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# An explicit bound of integral points on modular curves 

Yulin Cai


#### Abstract

In this paper, we give the constant $C$ in [9, Theorem 1.2] by using an explicit Baker's inequality, hence we obtain an explicit bound for the heights of the integral points on modular curves.


## 1 Introduction

Let $X$ be a smooth, connected projective algebraic curve defined over a number field $K$, and let $x \in K(X)$ be a non-constant rational function on $X$. If $S$ is a finite set of places of $K$ (including all the infinite places), we call a point $P \in X(K)$ an $S$-integral point if $x(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{S}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{S, K}$ is the ring of $S$-integers in $K$. The set of $S$-integral points is denoted by $X\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}, x\right)$.

According to the classical theorem of Siegel [11] the set $X\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}, x\right)$ is finite if at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { the genus } g(X) \geq 1 ;  \tag{1}\\
& x \text { admits at least } 3 \text { poles in } X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \text {. } \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Unfortunately, the existing proofs of this theorem for general curves are not effective, that is they do not imply any explicit expression bounding the heights of integral points. But for many pairs $(X, x)$, the effective proofs of this theorem were discovered by Baker's method, see [1], [2] and the references therein.

Sha [9] considered the case where $X=X_{\Gamma}$ is the modular curve corresponding to a congruence subgroup $\Gamma$ of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, and $x=j$ is the $j$-invariant.

To state his result, we introduce some notations. For a congruence subgroup $\Gamma$ as above, the number of cusps on $X_{\Gamma}$ is denoted by $v_{\infty}(\Gamma)$. For a number field $K$, let $M_{K}$ be

[^0]the set of all places of $K$, and $S \subseteq M_{K}$ a finite subset containing all infinite places. We put $d=[K: \mathbb{Q}]$ and $s=|S|$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ be the ring of integers of $K$. We define the following quantity
$$
\Delta(N):=\sqrt{N^{d N}|D|^{\varphi(N)}}\left(\log \left(N^{d N}|D|^{\varphi(N)}\right)\right)^{d \varphi(N)} \times\left(\prod_{\substack{v \in S \\ v \nmid \infty}} \log \mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(v)\right)^{\varphi(N)}
$$
as a function of $N \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$, where $D$ is the absolute discriminant of $K, \varphi(N)$ is Euler's totient function, and the norm $\mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(v)$ of a place $v$, by definition, is equal to $\left|\mathcal{O}_{K} / \mathfrak{p}_{v}\right|$ when $v$ is finite and $\mathfrak{p}_{v}$ is its corresponding prime ideal, and is set to be 1 if $v$ is infinite.

Sha [9] proved the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1 ([9] Theorem 1.2). Let $\Gamma$ be of level $N$. If $v_{\infty}(\Gamma) \geq 3$, then

$$
\mathrm{h}(j(P)) \leq\left(C d s M^{2}\right)^{2 s M}(\log (d M))^{3 s M} \ell^{d M} \Delta(M) \quad \text { for every } \quad P \in X_{\Gamma}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}, j\right)
$$

where $C$ is an absolute effective constant, $\ell$ is the maximal prime such that there exists $v \in S$ with $v \mid \ell$, or $\ell=1$ if $S$ only contains infinite places, and $M$ is defined as following:

$$
M= \begin{cases}N & \text { if } N \text { is not a power of any prime } \\ 3 N & \text { if } N \text { is a power of } 2 ; \\ 2 N & \text { if } N \text { is a power of an odd prime }\end{cases}
$$

(Here $\mathrm{h}(\cdot)$ is the standard absolute logarithmic height defined on the set $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of algebraic numbers.)

For certain applications it is useful to have an explicit value of the constant $C$ from Theorem 1.1. In this note we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.2. The constant $C$ in Theorem 1.1 can be taken to be $2^{14}$.
In the proof, we follow the main lines of Sha's argument, with some minor modifications. We calculate explicitly the implicit constants occurring therein.

For a number field $K, v \in M_{K}$, we define the valuation $|\cdot|_{v}$ on $K$ as following: for any $\alpha \in K$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\alpha|_{v}:=|\sigma(\alpha)|, \text { if } v \text { is infinite with embedding } \sigma ; \\
|\alpha|_{v}:=\mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(v)^{-\operatorname{ord}_{v}(\alpha) /\left[K_{v}: \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right]}, \text { if } v \text { is finite. }
\end{gathered}
$$

## 2 Upper bound of $S$-regulator

As before, for a number field $K$, and a finite subset $S \subseteq M_{K}$ containing all infinite places, we put $d=[K: \mathbb{Q}], s=|S|$ and $r=s-1$. We fix $v_{0} \in S$ and set

$$
S^{\prime}=S \backslash\left\{v_{0}\right\}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right\}
$$

The $S$-regulator $R(S)$ is defined as

$$
R(S)=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(d_{v_{i}} \log \left|\xi_{k}\right|_{v_{i}}\right)_{1 \leq i, k \leq r}\right|
$$

where $d_{v_{i}}=\left[K_{v_{i}}: \mathbb{Q}_{v_{i}}\right]$ is the local degree of $v_{i}$ for each $i$, and $\left\{\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{r}\right\}$ is a fundamental system of the $S$-units. It is independent of the choice of $v_{0}$ and of the fundamental system of $S$-units. We also denote by $\omega_{K}$ the number of roots of unity in $K$.

