

## On existence of normal $p$ -complement of finite groups with restrictions on the conjugacy class sizes

Ilya B. Gorshkov

**Abstract.** The greatest power of a prime  $p$  dividing the natural number  $n$  will be denoted by  $n_p$ . Let  $Ind_G(g) = |G : C_G(g)|$ . Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group and  $p$  is a prime. We prove that if there exists an integer  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $Ind_G(a)_p \in \{1, p^\alpha\}$  for every  $a$  of  $G$  and a  $p$ -element  $x \in G$  such that  $Ind_G(x)_p > 1$ , then  $G$  includes a normal  $p$ -complement.

### 1 Introduction

In this paper, all groups are finite. Denote the set of prime divisors of positive integer  $n$  by  $\pi(n)$ , and by the set  $\pi(|G|)$  for a group  $G$  by  $\pi(G)$ . For a set of primes  $\pi$  and a positive integer  $n$  we will denote  $n_\pi = \prod_{p \in \pi} n_p$ . Let  $G$  be a group and take  $a \in G$ . With  $a^G$  standing for the conjugacy class in  $G$  containing  $a$ , put  $N(G) = \{|x^G|, x \in G\} \setminus \{1\}$ . Denote by  $|G|_p$  the number  $p^n$  such that  $N(G)$  contains a multiple of  $p^n$  and avoids multiples of  $p^{n+1}$ . For  $\pi \subseteq \pi(G)$  put  $|G|_\pi = \prod_{p \in \pi} |G|_p$ . For brevity,  $|G|$  is meaning  $|G|_{\pi(G)}$ . Observe that  $|G|_p$  divides  $|G|_q$  for each  $p \in \pi(G)$ . However,  $|G|_\pi$  can be less than  $|G|_p$ . Take a set of primes  $\pi$ , denote  $\Theta_\pi = \{\tau \subseteq \pi \mid \tau \neq \emptyset, |\tau| \geq |\pi| - 1\}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\pi$  be a set of primes. We say that a group  $G$  satisfies the condition  $\pi^*$  or  $G$  is a  $\pi^*$ -group and write  $G \in \pi^*$  if for every  $a \in N(G)$  there exists  $\tau_a \in \Theta_\pi$  such that  $a_{\tau_a} = |G|_{\tau_a}$ .

Ishikawa [8] proved that a group  $G$  with  $N(G) = \{p^\alpha\}$  is nilpotent class at most 3. Casolo, Dolfi and Jabara [2] described the set of  $\{p\}^*$ -groups. In particular, they proved that any group of  $\{p\}^*$  is solvable and includes a normal  $p$ -complement. Camina [2.10] proved that a group  $G$  with  $\{p, q\}^*$ -property is nilpotent if  $N(G) = \{p^n, q^m, p^n q^m\}$ . Beltram and Filipe [1] extended Camina's theorem in the following way. Let  $G$  be a group whose set of conjugacy class sizes is  $\{1, n, m, nm\}$ , where  $n$  and  $m$  are coprime positive integers; then  $G$  is nilpotent and the integers  $n$  and  $m$  are prime-power numbers; in particular  $G \in \{n, m\}^*$ . The author of [7] investigate  $\{p, q\}^*$ -groups with trivial center.

In the present paper we will investigate some generalisations of the property  $\{p\}^*$ .

**Definition 1.2.** We say that a group  $G$  satisfies the condition  $R(p)$  or  $G$  is a  $R(p)$ -group and write  $G \in R(p)$  if there exists an integer  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $a_p \in \{1, p^\alpha\}$  for each  $a \in N(G)$ .

Note that, if  $G \in \pi^*$ , then  $G \in R(p)$  for each  $p \in \pi$ . The set of  $R(p)$ -group disjoins on two subsets  $R(p)^*$  and  $R(p)^{**}$ :

- (i)  $G \in R(p)^*$  if  $G$  contains a  $p$ -element  $h$  such that  $Ind_G(h)_p > 1$ ;

MSC 2020: 20DXX, 20E45

Keywords: finite group, conjugacy classes, normal  $p$ -complement

The work is supported by Russian Science Foundation (project 18-71-10007).

Affiliation:

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics SB RAS Novosibirsk, Russia Siberian Federal University, Krasnoyarsk, Russia

E-mail: [ilygor8@gmail.com](mailto:ilygor8@gmail.com)

(ii)  $G \in R(p)^{**}$  if  $\text{Ind}_G(h)_p = 1$  for each  $p$ -element  $h \in G$ .

We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** *If  $G \in R(p)^*$ , then  $G$  has a normal  $p$ -complement.*

It follows from the theorem that the center of a  $R(p)^*$ -group is not trivial.

