{sgn}_a \boxtimes -$.

This is proved by increasing induction upon $a \geq 0$, starting from the case a = 0, for which $\mathcal{V}(\ell,0) = 0$ by (13). One has the identity $\operatorname{sgn}_{a+1} \odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}} \operatorname{triv}_{\ell-1} \cong S_{(\ell,1^a)} \oplus S_{(\ell-1,1^{a+1})}$ (where, for $\ell = 1$, the second term is understood to be zero) by Pieri's formula (cf. the proof of Lemma 2.7), which gives the equality $\mathcal{V}(\ell,a) = -\mathcal{V}(\ell,a+1)$. It follows inductively that $\mathcal{V}(\ell,a)$ vanishes for all a.

5.3 Identifying the higher subquotients of the filtration of kFS

Theorem 4.4 yields the equality in the Grothendieck group of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB} \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -modules for $\ell > 0$

$$[\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{\ell/(\ell-1)}] = [\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^0] \odot_{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{op}}} [\mathsf{triv}_\ell] - \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}} [\mathsf{sgn}_a \boxtimes S_{(\ell,1^a)}]. \tag{15}$$

Hence, using Theorem 5.7, one can identify $[\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}^{\ell/(\ell-1)}]$ in terms of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{S}$:

Corollary 5.8. For $0 < \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, in the Grothendieck group of $\mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB} \otimes \mathbb{k}\mathbf{FB}^{op}$ -modules, there is an equality

$$\begin{split} \big[\Bbbk \mathbf{F} \mathbf{S}^{\ell/(\ell-1)} \big] &= \big[\Bbbk \mathbf{F} \mathbf{S} \big] \odot \Big(\sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^t [\mathsf{triv}_{\ell} \odot \mathsf{sgn}_t] \Big) - \sum_{b > a \geq 0} (-1)^{b-a} [\mathsf{sgn}_a \boxtimes (\mathsf{sgn}_b \odot \mathsf{triv}_{\ell})] \\ &- \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}} [\mathsf{sgn}_a \boxtimes S_{(\ell,1^a)}], \end{split}$$

in which \odot denotes $\odot_{\mathbf{FB}^{\mathrm{op}}}$.

Acknowledgement

This project was inspired in part by joint work with Christine Vespa; the author is grateful for her ongoing interest. The author also thanks Vladimir Dotsenko for his invitation to Strasbourg in January 2024 (financed by his *IUF*) and for discussions related to this project. The author is also very grateful to two anonymous referees for their constructive comments.

References

- [1] B. Feigin and B. Shoikhet. On [A, A]/[A, [A, A]] and on a W_n -action on the consecutive commutators of free associative algebras. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 14(5):781–795, 2007.
- [2] W. Fulton and J. Harris. Representation theory, volume 129 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. A first course, Readings in Mathematics.
- [3] T. Pirashvili. Hodge decomposition for higher order Hochschild homology. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 33(2):151–179, 2000.
- [4] L. Positselski. Relative nonhomogeneous Koszul duality. Frontiers in Mathematics. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, [2021] ©2021.
- [5] G. Powell. Functors on the category of finite sets revisited. arXiv:2407.11623, July 2024. Accepted, Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal.
- [6] G. Powell. Relative nonhomogeneous Koszul duality for PROPs associated to nonaugmented operads. arXiv:2406.08132, June 2024.

Geoffrey Powell

- [7] G. Powell and C. Vespa. A Pirashvili-type theorem for functors on non-empty finite sets. Glasg. Math. J., 65(1):1–61, 2023.
- [8] G. Powell and C. Vespa. Higher Hochschild homology and exponential functors. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 153(1):1–141, 2025.
- [9] S. V. Sam and A. Snowden. GL-equivariant modules over polynomial rings in infinitely many variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 368(2):1097–1158, 2016.
- [10] S. V. Sam and A. Snowden. Gröbner methods for representations of combinatorial categories. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 30(1):159–203, 2017.
- [11] S. V. Sam, A. Snowden, and P. Tosteson. Polynomial representations of the Witt Lie algebra. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (16):11688–11710, 2024.
- [12] P. Tosteson. Categorifications of rational Hilbert series and characters of FS^{op} modules. Algebra Number Theory, 16(10):2433–2491, 2022.
- [13] V. Turchin and T. Willwacher. Hochschild-Pirashvili homology on suspensions and representations of $Out(F_n)$. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 52(3):761–795, 2019.
- [14] J. D. Wiltshire-Gordon. Uniformly Presented Vector Spaces. arXiv:1406.0786, June 2014.

Received: July 17, 2025

Accepted for publication: November 13, 2025

Communicated by: Roozbeh Hazrat