We set

$$
\zeta= \begin{cases}\frac{(\log 6)^{3}}{2} & \text { if } d=2 \\ 4\left(\frac{\log d}{\log \log d}\right)^{3} & \text { if } d \geq 3\end{cases}
$$

This $\zeta$ is better than the one in [9, Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.2], and can make these results valid, see [12, Theorem and Corollary 2].

Lemma 2.1. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
0.1 \leq R(S) \leq h_{K} R_{K} \prod_{\substack{v \in S \\
v \nmid \infty}} \log \mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(v) \\
R(S) \leq \frac{\omega_{K}}{2}\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{r_{2}}\left(\frac{e \log |D|}{4(d-1)}\right)^{d-1} \sqrt{|D|} \prod_{\substack{v \in S \\
v \nmid \infty}} \log \mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(v),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $e$ is the base of the natural logarithm, $r_{2}$ is the number of complex embeddings of $K$, and $D$ is the absolute discriminant of $K$.

Proof. For the first inequality see [4, Lemma 3]. One may remark that the lower bound $R(S) \geq 0.1$ follows from Friedman's famous lower bound [5, Theorem B] for the usual regulator $R_{K}$. The second one follows from Siegel's estimate [10], or [6, Theorem 1]

$$
h_{K} R_{K} \leq \frac{\omega_{K}}{2}\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{r_{2}}\left(\frac{e \log |D|}{4(d-1)}\right)^{d-1} \sqrt{|D|} \prod_{\substack{v \in S \\ v \nmid \infty}} \log \mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(v)
$$

here, we replace $(1 /(d-1))^{d-1}$ with 1 when $d=1$.
We will use the following lemma. For the convenience of the readers, we prove it here.
Lemma 2.2. $\omega_{K} \leq 2 d^{2}$. Moreover, $\omega_{K} \leq d^{2}$ if $K$ contains a primitive $n$-th root of unity for some $n>6$.

Proof. It's sufficient to show that $\varphi(n) \geq \sqrt{n}$ for $n \neq 2,6$.
For $k \geq 1$, set $f_{k}(x):=x^{k}-x^{k-1}-x^{k / 2}, g_{k}(x):=x^{k}-x^{k-1}-\sqrt{2} x^{k / 2}$. Then

$$
f_{k}(x)=x^{(k-1) / 2}\left(x^{(k-1) / 2}(x-1)-x^{1 / 2}\right) \geq x-1-x^{1 / 2}>0
$$

if $x \geq 3$. Similarly, $g_{k}(x)>0$ if $x \geq 5$ or $k \geq 2, x \geq 3$.
Let $n=2^{m} \prod_{p} p^{e_{p}}$, where $p$ runs through all odd prime numbers. If $m=0$, then

$$
\varphi(n)=\prod_{e_{p} \geq 1}\left(p^{e_{p}}-p^{e_{p}-1}\right) \geq \prod_{e_{p} \geq 1} p^{e_{p} / 2}=\sqrt{n}
$$

It is similar for the case where $m \geq 2$.
If $m=1$, then there exists a prime $q$ such that $q \geq 5, e_{q} \geq 1$ or $q=3, e_{q} \geq 2$. Hence

$$
\varphi(n)=\prod_{e_{p} \geq 1}\left(p^{e_{p}}-p^{e_{p}-1}\right) \geq \sqrt{2} q^{e_{q} / 2} \prod_{\substack{p \neq q \\ e_{p} \geq 1}} p^{e_{p} / 2}=\sqrt{n}
$$

## 3 Baker's inequality

In this section, we state Baker's inequality in an explicit form.
Theorem 3.1 (Baker's inequality). Let $n$ be an integer not less than $2, K$ be a number field of degree d, $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n} \in K^{*}$, and $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\alpha_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{n}^{b_{n}} \neq 1$. We define $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}, B_{0}$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log A_{i}:=\max \left\{\mathrm{h}\left(\alpha_{i}\right), 1 / d\right\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \\
B_{0}:=\max \left\{3,\left|b_{1}\right|, \cdots,\left|b_{n}\right|\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then for any $v \in M_{K}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{n}^{b_{n}}-1\right|_{v} \geq \exp \left\{-\Upsilon \log A_{1} \cdots \log A_{n} \log B_{0}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Upsilon= \begin{cases}2^{8 n+29} d^{n+2} \log (e d) & \text { if } v \mid \infty  \tag{4}\\ 2^{10 n+10} \cdot e^{2 n+2} d^{3 n+3} p_{v}^{d} & \text { if } v \mid p_{v}<\infty\end{cases}
$$

The proof of this theorem is based on [7, Corollary 2.3] and [13, Main Theorem, page 190-191]. For the convenience of readers, we state their results here.

As convention, for a nonzero element $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we set

$$
\log z=\log |z|+\sqrt{-1} \arg z
$$

where $-\pi<\arg z \leq \pi$ is the principal argument of $z$.