**Corollary 1.4.** *If  $G \in R(p)^*$  and  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ , then  $Z(P) \leq Z(G)$ .*

Vasil'ev [15] proved that if  $G$  is a  $R(p)$ -group with trivial center and  $|G|_p = p$ , then Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  are abelian. This assertion is true in the general case.

**Corollary 1.5.** *If  $G \in R(p)$  and  $Z(G) = 1$ , then Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  are abelian.*

## 2 Preliminary results

**Lemma 2.1** ([5, Lemma 1.4]). *For a finite group  $G$ , take  $K \trianglelefteq G$  and put  $\bar{G} = G/K$ . Take  $x \in G$  and  $\bar{x} = xK \in G/K$ . The following claims hold:*

- (i)  $|x^K|$  and  $|\bar{x}^{\bar{G}}|$  divide  $|x^G|$ .
- (ii) For neighboring members  $L$  and  $M$  of a composition series of  $G$ , with  $L < M$ , take  $x \in M$  and the image  $\tilde{x} = xL$  of  $x$ . Then  $|\tilde{x}^S|$  divides  $|x^G|$ , where  $S = M/L$ .
- (iii) If  $y \in G$  with  $xy = yx$  and  $(|x|, |y|) = 1$ , then  $C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)$ .
- (iv) If  $(|x|, |K|) = 1$ , then  $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}) = C_G(x)K/K$ .
- (v)  $\overline{C_G(x)} \leq C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x})$ .

**Lemma 2.2** ([2, Lemma 2.1]). *Let  $x, y$  be elements of a group  $G$  and assume at least one of the following conditions:*

- (i)  $x$  and  $y$  commute and have coprime orders;
- (ii)  $x \in N, y \in M$  with  $N, M \trianglelefteq G$  and  $N \cap M = 1$ .

Then  $C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)$ .

**Lemma 2.3** ([2, Lemma 2.7]). *Let  $A$  be a group acting via automorphisms on a group  $G$  and  $N$  be a normal  $A$ -invariant subgroup of  $G$ . If  $(|A|, |N|) = 1$ , then:*

- (i)  $C_{G/N}(A) = C_G(A)N/N$ ;
- (ii)  $|C_G(A)| = |C_N(A)||C_{G/N}(A)|$ .

**Lemma 2.4** ([5, Lemma 4]). *Take  $g \in G$ . If each conjugacy class of  $G$  contains an element  $h$  such that  $g \in C_G(h)$ , then  $g \in Z(G)$ .*

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $G \in R(p)$  and  $N \trianglelefteq G$  such that  $|N|_p = |G|_p$ , then  $N \in R(p)$  or  $|N|_p = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $N$  is a normal subgroup and  $N$  includes every Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , we have  $N$  includes every Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $C_G(x)$  for any  $x \in G$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}_G(x)_p = \text{Ind}_N(x)_p$  and the lemma is proved. ■

**Lemma 2.6.** *If  $G \in R(p)$ ,  $N \trianglelefteq G$  is a  $p'$ -group, then  $G/N \in R(p)$  or  $|G/N|_p = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{\cdot} : G \rightarrow G/N$  be a natural homomorphism. We have  $\text{Ind}_G(h)$  is a multiple of  $\text{Ind}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{h})$  for any  $h \in G$ . Therefore,  $|G|_p \geq |\bar{G}|_p$ . Assume that there exists  $\bar{x} \in \bar{G}$  such that  $1 < \text{Ind}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x})_p < |\bar{G}|_p$ . Let  $H$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x})$ . Therefore,  $|G|_p > |H| > |G|_p/|G|_p$ . Put  $T < G$  is a  $p$ -group such that  $\bar{T} = H$ . From Lemma 2.3 follows that  $C_G(T)$  contains  $y$  such that  $yN = \bar{x}$ . Since  $C_G(y) \geq T$ , we obtain  $\text{Ind}_G(y)_p \leq |G|_p/|T| < |G|_p$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ind}_G(y)_p = 1$  and consequently  $\text{Ind}_{\bar{G}}(yN)_p = 1 = \text{Ind}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x})_p$ ; a contradiction. ■

The prime graph  $GK(G)$  of a finite group  $G$  is defined as follows. The vertex set of  $GK(G)$  is the set  $\pi(G)$ . Two distinct primes  $p, q \in \pi(G)$  considered as vertices of the graph are adjacent by the edge if and only if there is an element of order  $pq$  in  $G$ . Denote by  $s(G)$  the number of connected components of  $GK(G)$  and by  $\pi_i(G), i = 1, \dots, s(G)$ , its  $i$ -th connected component. If  $G$  has even order, then put  $2 \in \pi_1(G)$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** [18, Theorem A] *If a finite group  $G$  has disconnected prime graph, then one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a)  $s(G) = 2$  and  $G$  is a Frobenius or 2-Frobenius group;
- (b) there is a nonabelian simple group  $S$  such that  $S \leq G = G/F(G) \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ , where  $F(G)$  is the maximal normal nilpotent subgroup of  $G$ ; moreover,  $F(G)$  and  $G/S$  are  $\pi_1(G)$ -subgroups,  $s(S) \geq s(G)$ , and for every  $i$  with  $2 \leq i \leq s(G)$  there is  $j$  with  $2 \leq j \leq s(S)$  such that  $\pi_i(G) = \pi_j(S)$ .