Theorem 3.2 ([7, Corollary 2.3]). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$, let $K$ be a number field of degree d, and let $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n} \in K^{*}$. Let $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\Lambda:=b_{1} \log \alpha_{1}+\cdots+b_{n} \log \alpha_{n} \neq 0$. We define $A_{1}^{*}, \cdots, A_{n}^{*}, B$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log A_{i}^{*}=\max \left\{\mathrm{h}\left(\alpha_{i}\right), \frac{\left|\log \alpha_{i}\right|}{d}, \frac{0.16}{d}\right\}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \\
B=\max \left\{3, \frac{\left|b_{j}\right| \log A_{j}^{*}}{\log A_{n}^{*}}: 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\log |\Lambda| \geq-C(n, \varkappa) d^{n+2} \log (e d) \log A_{1}^{*} \cdots \log A_{n}^{*} \log (e B)
$$

where $C(n, \varkappa)=\min \left\{\frac{1}{\varkappa}\left(\frac{1}{2} e n\right)^{\varkappa} 30^{n+3} n^{3.5}, 2^{6 n+20}\right\}$,

$$
\varkappa= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n} \in \mathbb{R} \\ 2 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 3.3 ([13] consequence of Main Theorem). Keep the notation of Theorem 3.2. We define $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}, B_{0}$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log A_{i}=\max \left\{\mathrm{h}\left(\alpha_{i}\right), \frac{1}{16 e^{2} d^{2}}\right\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \\
B_{0}=\max \left\{3,\left|b_{1}\right|, \cdots,\left|b_{n}\right|\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then for any prime number $p$, and any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ over $p$ in the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\alpha_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{n}^{b_{n}}-1\right)<C_{0}(n, d, \mathfrak{p}) \log A_{1} \cdots \log A_{n} \log B_{0},
$$

where $C_{0}(n, d, \mathfrak{p})=(16 e d)^{2(n+1)} n^{5 / 2} \log (2 n d) \log (2 d) \cdot e_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n} \frac{p_{\mathfrak{p}} f_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\log p)^{2}}$, and $e_{\mathfrak{p}}, f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are the ramification index and the residue degree at $\mathfrak{p}$ respectively.

Now we prove Theorem 3.1. The idea comes from [14, Section 9.4.4].
Proof of Theorem 3.1. If $v \mid p_{v}$ for some prime $p_{v}$, then from Theorem 3.3, we have

$$
\left|\alpha_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{n}^{b_{n}}-1\right|_{v}>\exp \left\{-C_{1}(n, d, \mathfrak{p}) \log A_{1} \cdots \log A_{n} \log B_{0}\right\}
$$

where

$$
C_{1}(n, d, \mathfrak{p})=\left(\frac{\log p_{v}}{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}\right) C_{0}(n, d, \mathfrak{p})=(16 e d)^{2(n+1)} n^{5 / 2} \log (2 n d) \log (2 d) \cdot e_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n-1} \frac{p_{v}^{f_{\mathfrak{p}}}}{f_{\mathfrak{p}}^{2} \log p_{v}} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1}(n, d, \mathfrak{p}) & \leq(16 e)^{2(n+1)} d^{2 n+2} n^{5 / 2} \cdot 2 n d \cdot 2 d \cdot d^{n-1} \cdot p_{v}^{d} \\
& \leq 2^{10 n+10} \cdot e^{2 n+2} d^{3 n+3} p_{v}^{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $n^{7 / 2} \leq 4^{n}$.
If $v \mid \infty$, it is sufficient to bound $\left|\alpha_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{n}^{b_{n}}-1\right|$. When $|z| \leq 1 / 2$, the function $\frac{\log (1+z)}{z}$ is holomorphic, then by the maximal modulus principle, there exists $z_{0}$ with $\left|z_{0}\right|=1 / 2$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{\log (1+z)}{z}\right| \leq 2\left|\log \left(1+z_{0}\right)\right| \leq 2 \log 2
$$

where we use the inequality $\left|\log \left(1+z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} z_{0}^{n}\right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|z_{0}\right|^{n}=\log 2$. Hence, for $|z| \leq 1 / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\log (1+z)| \leq 2 \log 2|z| \leq 2|z| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove Theorem 3.1, without loss of generality, we may assume that $b_{i} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $A_{1} \leq \cdots \leq A_{n}$, and set $\alpha=\alpha_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{n}^{b_{n}}-1$. We need to consider three cases.
(a) If $B_{0} \leq 2 n d$, with Liouville's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{h}(\alpha) \leq \log 2+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|b_{i}\right| \mathrm{h}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \\
\log |\alpha| \geq-d \mathrm{~h}(\alpha) \geq-d\left(\log 2+n B_{0} \log A_{n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence,

$$
|\alpha| \geq \exp \left\{-\left(d \log 2+2 n^{2} d^{2} \log A_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

Since $1 \leq d \log A_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $\log 2+2 n^{2} \leq 2^{8 n+29} \log (e d)$, we have

$$
d \log 2+2 n^{2} d^{2} \log A_{n} \leq\left(\log 2+2 n^{2}\right) d^{2} \log A_{n} \leq \Upsilon \log A_{1} \cdots \log A_{n} \log B_{0}
$$