**Lemma 2.8** ([4, Lemma 5.3.4]). *Let  $A \times B$  be a group of automorphisms of the  $p$ -group  $P$  with  $A$  a  $p'$ -group and  $B$  a  $p$ -group. If  $A$  acts trivially on  $C_P(B)$ , then  $A = 1$ .*

**Lemma 2.9** ([4, Lemma 5.2.3]). *Let  $A$  be a  $p'$ -group of automorphisms of the abelian group  $P$ . Then we have  $P = C_P(A) \times [P, A]$*

**Lemma 2.10.** [2.10, Lemma 1] *If, for some prime  $p$ , every  $p'$ -element of a group  $G$  has index prime to  $p$ , then the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  is a direct factor of  $G$ .*

**Lemma 2.11.** [16, Lemma 3.6] *For distinct primes  $s$  and  $r$ , consider a semidirect product  $H$  of a normal  $r$ -subgroup  $T$  and a cyclic subgroup  $C = \langle g \rangle$  of order  $s$  with  $[T, g] \neq 1$ . Suppose that  $H$  acts faithfully on a vector space  $V$  of positive characteristic  $t$  not equal to  $r$ . If the minimal polynomial of  $g$  on  $V$  does not equal  $x^s - 1$ , then*

- (i)  $C_T(g) \neq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $T$  is nonabelian;
- (iii)  $r = 2$  and  $s$  is a Fermat prime.

**Lemma 2.12.** [6, Lemma 11] *If  $S \leq A \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ , where  $S$  is a nonabelian simple group, then  $|A| = |S|$ .*

**Lemma 2.13.** [11, Theorem B] *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $p$  a prime. Suppose that for every  $p$ -element  $x$  the number  $|x^G|$  is a  $p'$ -number. Then,*

$$O_{p'}(G/O_{p'}(G)) = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_r \times H,$$

where  $H$  has an abelian Sylow  $p$ -subgroup,  $r \geq 0$ , and  $S_i$  is a nonabelian simple group with either

- (i)  $p = 3$  and  $S_i \simeq Ru$  or  $J_4$  or  $S_i \simeq {}^2F_4(q)'$ ,  $9 \nmid (q+1)$ ; or
- (ii)  $p = 5$  and  $S_i \simeq Th$  for all  $i$ .

### 3 Proof

Let  $G$  be a counterexample for assertion of the theorem of minimal order.

**Lemma 3.1.**  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.6 it follows that  $G/O_{p'}(G) \in R(p)$  or  $|G/O_{p'}(G)||_p = 1$ . We can think that  $G/O_{p'}(G)$  does not include a normal  $p$ -complement, else  $G$  contains a normal  $p$ -complement. Therefore,  $G/O_{p'}$  a counterexample for assertion of theorem; a contradiction with minimality  $G$ . If  $|G/O_{p'}(G)||_p = 1$ , then Lemma 2.10 implies that  $G/O_{p'}(G)$  is a  $p$ -group. Therefore,  $O_{p'}(G)$  is a normal  $p$ -complement of  $G$ ; a contradiction. ■

**Lemma 3.2.** *Orders of minimal normal subgroups of  $G$  are multiples of  $p$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 3.1. ■

**Lemma 3.3.** *Each minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  is a  $p$ -group.*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the socle of  $G$ . Then  $H$  has expression in form  $S \times X$ , where  $S = S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n$ , for nonabelian simple groups  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  and a  $p$ -group  $X$ . It follows from Lemma 3.2 that  $p$  divides the order of  $S_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Assume that  $G$  contains a  $p$ -element  $x$  such that  $S_1^x \neq S_1$ . Let  $D = \langle aa^x a^{x^2} \dots a^{|x|-1} | a \in S_1 \rangle$ . We have  $D = C_{S_1 \times S_1^x \dots}(x)$  and  $D \simeq S_1$ . Since  $|S_1|$  is a multiple of  $p$ , we see that  $\text{Ind}_G(x)_p > 1$ . By Lemma 2.12, we obtain  $D$  contains a  $p'$ -element  $y$  such that  $\text{Ind}_D(y)_p = |D|_p$ . Thus,  $\text{Ind}_G(xy)_p > \text{Ind}_G(x)_p = |G|_p$ ; a contradiction. It follows that  $S_i^y = S_i$ , for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and for any  $p$ -element  $y$ . Take  $h_1 \in S_1$  and  $h_2 \in S_2$ . We have a  $p$ -element  $y \in C_G(h_1 h_2)$  iff  $y \in C_G(h_1) \cap C_G(h_2)$ . Assume that  $n > 1$ . Since  $|S_i| = |S_i|$ , we see that  $S_i$  contains an element  $h_i$  such that  $|h_i^{S_i}|_p = |S_i|_p$ , in particular  $|h_i^G|_p > 1$ . Let  $A$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $C_G(h_1 h_2)$  and  $B$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $C_G(h_1)$ . Then  $A < C_G(h_1) \cap C_G(h_2)$  and  $|G|_p > |B| > |A|$ ; a contradiction. Therefore,  $n = 1$ .

From Lemma 2.12, it follows that  $S$  contains an element  $h$  such that a  $p$ -element  $y \in C_G(h)$  iff  $y \in C_G(S)$ . If  $C_G(S)$  contains  $y$  such that  $|y^{C_G(S)}|_p > 1$ , then  $|(yh)^G|_p > |h^G|_p$ ; a contradiction. From Lemma 2.10 it follows that  $C_G(S) = O_p(G)$ . Moreover  $O = O_p(G)$  is abelian. We have  $|h^G|_p = |G|_p / |O|_p$ . Take  $a \in S$  such that  $|a^S|_p < |S|_p$ . Hence  $|a^G|_p < |h^G|_p$ . This implies that  $|a^S|_p = 1$ . From Lemma 2.13 it follows that a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $S$  is abelian or  $S$  is isomorphic to one of groups  $J_4, Ru, {}^2F_4(q)', Th$ . Also it signifies that  $S \in R(p)$ .

Assume that there exists a  $p$ -element  $x \in G \setminus H$  such that  $\text{Ind}_G(x)_p > 1$  and  $x$  acts on  $S$  as an outer automorphism. From Lemma 2.10 and the equation  $\text{Ind}_{C_G(x)}(y)_p = 1$  for each  $p'$ -element  $y \in C_G(x)$  it follows that  $C_G(x) = L \times T$ , where  $L$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $C_G(x)$ . Therefore,  $C_S(x) = \tilde{P} \times \tilde{L}$ , where  $\tilde{P}$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $C_S(x)$ .

Assume that  $S \simeq \text{Alt}_n$ , where  $n \geq 5$ . Since  $\pi(\text{Out}(S)) = \{2\}$ , we obtain  $p = 2$ . If  $n \neq 6$ , then  $C_{S_1}(x) \simeq \text{Alt}_{n-2}$ ; a contradiction. If  $n = 6$ , then  $C_S(x) \simeq \text{Alt}_4$  or  $C_{S_1}(x) \simeq \text{Sym}_3$ ; a contradiction.

From [3] it follows that  $S$  is not isomorphic to a sporadic simple group or the Tits group.

Therefore,  $S$  is a group of Lie type. Assume that a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $S$  is nonabelian. Since  $S$  is not isomorphic to one of a sporadic groups, it follows that  $S \simeq {}^2F_4(q)', 9 \nmid q+1$  and  $p = 3$ . Therefore,  $x$  acts on  $S$  as a field automorphism. Thus,  $q = 2^{3(2m+1)}$ ; this contradicts with that  $9 \nmid q+1$ . Thus, Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $S$  are abelian.

Assume that  $p = 2$ . From description of simple groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroup [17] it follows that  $S$  is isomorphic to one of a groups  $L_2(q)$  where  $q = 2^f$  or  $q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$ ,  $J_1$  or  ${}^2G_2(q)$  where  $q = 3^{2m+1}$  and  $m \geq 1$ . Put  $P \in \text{Syl}_2(S)$ . From [9, Theorems 1 and 7] it follows that  $C_S(P) = Z(P)$  or  $S$  is isomorphic to  $L_2(q)$  where  $q$  odd. Let  $S$  be isomorphic to  $L_2(q)$  for some odd  $q$ . If  $x$  acts on  $S$  as a field automorphism, then  $C_S(x) \simeq L_2(d)$ , where  $d$  divides  $q$ , in particular  $C_S(x)$  is not a direct product of a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup and a  $p$ -complement; a contradiction. Assume that  $x$  acts on  $S$  as diagonal automorphism or a diagonal-field automorphism. Therefore,  $S$  contains a 2-element  $z$  such that  $C_G(z) \cap x^G = \emptyset$ . Consequently  $1 < |z^G|_p < |h^G|_p$ ; a contradiction. Hence,  $S$  does not isomorphic  $L_2(q)$  for odd  $q$ . It follows that  $C_S(P) = Z(P)$ . Since  $|S| = |S|$  and  $S \in R(p)$ , we see that  $\{p\}$  is a connected component of  $GK(S)$ . The group of outer automorphisms of  $J_1$  is trivial, therefore  $S$  does not isomorphic  $J_1$ . If  $S \simeq {}^2G_2(q)$ , then from [14] it follows that 2 is not a connected components of  $GK(S)$ . If  $S \simeq L_2(q)$  for even  $q$ , then  $\text{Out}(S)$  is isomorphic to a group of field automorphisms. By analogy as before we can assume that  $C_S(x)$  is not a direct product of a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup and a  $p$ -complement; a contradiction. Thus,  $p > 2$ .