Hence we have inequality (3).
(b) If $B_{0}>2 n d$, and $|\alpha|>1 / 2$, since $\log 2 \leq 2^{8 n+29} \log (e d)$, it is easy to deduce inequality (3) from this.
(c) If $B_{0}>2 n d$, and $|\alpha| \leq 1 / 2$, this is the main part of the proof. By (5), we have

$$
|\alpha| \geq \frac{1}{2}|\log (1+\alpha)|=\frac{1}{2}\left|\log \left(\alpha_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{n}^{b_{n}}\right)\right|=\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda|,
$$

where $\Lambda=b_{0} \log (-1)+b_{1} \log \alpha_{1}+\cdots+b_{n} \log \alpha_{n}, b_{0}=2 k$ for some integer $k$. Hence, it is sufficient to bound $|\Lambda|$.

To use Theorem 3.2, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ we set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log A_{i}^{*}=\sqrt{\pi^{2}+1} \cdot \log A_{i} \\
\log A_{0}^{*}=\frac{\pi}{d} \\
B=B_{0}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

We will show that for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$
\log A_{i}^{*} \geq \max \left\{\mathrm{h}\left(\alpha_{i}\right), \frac{\left|\log \alpha_{i}\right|}{d}, \frac{0.16}{d}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log A_{0}^{*} & \geq \max \left\{\mathrm{h}(-1), \frac{|\log (-1)|}{d}, \frac{0.16}{d}\right\}=\frac{\pi}{d}, \\
B & \geq \max \left\{3, \frac{\left|b_{j}\right| \log \left|A_{j}^{*}\right|}{\log A_{n}^{*}}: 0 \leq j \leq n\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Indeed, notice that for $1 \leq i \leq n, \log A_{i}^{*} \geq \frac{0.16}{d}$, and we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\log \alpha_{i}\right|^{2} \leq \pi^{2}+\left(\log \left|\alpha_{i}\right|\right)^{2} \\
\frac{\log \left|\alpha_{i}\right|}{d} \leq \mathrm{h}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \leq \log A_{i}<\log A_{i}^{*}
\end{gathered}
$$

so

$$
\left|\log \alpha_{i}\right| \leq\left(\pi^{2}+d^{2}\left(\log A_{i}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \sqrt{\pi^{2}+1} \cdot d \log A_{i}
$$

For $\log A_{0}^{*}$, it's obvious. For $B$, obviously $B \geq 3$. Before showing that $B \geq \frac{\left|b_{j}\right| \log \left|A_{A}^{*}\right|}{\log A_{n}^{*}}$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$, we bound $b_{0}$ first. Since $|\alpha| \leq 1 / 2$, so $|\Lambda| \leq 1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi\left|b_{0}\right| & \leq|\Lambda|+\left|b_{1} \log \alpha_{1}+\cdots+b_{n} \log \alpha_{n}\right| \\
& \leq 1+n B_{0} \sqrt{\pi^{2}+1} d \log A_{n} \\
& \leq 2 \pi n d B_{0} \log A_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

here we use the fact that $\sqrt{\pi^{2}+1} \leq \pi+1,1 \leq(\pi-1) n d B_{0} \log A_{n}$. Since $B_{0}>2 n d \geq 2 n$, we have $B=B_{0}^{2}>2 n B_{0}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\left|b_{0}\right| \log A_{0}^{*}}{\log A_{n}^{*}}=\frac{\pi\left|b_{0}\right|}{\sqrt{\pi^{2}+1} \cdot d \log A_{n}} \leq \frac{2 \pi}{\sqrt{\pi^{2}+1}} n B_{0}<2 n B_{0}<B \\
\frac{\left|b_{i}\right| \log A_{i}^{*}}{\log A_{n}^{*}}=\frac{\left|b_{i}\right| \log A_{i}}{\log A_{n}} \leq\left|b_{i}\right| \leq B_{0}<B
\end{gathered}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
By Theorem 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log |\Lambda| & \geq-C(n+1, \varkappa) d^{n+3} \log (e d) \log A_{0}^{*} \log A_{1}^{*} \cdots \log A_{n}^{*} \log (e B) \\
& \geq-3 \pi\left(\pi^{2}+1\right)^{n / 2} C(n+1, \varkappa) d^{n+2} \log (e d) \cdot \log A_{1} \cdots \log A_{n} \log B_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\alpha| & \geq \frac{1}{2}|\Lambda| \\
& \geq \exp \left\{-\left(3 \pi\left(\pi^{2}+1\right)^{n / 2} C(n+1, \varkappa)+\log 2\right) d^{n+2} \log (e d) \cdot \log A_{1} \cdots \log A_{n} \log B_{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, it is sufficient to show that

$$
3 \pi\left(\pi^{2}+1\right)^{n / 2} C(n+1, \varkappa)+\log 2 \leq 2^{2 n+3} C(n+1, \varkappa) \leq 2^{8 n+29}
$$

Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left(\pi^{2}+1\right)^{n / 2}\left(4 \cdot\left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi^{2}+1}}\right)^{n}-\frac{3}{2} \pi\right) & C(n+1, \varkappa) \\
& \geq 2\left(\pi^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{16}{\sqrt{\pi^{2}+1}}-\frac{3}{2} \pi\right) C(2, \varkappa) \\
& \geq 0.92 \cdot C(2, \varkappa) \\
& \geq \log 2
\end{aligned}
$$

since $0.92 \cdot C(2, \varkappa) \geq 0.92 \cdot \min \left\{2^{2.5} e \cdot 30^{5}, 2^{32}\right\} \geq \log 2$.
The following lemma will be used when we apply Theorem 3.1.
Lemma 3.4 ([8, Lemma 2.2]). Let $b \geq 0, h \geq 1, a>\left(e^{2} / h\right)^{h}$, and let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$be such that

$$
x-a(\log x)^{h}-b \leq 0
$$

then $x<2^{h}\left(b^{1 / h}+a^{1 / h} \log \left(h^{h} a\right)\right)^{h}$. In particular, if $h=1$, then $x<2(b+a \log a)$.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We only consider the case of mixed level, i.e Theorem 1.1, since if $N$ is a power of some prime $p$, we can replace $N$ by $3 N$ if $p=2$, and by $2 N$ if $p \neq 2$. From the assumption, we have that $N \geq 6$.

We consider the case where $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{N}\right) \subset K$ at first, then consider the general case.
For $P \in X_{\Gamma}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}, j\right)$, since $j(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{S}$, we have

$$
\mathrm{h}(j(P))=d^{-1} \sum_{v \in S} d_{v} \log ^{+}|j(P)|_{v} \leq \sum_{v \in S} \log ^{+}|j(P)|_{v} \leq s \log |j(P)|_{w}
$$

for some $w \in S$. Hence, it suffices to bound $\log |j(P)|_{w}$.
If $|j(P)|_{w} \leq 3500$, then $\mathrm{h}(j(P)) \leq 16 s$, which is a better bound than that given in Theorem 1.1 when $C=2^{14}$.

If $|j(P)|_{w}>3500$, then by [9, Proposition 3.3] or [3, Proposition 3.1], we have $P \in \Omega_{c, w}$ for some cusp $c$, and $|j(P)|_{w} \leq 2\left|q_{w}(P)^{-1}\right|_{w}$, where $\Omega_{c, w}$ and $q_{w}$ are defined in [3, Section 3]. Hence, we only need to bound $\log \left|q_{w}(P)^{-1}\right|_{w}$.

Notice that, if $\left|q_{w}(P)\right|_{w}>10^{-N}$, then $\log |j(P)|_{w} \leq 2 N \log 10$ and $\mathrm{h}(j(P))<6 s N$, which is better than that given in Theorem 1.1 when $C=2^{14}$.

In the sequel, we consider the case where $P \in \Omega_{c, w}$ and $\left|q_{w}(P)\right|_{w} \leq 10^{-N}$.
By the statements in [9, Page 4507-4508], there exists a modular unit $W$ on $X_{\Gamma}$ which is integral over $\mathbb{Z}[j]$, and a constant $\gamma_{w} \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{N}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|\gamma_{w}^{-1} W(P)-1\right|_{w} \leq 4^{24 N^{7}}\left|q_{w}(P)\right|_{w}^{1 / N}
$$

$$
\mathrm{h}\left(\gamma_{w}\right) \leq 24 N^{7} \log 2
$$

and $W(P)$ is a unit of $\mathcal{O}_{S}$. Hence $W(P)=\omega \eta_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots \eta_{r}^{b_{r}}$ for some $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{r} \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\omega$ is a root of unity and $\left\{\eta_{1}, \cdots, \eta_{r}\right\}$ is a fundamental system of $S$-units from [9, Proposition 4.1]. We set

$$
\Lambda=\gamma_{w}^{-1} W(P)=\eta_{0} \eta_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots \eta_{r}^{b_{r}}
$$

where $\eta_{0}=\omega \gamma_{w}^{-1}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Lambda-1|_{w} \leq 4^{24 N^{7}}\left|q_{w}(P)\right|_{w}^{1 / N} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Lambda \neq 1$, we will use this upper bound and the lower bound from Theorem 3.1 to get a bound of $\left|q_{w}(P)\right|_{w}$ which gives an upper bound of $\mathrm{h}(j(P))$. For the case where $\Lambda=1$, see [9, Section 8].