From description of finite simple groups with an abelian Sylow  $p$ -subgroup [12] it follows that  $p$  does not divide the orders of graph and diagonal automorphism groups. Lemma 2.5 and fact that subgroup of field automorphisms is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Out}(S)$ , implies  $G \simeq (S \times X).F$ , where  $F$  is a some cyclic  $p$ -group. In particular, we get that  $X.F$  is a  $p$ -group. We can assume that  $F = \langle xX \rangle$ . As noted above  $C_X(x) < X$ ; a contradiction with fact that  $|a^G|_p = 1$  for each  $a \in X$ . Let  $x \in G$  be a  $p$ -element such that  $\text{Ind}_G(x)_p > 1$ . We have  $x \in SC_G(S)$ . Since  $S$  and  $C_G(S)$  are normal subgroups of  $G$  with trivial intersection, we obtain  $x$  has unique expression in form  $x = x_S x_C$  where  $x_S \in S, x_C \in C_G(S)$ . Moreover from Lemma 2.2 it follows that  $C_G(x) = C_G(x_S) \cap C_G(x_C)$ . From Lemma 2.12 it follows that  $S$  contains  $h$  such that a  $p$ -element  $y \in C_G(h)$

iff  $y \in C_G(S)$ . Therefore, for each  $A \in \text{Syl}_p(C_G(h))$  there exists  $B \in \text{Syl}_p(C_G(x_S))$  such that  $A < B$ . Since  $\text{Ind}_S(x_S)_p < \text{Ind}_S(h)_p$  and  $x_C \in C_G(h)$ , we obtain  $\text{Ind}_G(x)_p < \text{In}_G(hx_C)$ ; a contradiction. ■

Let  $O = O_p(G)$ . Lemma 3.3 implies that  $O$  includes the socle of  $G$ . Therefore,  $C_G(O) = Z(O)$ , and for each  $h \in G \setminus O$  we have  $\text{Ind}_G(h)_p = |G|_p$ .

**Lemma 3.4.**  $|G|_p > |O|$

*Proof.* Assume that  $|G|_p = |O|$ . Let  $x \in O$  such that  $\text{Ind}_O(x) > 1$  and  $h \in G$  be a  $p'$ -element. We have  $|C_O(x)| > |Z(O)|$ . Therefore,  $|C_O(h)| > |Z(O)|$ , consequently  $C_O(h)$  contains an element  $y$  such that  $\text{Ind}_O(y) > 1$ . Hence  $C_O(y) = C_O(h)$ . From Lemma 2.8 it follows that  $C_O(h) = O$ ; a contradiction. ■

From Lemma 3.4 it follows that  $|G|_p > |O|$ . Let  $h \in G$  be a  $p'$ -element and  $x \in C_G(h) \setminus O$  be a  $p$ -element. Using Lemma 2.8 we can show that  $\text{Ind}_G(x)_p = 1$ , so  $x \in C_G(O) = Z(O)$ , which is a contradiction. In particular  $p$  is a connected component of  $GK(G/O)$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *The group  $O$  is abelian.*

*Proof.* Assume that there exists  $x \in O \setminus Z(O)$ . Put  $h \in G$  is a  $p'$ -element. We have  $|C_G(h)|_p = |C_G(x)|_p$ , in particular  $C_G(h)$  contains a  $p$ -element  $y$  such that  $\text{Ind}_G(y)_p > 1$ . From Lemma 2.8 it follows that  $O < C_G(h)$ ; a contradiction. ■

**Lemma 3.6.**  $\text{Ind}_G(x)_p = 1$  for each  $x \in O$ .

*Proof.* Assume that there exists  $x \in O$  such that  $\text{Ind}_G(x)_p > 1$ . Lemma 3.5 implies that  $O$  is abelian. Therefore,  $C_G(x) \geq O$ . Put  $h \in G$  is a  $p'$ -element. We know that  $p$  is a connected component of  $GK(G/O)$ , hence for each Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $C_G(h)$  we have  $P \leq O$ . Since  $C_G(O) = Z(O)$ , we see that  $P \neq O$ . Consequently  $|G|_p/|C_O(x)| \geq |G|_p/|C_G(x)|_p = |G|_p$ . Therefore,  $|P| \geq |O|$ ; a contradiction. ■