To state the following lemma, we set $r^{r}=1$ when $r=0$, i.e $s=1$.
Lemma 4.1. If $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{N}\right) \subset K$ and $\Lambda \neq 1$, then we have

$$
\mathrm{h}(j(P)) \leq 40 d s r^{2 r} \zeta^{r} N^{8} \tilde{\Upsilon} R(S) \log \left(d^{2} s r^{4 r} \zeta^{s} N^{16} \tilde{\Upsilon} R(S)\right)
$$

where $\tilde{\Upsilon}=2^{13 s+22} d^{2 s+3} \ell^{d}$, and $\zeta$ has been defined in Section 2.
Proof. We define $A_{0}, \cdots, A_{r}, B_{0}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log A_{i} & :=\max \left\{\mathrm{h}\left(\eta_{i}\right), 1 / d\right\}, 0 \leq i \leq r \\
B_{0} & :=\max \left\{3,\left|b_{1}\right|, \cdots,\left|b_{r}\right|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Lambda=\eta_{0} \eta_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots \eta_{r}^{b_{r}} \neq 1$, by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
|\Lambda-1|_{w} \geq \exp \left\{-\Upsilon \log A_{0} \cdots \log A_{r} \log B_{0}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\Upsilon= \begin{cases}2^{8 s+29} d^{s+2} \log (e d), & \text { if } w \mid \infty,  \tag{7}\\ 2^{10 s+10} \cdot e^{2 s+2} d^{3 s+3} p_{w}^{d}, & \text { if } w \mid p_{w}<\infty\end{cases}
$$

Obviously $2^{10 s+19} \cdot 2^{3 s+3} d^{3 s+3} \ell^{d}=2^{13 s+22} d^{3 s+3} \ell^{d}$ is larger than $\Upsilon$ in each one of the cases since $d \geq 2, s \geq 1$, so we can take $\Upsilon=2^{13 s+22} d^{3 s+3} \ell^{d}$.

By (6), we have

$$
\exp \left\{-\Upsilon \log A_{0} \cdots \log A_{r} \log B_{0}\right\} \leq 4^{24 N^{7}}\left|q_{w}(P)\right|_{w}^{1 / N}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left|q_{w}(P)^{-1}\right|_{w} \leq N \Upsilon \log A_{0} \cdots \log A_{r} \log B_{0}+48 N^{8} \log 2 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [9, Proposition 4.1], we have $\zeta \mathrm{h}\left(\eta_{k}\right) \geq 1 / d$ and $\zeta \geq 1$, so

$$
\log A_{k} \leq \zeta \mathrm{h}\left(\eta_{k}\right), \quad k=1, \cdots, r,
$$

$$
\log A_{1} \cdots \log A_{r} \leq d^{-r} r^{2 r} \zeta^{r} R(S)
$$

Notice that the both sides are 1 when $r=0$. On the other hand, since

$$
\mathrm{h}\left(\eta_{0}\right)=\mathrm{h}\left(\gamma_{w}\right) \leq 24 N^{7} \log 2
$$

we have

$$
\log A_{0} \leq 24 N^{7} \log 2
$$

For $B_{0}$, we set $B^{*}=\max \left\{\left|b_{1}\right|, \cdots,\left|b_{r}\right|\right\}$ if $r \geq 1$, and $B^{*}=0$ if $r=0$. By [9, Corollary 4.2 and Proposition 6.1] we have

$$
\begin{align*}
B^{*} & \leq 2 d r^{2 r} \zeta \mathrm{~h}(W(P))  \tag{9}\\
& \leq 2 d r^{2 r} \zeta\left(2 s N^{8} \log \left|q_{w}^{-1}(P)\right|_{w}+94 s N^{8} \log N\right)
\end{align*}
$$

so

$$
B_{0} \leq 2 d r^{2 r} \zeta\left(2 s N^{8} \log \left|q_{w}^{-1}(P)\right|_{w}+94 s N^{8} \log N\right)
$$

We write

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=4 d s r^{2 r} \zeta N^{8}, \\
\beta=188 d s r^{2 r} \zeta N^{8} \log N=47 \alpha \log N, \\
C_{1}=\alpha N \Upsilon \log A_{0} \cdots \log A_{r}, \\
C_{2}=48 \alpha N^{8} \log 2+\beta .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, inequalities (8) and (9) yield

$$
\alpha \log \left|q_{w}(P)^{-1}\right|_{w}+\beta \leq C_{1} \log \left(\alpha \log \left|q_{w}(P)^{-1}\right|_{w}+\beta\right)+C_{2}
$$

By Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$
\alpha \log \left|q_{w}(P)^{-1}\right|_{w}+\beta \leq 2\left(C_{1} \log C_{1}+C_{2}\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log \left|q_{w}(P)^{-1}\right|_{w} \leq 2 \alpha^{-1} C_{1} \log C_{1}+\alpha^{-1}\left(2 C_{2}-\beta\right) \\
\log |j(P)|_{w} \leq \log 2\left|q_{w}(P)^{-1}\right|_{w} \leq 2 \alpha^{-1} C_{1} \log C_{1}+\alpha^{-1}\left(2 C_{2}-\beta\right)+\log 2
\end{gathered}
$$

so we have

$$
\mathrm{h}(j(P)) \leq 2 s \alpha^{-1} C_{1} \log C_{1}+s \alpha^{-1}\left(2 C_{2}-\beta\right)+s \log 2 .
$$