**Lemma 3.7.** *The group  $G$  is non solvable.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $G$  is solvable. From Lemma 2.7 it follows that  $G/O$  is a Frobenius or 2-Frobenius group. Since kernel of  $G/O$  is a  $p'$ -group and Lemma 2.5, we obtain  $G/O$  is a Frobenius group with  $p'$ -kernel  $\bar{K}$  and complement  $\bar{F}$ , else  $G$  is not minimal. Put  $K < G$  be a minimal subgroup such that  $KO/O = \bar{K}$ . From Frattini argument we have  $N(K)O/O \simeq \bar{K}$ . Let  $F \leq N_G(K)$  be a minimal subgroup such that  $FO/O = \bar{F}$ . Since  $G/O$  is a Frobenius group with the complement  $\bar{F}$ , we see that  $N_G(F) < OF$ ; in particular  $\pi(N_G(F)) = \{p\}$ .

Let  $H < K$  be maximal with respect to inclusion subgroup of  $K$  such that  $C_O(H) > Z(G)$ . We show that  $H$  is not trivial. For each  $h \in K$  and  $y \in F \setminus O$  we have  $|h^G|_p = |y^G|_p$  and  $|C_G(y)|_p > |Z(G)|_p$ . Therefore,  $C_O(h) > Z(G)$ , in particular  $H > 1$ .

Let  $x \in C_O(H) \setminus Z(G)$ . Lemma 3.6 implies that  $x \in Z(P)$  for some Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ . Hence  $C_G(x)$  includes a subgroup  $V$  which is conjugated with  $F$ . We have  $H < C_G(x)$ . Since  $H$  is a maximal  $p'$ -subgroup with a non trivial centralizer in  $O$ , we see that  $H$  is a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $C_G(x)$ . In particular  $C_G(x) = O.\bar{H}.\bar{F}$ , where  $\bar{H} = HO/O$ . Let  $y \in C_O(H) \setminus Z(G)$ . We can show that  $C_G(y) = O.\bar{H} \rtimes \bar{R}$ . Since  $\bar{R} < N_{G/O}(\bar{H})$  and  $\bar{F} < N_{G/O}(\bar{H})$ , we have  $\bar{H}\bar{R}\bar{F}$  is a Frobenius group with the kernel  $\bar{H}$  and the complement  $\bar{F}$ . Therefore,  $\bar{H}\bar{R}\bar{F} = \bar{H}\bar{R} = \bar{H}\bar{F}$  and  $C_G(y) = C_G(x)$ . Thus,  $C_G(x) = C_G(C_O(H))$ .

Let  $N = N_K(H)$ . Since  $K$  is nilpotent, we get that  $H = K$  or  $N > H$ . We have  $N < N_G(C_G(H))$ . Therefore,  $N < N_G(C_G(C_O(H)))$ . If  $N > H$ , then  $N \not\leq C_G(C_O(H))$ . Thus,  $N_G(F)$  includes a subgroup  $X$  such that  $(X/H) \simeq N/H$ ; a contradiction with fact that  $N_G(F)$  is a  $p$ -group. It follows that  $H = K$ . We have  $F < C_G(C_O(H))$  and  $O$  is abelian. Therefore,  $C_O(H) \leq Z(G)$ ; a contradiction. ■

From Lemmas 3.7 and 2.7 it follows that  $G/O \simeq F.S$ , where  $F$  is a nilpotent  $\pi_1(G/O)$ -group, and  $S$  is a nonabelian simple group. Let  $g \in G$  be a  $p'$ -element. Put  $H_g \leq C_G(g)$  a subgroup generated by all  $(\pi(|g|) \cup \{p\})'$ -elements. We have  $H_g \leq C_G(C_O(g))$ . Therefore,  $H_g$  acts regularly on  $O/C_O(g)$ . Hence Sylow subgroups of  $H_g$  are cyclic or quaternion groups.

**Lemma 3.8.**  $F = 1$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $|\pi(F)| > 1$ . Let  $h \in F$  be a  $t$ -element for some  $t \in \pi(F)$ . We have  $C_F(h)$  includes some Hall  $t'$ -subgroup  $T$  of  $F$ . Since Sylow subgroups of  $H_h$  are cyclic or quaternion, we get  $S$  acts trivially on  $T$ . Therefore,  $F$  is a  $t$ -group for some prime  $t \neq p$ .