Next we bound each term on the right-hand side:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 s \alpha^{-1} C_{1} & \log C_{1} \\
& =2 s N \Upsilon \log A_{0} \cdots \log A_{r} \log \left(4 d s r^{2 r} \zeta N^{9} \Upsilon \log A_{0} \cdots \log A_{r}\right) \\
& \leq 48 \log 2 \cdot d^{-r} s r^{2 r} \zeta^{r} N^{8} \Upsilon R(S) \log \left(96 \log 2 \cdot d^{-r+1} s r^{4 r} \zeta^{r+1} N^{16} \Upsilon R(S)\right) \\
& \leq 39 d^{-r} s r^{2 r} \zeta^{r} N^{8} \Upsilon R(S) \log \left(d^{-r+1} s r^{4 r} \zeta^{r+1} N^{16} \Upsilon R(S)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

here we use the fact that $48 \log 2 \times \log (96 \log 2) \leq 140<5 \log \left(d^{-r+1} \Upsilon\right)$; we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
s \alpha^{-1}\left(2 C_{2}-\beta\right)+s \log 2 & =96 \log 2 \cdot s N^{8}+47 s \log N+s \log 2 \\
& \leq 98 \log 2 \cdot s N^{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

After replacing $d^{-s} \Upsilon=2^{13 s+22} d^{2 s+3} \ell^{d}$ by $\tilde{\Upsilon}$, we have

$$
\mathrm{h}(j(P)) \leq 40 d s r^{2 r} \zeta^{r} N^{8} \tilde{\Upsilon} R(S) \log \left(d^{2} s r^{4 r} \zeta^{s} N^{16} \tilde{\Upsilon} R(S)\right)
$$

We will use the bound $\zeta \leq 2^{13}(\log d)^{3}$ subsequently. If $d=2$,

$$
\zeta=\frac{(\log 6)^{3}}{2}=\frac{\left(\log _{2} 6\right)^{3}}{2}(\log d)^{3} \leq 2^{4}(\log d)^{3} ;
$$

if $d \geq 3$, then

$$
\zeta=4\left(\frac{\log d}{\log \log d}\right)^{3} \leq 4\left(\frac{\log d}{\log \log 3}\right)^{3} \leq 4809(\log d)^{3} \leq 2^{13}(\log d)^{3}
$$

By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
R(S) \leq \frac{\omega_{K}}{2} \frac{1}{(d-1)^{d-1}}(\log |D|)^{d-1} \sqrt{|D|} \prod_{\substack{v \in S \\
v \ngtr \infty}} \log \mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(v), \\
\omega_{K} \leq 2 d^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\log R(S) \leq \log \left(\frac{\omega_{K}}{2}\right)+d \log |D|+s \log (d \ell) \leq 2 \log d+d \log |D|+s \log (d \ell)
$$

We have $d \leq 2 s$ and $\log s \leq s / 2$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \tilde{\Upsilon} & =(13 s+22) \log 2+(2 s+3) \log d+d \log \ell \\
& \leq(15 s+25) \log 2+(2 s+3) \log s+d \log \ell \\
& \leq 28 s+(s+2) s+s \ell \\
& \leq 32 s^{2} \ell
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(d^{2} s r^{4 r} \zeta^{r+1} N^{16}\right. & \tilde{\Upsilon} R(S)) \\
\leq & 2 \log d+4 s \log s+13 s \log 2+3 s \log \log d+16 \log N \\
& +\log \tilde{\Upsilon}+2 \log d+d \log |D|+s \log (d \ell) \\
\leq & 2 s+2 s^{2}+10 s+2 s^{2}+16 \log N+32 s^{2} \ell+2 s+2 s \log |D|+s^{2} \ell \\
\leq & 8 N+2 s \log |D|+51 s^{2} \ell \\
\leq & 61 s^{2} \ell N \log |D| \\
\leq & 2^{6} s^{2} N \ell \log |D|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence combining with Lemma 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{h}(j(P)) \\
& \leq 2^{6} \cdot d s^{2 s-1} \zeta^{r} N^{8} \tilde{\Upsilon} R(S) \log \left(d^{2} s r^{4 r} \zeta^{r+1} N^{16} \tilde{\Upsilon} R(S)\right) \\
& \leq 2^{26 s+15} \cdot d^{2 s+4}(\log d)^{3 r} s^{2 s-1} N^{8} \ell^{d} \frac{\omega_{K}}{2} \frac{1}{(d-1)^{d-1}}(\log |D|)^{d-1} \sqrt{|D|} \\
& \cdot \prod_{\substack{v \in S}} \log \mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(v) \cdot\left(2^{6} s^{2} N \ell \log |D|\right) \\
&= 2^{26 s+20} d^{2 s+4}(\log d)^{3 r} s^{2 s+1} N^{9} \ell^{d+1} \omega_{K} \frac{1}{(d-1)^{d-1}}(\log |D|)^{d} \sqrt{|D|} \prod_{\substack{v \in S \\
v \nmid \infty}} \log \mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(v) . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we deal with the general case. Set $\widetilde{K}=K \cdot \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{N}\right)=K\left(\zeta_{N}\right)$. Let $\widetilde{S}$ be the set consisting of the extensions of the places from $S$ to $\widetilde{K}$, that is,

$$
\widetilde{S}=\left\{\tilde{v} \in M_{\widetilde{K}}: \tilde{v} \mid v, v \in S\right\}
$$

Then $P \in X_{\Gamma}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}}, j\right)$. Put $\tilde{d}=[\widetilde{K}: \mathbb{Q}], \tilde{s}=|\widetilde{S}|, \tilde{r}=\tilde{s}-1$, and let $\widetilde{D}$ be the absolute discriminant of $\widetilde{K}$.

Lemma 4.2.

$$
\begin{gathered}
N-\varphi(N) \geq 4, \\
\tilde{s} \leq s \varphi(N), \\
\tilde{d} \leq d \varphi(N), \\
\omega_{\tilde{K}} \leq 2 d^{2} \varphi(N)^{2}, \\
|\widetilde{D}| \leq N^{d N}|D|^{\varphi(N)}, \\
\prod_{\substack{v \in \widetilde{S} \\
v \nmid \infty}} \log \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{K} / \mathbb{Q}}(v) \leq 4^{s \varphi(N)}\left(\prod_{\substack{v \in S \\
v \notinfty}} \log \mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(v)\right)^{\varphi(N)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. The first three inequalities come directly from the definition of $\widetilde{K}$ and $\widetilde{S}$ and $N \geq 6$ has at least two prime factors. The fourth inequality comes from $\omega_{\widetilde{K}} \leq 2 \tilde{d}^{2} \leq 2 d^{2} \varphi(N)^{2}$.

Let $D_{\widetilde{K} / K}$ be the relative discriminant of $\widetilde{K} / K$. We have

$$
\widetilde{D}=\mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}\left(D_{\widetilde{K} / K}\right) D^{[\widetilde{K}: K]}
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{K}}$ the ring of integers of $K$ and $\widetilde{K}$, respectively. Since $\widetilde{K}=K\left(\zeta_{N}\right)$, we have

$$
\mathcal{O}_{K} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K}\left(\zeta_{N}\right) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{K}}
$$

Note that the absolute value of the discriminant of the polynomial $x^{N}-1$ is $N^{N}$, we obtain

$$
D_{\tilde{K} / K} \mid N^{N},
$$

so

$$
\left|\mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}\left(D_{\widetilde{K} / K}\right)\right| \leq N^{d N} .
$$

Hence,

$$
|\widetilde{D}| \leq N^{d N}|D|^{\varphi(N)}
$$

Notice that $\widetilde{K} / K$ is Galois. Let $v$ be a non-Archimedean place of $K$, and let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{g}$ be all its extensions to $\widetilde{K}$ with residue degree $f$ over $K$. Then $g f \leq[\widetilde{K}: K] \leq \varphi(N)$, which implies $g \log _{2} f \leq g f \leq \varphi(N)$, i.e. $f^{g} \leq 2^{\varphi(N)}$. Note that $2 \log \mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(v)>1$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\widetilde{K} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(v_{k}\right)=\mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(v)^{f}$ for $1 \leq k \leq g, g \leq \varphi(N)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{k=1}^{g} \log \mathcal{N}_{\widetilde{K} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(v_{k}\right) & \leq 2^{\varphi(N)}\left(\log \mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(v)\right)^{g} \\
& \leq 2^{\varphi(N)}\left(2 \log \mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(v)\right)^{g} \\
& \leq 4^{\varphi(N)}\left(\log \mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(v)\right)^{\varphi(N)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\prod_{\substack{v \in \widetilde{S} \\ v \nmid \infty}} \log \mathcal{N}_{\widetilde{K} / \mathbb{Q}}(v) \leq 4^{s \varphi(N)}\left(\prod_{\substack{v \in S \\ v \notinfty}} \log \mathcal{N}_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(v)\right)^{\varphi(N)}
$$

Combine the lemma above with the bound (10), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}(j(P)) \\
& \quad \leq 2^{26 \tilde{s}+20} \tilde{d}^{2 \tilde{s}+4}(\log \tilde{d})^{3 \tilde{r} \tilde{s}}{ }^{2 \tilde{s}+1} N^{9} \ell^{\tilde{d}+1} \omega_{\tilde{K}} \frac{1}{(\tilde{d}-1)^{\tilde{d}-1}}(\log |\widetilde{D}|)^{\tilde{d}} \sqrt{|\widetilde{D}|} \prod_{\substack{v \in \tilde{S} \\
v \nmid \infty}} \log \mathcal{N}_{\widetilde{K} / \mathbb{Q}}(v) \\
& \quad \leq 2^{28 s \varphi(N)+21} d^{2 s \varphi(N)+6}(\log d \varphi(N))^{3 s \varphi(N)} s^{2 s \varphi(N)+1} \varphi(N)^{4 s \varphi(N)+7} N^{9} \ell^{d \varphi(N)+1} \Delta(N) \\
& \quad \leq 2^{28 s N} d^{2 s N}(\log d N)^{3 s N} s^{2 s N} N^{4 s N} \ell^{d N} \Delta(N) \\
& \quad \leq\left(2^{14} d s N^{2}\right)^{2 s N}(\log d N)^{3 s N} \ell^{d N} \Delta(N) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completets the proof of Theorem 1.2.
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