Assume that there exists  $h \in Z(F)$  such that  $|\pi(C_{F,S}(h))| > 1$ . Let  $g \in C_{F,S}(h)$  be a  $t'$ -element. Assume that  $Z(F) < C_{F,S}(g)$ . Since  $Z(F)$  is a normal subgroup of  $F.S$ , we have  $\langle g^G \rangle < C_{F,S}(Z(F))$ . The group  $S$  is a simple group, therefore,  $\langle g^G \rangle F/F = S$ . In particular  $F.S$  contains an element of order  $pt$ ; a contradiction. Hence  $[Z(F), g] > 1$ . We have  $H_h$  acts regularly on the  $O/C_O(h)$  and  $\langle g^{C_{F,S}(h)} \rangle \leq H_h$ . This assertion contradicts with Lemma 2.11. Therefore,  $\pi(C_{F,S}(h)) = \{t\}$  for each  $h \in Z(F)$ .

Assume that there exists  $a \in F.S$  a  $t$ -element such that  $|\pi(C_{F,S}(a))| > 1$ . Since  $C_{F,S}(a) \cap Z(F) > 1$ , we get a contradiction with Lemma 2.11.

Therefore,  $t$  is a connected component of  $GK(F.S)$ . Since  $t \in \pi_1(GK(F.S))$ , we get  $t = 2$ . From a description of the prime graph of finite simple groups [14] it follows that  $S \simeq Alt_5$ . From Brauer 2-character tables [3] it follows that  $F.S$  contains an element  $x$  such that  $|x| \in \{6, 10\}$ ; a contradiction. ■

From Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8 it follows that  $G/O$  is a simple group and  $p$  is a connected component of  $GK(G/O)$ .

**Lemma 3.9.**  $G \simeq O$

*Proof.* Assume that  $S$  is an alternating simple group of degree  $n$ . An alternating group has disconnected prime graph iff one of the numbers  $n, n-1, n-2$  is prime, and this number is a connected component of the prime graph. In particular, if  $n > 6$ , then  $3 \in \pi_1(S)$ . If  $n > 6$ , then  $S$  contains an element  $g$  of order 3 such that  $C_S(g) \simeq \langle g \rangle \times Alt_{n-3}$ . Therefore, in this case  $H_g$  includes a Frobenius group; a contradiction with assertion that  $H_g$  acts regular on  $O/C_O(g)$ . Let  $n \in \{5, 6\}$ . Therefore,  $S$  contains an element  $g$  such that for each  $p$ -element  $h \in S$  we have  $\langle h, g \rangle = S$ . We have  $C_O(g) > Z(G)$ . Put  $x \in C_O(g) \setminus Z(G)$ . It follows from Lemma 3.5 that  $|x^G|_p = 1$ . Hence,  $C_G(x)$  includes a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ . In particular  $\langle g, PO/O \rangle = S$ . That signifies that  $C_G(x) = G$ ; a contradiction.

Assume that  $S$  is a group of Lie type. If Lie rank of  $S$  is more then 2, then  $S$  contains an element  $g$  such that  $H_g$  includes a Frobenius group. Therefore, we can assume that Lie rank of  $S$  is 1 or 2. Assume that  $S \simeq L_2(q)$ . We have that  $S$  is generated by a pair  $a, b$  where  $|a| = (q+1)/2, |b| = (q-1)/2$ . Since  $p = (q-1)/2$  or  $p = (q+1)/2$ , we can assume that  $C_O(g) \leq Z(G)$ ; a contradiction. Groups  $L_3(q)$  and  $U_3(q)$  contain an element  $g$  such that  $C_S(g)$  includes  $L_2(q)$ . Therefore,  $S$  is not isomorphic to one of a  $L_3(q)$  or  $U_3(q)$ . Similarly, it can be shown that  $S$  is not isomorphic to  $B_2(q), {}^2B_2(q), G_2(q), {}^2G_2(q)$  and sporadic groups. ■

Lemma 3.9 completes proof of the theorem.

## 4 Proof of Corollaries

Proof of Corollary 1.

*Proof.* If  $G$  is a  $p$ -group, then the corollary is satisfied. Let  $h \in G$  be a  $p'$ -element. We have  $|C_G(h)|_p > |Z(P)|$ , where  $P \in Syl_p(G)$ . Let  $H \in Syl_p(C_G(h))$ . It follows from Lemma 2.6 that  $G/O_{p'}(G) \in R^*(p)$ . From Theorem 1 we get that  $G/O_{p'}(G)$  is a  $p$ -group. Since  $|H| > |Z(P)|$ , we get that  $H$  contains  $x$  such that  $Ind_{G/O_{p'}(G)}(xO_{p'}(G)) = p^e$ , for some  $e > 0$ . Therefore,  $Ind_{G/O_{p'}(G)}(xO_{p'}(G))_p = Ind_G(x)_p = p^e$ . Hence  $C_G(h)$  includes some Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $C_G(x)$ . From Lemma 2.4 it follows that  $Z(P) \leq Z(G)$ . ■

Proof of Corollary 2.

*Proof.* If  $G \in R^*(p)$ , then from Corollary 1 it follows that  $Z(G) > 1$ ; a contradiction. Therefore,  $Ind_G(x)_p = 1$  for each  $p$ -element  $x$  of  $G$ . From Lemma 2.13 it follows that  $O_{p'}(G/O_{p'}(G)) = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_r \times H$ . From Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 it follows that  $O_{p'}(G/O_{p'}(G)) \in R(p)$ . Since  $S_1$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $G/O_{p'}(G)$ , we get  $|x^{G/O_{p'}(G)}|$  is a multiple of  $|x^{S_1}|$  for each  $x \in S_1$ . Assume that  $p = 3$  and  $S_1 \simeq Ru$ . We have  $S_1$  contains  $x$  of order 12 such that  $|C_{S_1}(x)| = 24$ . Therefore,  $1 < |x^{S_1}|_3 < |G|_3$ ; a contradiction. If  $S_1 \simeq J_4$ ,

then  $S_1$  contains  $x$  of order 21 such that  $|C_{S_1}(x)| = 42$ ; a contradiction with definition of  $R^*(p)$ -groups. Assume that  $S_1 \simeq^2 F_4(q)'$ . According to the results of [13], there is just one conjugacy class of elements of order 3 in  $S_1$ . Therefore,  $N_{S_1}(\langle x \rangle) \simeq C_{S_1}(x) : 2 = 3.U_3(q) : 2$  where  $x \in S_1$  is an element of order 3 [10]. Thus,  $S_1$  contains an element  $y$  of order 6 such that  $1 < |y^{S_1}|_3 < |G|_3$ ; a contradiction. Assume that  $p = 5$  and  $S_1 \simeq Th$ . In this case  $S_1$  contains an element  $x$  of order 8 such that  $|C_{S_1}(x)| = 96$ ; a contradiction. The assertion of Corollary 2 follows from Lemma 2.13. ■

## References

- [1] A. Beltran, M. Felipe: On the solvability of groups with four class sizes. *J. Algebra Appl.* 11 (9) (2012) 1–7.
- [2] C. Casolo, S. Dolfi, E. Jabara: Finite groups whose noncentral class sizes have the same  $p$ -part for some prime  $p$ . *Israel J. Math.* 192 (2012) 197–219.
- [3] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, R. A. Wilson: *Atlas of finite groups*. Oxford: Clarendon Press (1985).
- [4] D. Gorenstein: *Finite groups*. New York-London (1968).
- [5] I. B. Gorshkov,: On Thompson’s conjecture for alternating and symmetric groups of degree more than 1361. *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics* 293 (1) (2016) 58–65.
- [6] I. B. Gorshkov: On Thompson’s conjecture for finite simple groups. *Communications in Algebra* 47 (2019) 5192–5206.
- [7] I. B. Gorshkov: On a connection between the order of a finite group and the set of conjugacy classes size. arXiv:1804.04594.
- [8] K. Ishikawa: On finite  $p$ -groups which have only two conjugacy length. *Israel J. Math.* 129 (2002) 119–123.
- [9] A. S. Kondrat’ev, V. D. Mazurov: 2-signalizers of finite simple groups. *Algebra Logic* 42 (5) (2003) 333–348.
- [10] G. Malle: The Maximal Subgroups of  ${}^2F_4(q)$ . *J. Algebra* 139 (1991) 52–69.
- [11] G. Navarro, P. H. Tiep: Abelian Sylow subgroups in a finite group. *J. Algebra* 398 (2014) 519–526.
- [12] R. Shen, Y. Zhou: Finite simple groups with some abelian Sylow subgroups. *Kuwait J. Sci.* 43 (2) (2016) 1–15.
- [13] K. Shinoda,: The conjugacy classes of the finite Ree groups of type  ${}^2F_4(q)$ . *J. Fat. Sci. Uniu. Tokyo* 22 (1975) 1–15.
- [14] A. V. Vasilev, E. P. Vdovin: An Adjacency Criterion for the Prime Graph of a Finite Simple Group. *Algebra Logic* 44 (6) (2005) 381–406.
- [15] A. V. Vasilev, E. P. Vdovin: On Thompson’s conjecture. *Siberian electronic mathematical reports* 6 (2009) 457–464.
- [16] A. V. Vasilev: On finite groups isospectral to simple classical groups. *J. Algebra* 423 (2015) 318–374.
- [17] J. H. Walter: The characterization of finite groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups. *Ann. of Math.* 89 (2) (1969) 405–514.
- [18] J. Williams: Prime graph components of finite groups. *J. Algebra* 69 (1981) 487–513.

Received: October 03, 2019

Accepted for publication: March 04, 2020

Communicated by: Ivan Kaygorodov